

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB465)

Received: 09/22/1999

Received By: **olsenje**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Jon Richards (608) 266-0650**

By/Representing: **Himself**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **olsenje**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Criminal Law - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies: **JEO**

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Duties of sentencing commission

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	olsenje 09/22/1999			_____			
/1			kfollet 09/22/1999	_____	lrb_docadmin 09/23/1999	lrb_docadmin 09/23/1999	

FE Sent For:

<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB465)

Received: **09/22/1999**

Received By: **olsenje**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Jon Richards (608) 266-0650**

By/Representing: **Himself**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **olsenje**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Criminal Law - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies: **JEO**

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Duties of sentencing commission

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	olsenje	1-9-22-99 Kmg	KJF 9/22	KJF/hmh 9/22			

FE Sent For:

<END>

JEO
2

STATE REPRESENTATIVE

JON RICHARDS

**REPRESENTING MILWAUKEE'S
EAST SIDE, DOWNTOWN AND
BAY VIEW NEIGHBORHOODS**

September 22, 1999

TO: JEFREN OLSEN 264-6948
FROM: REP. JON RICHARDS
Re: Info for amendment

2 pages

MEMORANDUM

TO: FRED BARTEL
FROM: MICHAEL SMITH & DAVID SCHULTZ
DATE: OCTOBER 31, 1997

Sentencing commission & recommendations

(e) The committee shall study the classification recommendations concerning all of the following:

- 1. increasing clarity and precision in the language of the substantive criminal law, and rationalizing the structure of penalties embedded in it;
2. increasing or reducing the number of penalty classes;
3. placing each crime into its appropriate class;
4. eliminating overlap and duplication, within and across classes, to assure that cases of like seriousness are treated alike and cases of different seriousness are treated differently;
5. increasing the clarity and precision of standards for lesser included offenses and multiple prosecutions;
6. addressing unintended side-effects this Act might have on charging practice and plea negotiation;
7. specifying procedural requirements for imposition of sentence, permissible purposes for the sentences imposed, and preferred means for achieving the various sentencing purpose or purposes;
8. providing information to guide the selection and implementation of sentences in individual cases;
9. providing penal measures of sufficient variety and potency to accomplish the purposes for which sentences are imposed;
10. making known the true content of the various penal measures, as actually implemented.

??



State of Wisconsin
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRBa0660/1

MGD: /:....

mg

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT,
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 465

20661/1

*Early Wed.
a.m.*

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 191, line 14: after that line insert:

3 “(m) Study and make recommendations concerning changing the substantive
4 criminal law of this state to eliminate duplication and overlap of criminal offenses
5 and to clarify, where necessary, the elements constituting particular criminal
6 offenses and the situations in which multiple charges may be filed and multiple
7 punishments imposed. ~~_____~~ may be

8 (n) Study and make recommendations regarding the effects that 1997
9 Wisconsin Act 283 and 1999 Wisconsin Act ... (this act) have on charging decisions,
10 plea bargaining and other aspects of criminal procedure.”

11 (END)

Text: Treo4