

FISCAL ESTIMATE

DOA-2048 N(R10/96)

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
LRB-1909/3 AB 450

Amendment No. if Applicable

Subject

Water Service Disputes Between Municipalities

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

- Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No

Decrease Costs

Local: No local government costs

1. Increase Costs
 Permissive Mandatory
 2. Decrease Costs
 Permissive Mandatory

3. Increase Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory
 4. Decrease Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:

- Towns Villages Cities
 Counties Others _____
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

20.155(l)(g)

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill proposes to eliminate the involvement of the Public Service Commission (PSC) in water system location or extension disputes between municipalities. Removing the PSC's jurisdiction would not have a impact on state costs because on an annual basis the PSC only receives one or two complaints of this type. In most cases, these complaints are settled before the Commission makes a formal decision on the issue.

Based on the PSC experience, the need for municipalities to pursue disputes in court, instead of the PSC, would be the exception rather than the rule and could not be quantified.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)

PSC/Gordon Grant 267-9086

Authorized Signature & Phone No.

Aw M. Bie

Date

07/1/99