					1999 Session
		ORIGINAL	LIDDATED		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
		CORRECTED	UPDATED SUPPLEMENTAL		99-3200/4 AB 389
•	ESTIMATE 18 N(R10/94)	CORRECTED	SOFFLEWENTAL		Amendment No. if Applicable
Subject				46.000	
	oxide emission reduction restriction	ns			
Fiscal Effe				1	
	e: X No State Fiscal Effect ck columns below only if bill makes affects a sum sufficient appropriation				May be possible to Absorb
	Increase Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation	Increase Existir Decrease Existi	•	Within Agency's Decrease Costs	Budget [_] Yes [_] No
Loca				1	
1. Inc	crease Costs	3. Increase Reve		5. Types of Local Gov	rernment Units Affected:
	Permissive Mandatory	Permissiv	,	Towns	☐ Villages ☐ Cities
2. L De	ecrease Costs	4. Decrease Rev		Counties	WTCS Districts
Fund Cour	Permissive Mandatory Ces Affected	Permissiv		School District	s Others
runa Sour	GPR FED PRO	☐ PRS ☐ SEG [SEG-S	ed Ch. 20 Appropriations	
Assumptio	ns Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estima		_ 5EG-5		
FISCAL IN institutional emissions. The inspect contract. fleets, being State and In	ment from requiring more stringent strial core sources anywhere in the strial core sources anywhere in the standard of the standard of the string and the motor vehicle inspection and the string and maintenance program alrewhile it is assumed that citizens the grelatively new vehicles and being	t nitrogen oxide reduction state. state. fill is adopted, the Department of the properties of the pr	nent may need to regulate by requiring repairs on v southeastern Wisconsin. Ogen oxide emissions. Thes will incure a cost, it is bed, will not have a significant of these boilers have related.	he remainder of the state e nitrogen oxide emission ehicles that are identified the costs for this testing ar assumed that most vehicle cant need for additional r atively few nitrogen oxide	e already part of the existing les in state and local government epair.
•					
Long-Rang	e Fiscal Implications				
			. ^		
Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phor	ne No. Date
DNR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	MO VI	her 1608) 266-2794 06/14/1999

SCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	DATED LRB or Bill	No./Adm. Rule No.	Amendment No.	
alled Estimate of Almada Floods Ellipsis	PPLEMENTAL 99-3200/4	AD 200		
	1 ELIVIER 17 SECOND			
ect				
ogen oxide emission reduction restrictions One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Gover	nment (do not include i	in annualized fisca	effect):	
One-Time 303th of November in passes				
	Annualized I	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:		
Annualized Costs:		Increased Costs Decreased Co		
State Costs by Category			40	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$0	\$0	
(TTE D. W. o Ohannes)	0.00		0.00	
(FTE Position Changes)	0.00			
State Operations - Other Costs		\$0	\$0	
2 22 2 P 2 2 2				
Local Assistance		\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		\$0	ψο	
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$0	\$0	
State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased C	osts	Decreased Costs	
State Costs by Source of Funds		\$0	\$0	
GPR		30		
FED		\$0	\$0	
TED				
PRO/PRS		\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	
SEG/SEG-S	Increased I		Decreased Rev.	
State Revenues: Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease starevenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	ale more and	Ĭ	, #0	
GPR Taxes		\$0		
		\$0	\$0	
GPR Earned		Ψ0		
FED		\$0	\$0	
red				
PRO/PRS		\$0	\$0	
		60	\$0	
SEG/SEG-S		\$0	Ψ	
TOTAL State Perenues		\$0	\$0	
TOTAL State Revenues				
NET ANNUALIZ	ZED IMPACT			
NET ANNUALIZ	,			
<u>s</u> 1	TATE	LOCAL		
		*-		
ET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$0	\$0		
	•			
THE CHANGE IN DEVENUES	\$0	\$0	ı	
ET CHANGE IN REVENUES	Λ		Dete	
gency Prepared By Phone No. Authorit	xed Signature	Phone No.	Date	
geney 1. open = 1			94 06/14/1999	