FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM					1999 Session					
		LRB#	-3584/	1						
☑ ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED	INTRO	INTRODUCTION # AB 480							
CORRECTED	☐ SUPPLEMENT	TAL Admin. F	Rule#							
Subject Mental Health Treatment for Minors										
Fiscal Effect		1 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		*						
State: No State Fiscal Effect S Check columns below only if bill mal or affects a sum sufficient app	☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No									
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Create New Appropriation	☐ Decrease Costs									
Local: No local government costs SEE TEXT Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Decrease Costs Local: No local government costs SEE TEXT Increase Revenues Permissive Mandatory Local: Decrease Revenues				5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities ☐ Counties ☐ Others						
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandator	y	ermissive		☐ School Districts Ch. 20 Appropriations	☐ WTCS Districts					
Fund Sources Affected ⊠ GPR ⊠ FED □ PRO	□PRS □ SEG	S □ SEG-S	20.435(5)(
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal	Estimate:									
This bill makes a number of changes to statutory provisions governing the rights of minors with regard to mental health treatment and access to mental health treatment records. In general, the bill would eliminate the current statutory distinction between minors under 14 years old and minors 14 years and older in these areas. Fiscal impact on county governments The changes in this bill may have the overall effect of increasing the number of minors receiving inpatient or outpatient mental health treatment. The bill would allow minors of all ages to petition for voluntary admission to an inpatient facility as well as eliminate the requirement that minors 14 years and older consent to inpatient or outpatient treatment. It would also limit the rights of those minors to seek discharge from inpatient treatment. Within the limit of available funds, counties are payors of last resort for health care for indigent children. While most indigent children would be eligible to receive treatment through the state's Medical Assistance program, counties may be responsible for funding outpatient treatment for children in some circumstances. This bill may result in an additional number of children receiving treatment funded by the county. However, it is unknown how many additional children would receive treatment as a result of this bill, and thus the precise fiscal impact on counties cannot be estimated.										
Fiscal impact on the Department of Health and Family Services The Medical Assistance (MA) program reimburses local providers for certain mental health services for indigent children. To the extent it increases the number of children receiving care, this bill would increase costs to the MA program. Because the additional number of children receiving care is unknown, the exact fiscal effect on MA cannot be estimated.										
Finally, it is estimated that this bill will have no measurable effect on the number of individuals receiving care in state institutions for the mentally ill.										
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:										
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency	Name	Authorized Signa		hone No.	Date					
Andrew Forsaith / 266-7684 / DHF	S/OSF	John Kiesow, 2			8/6/99					

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect							1999 Session					
☑ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED LRB # -3584/1						Admin. Rule #		. Rule#				
	CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL INTRODUCTION # AB 480											
	Subject Mental Health Treatment for Minors											
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):												
II.	Annualized Costs:		Annualiz	zed Fiscal imp	act on	on State funds from:						
Α.	A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringes			Increased Costs			Decreased Costs \$ -					
(FTE Position Changes)				(FTE)		(-	FTE)				
State Operations - Other Costs					f		-					
	Local Assistance				A		-					
T.,	Aids to Individuals or Organizations				· :		-					
	TOTAL State Costs by	Category		\$	i i	\$	-					
В.	State Costs by Source of Fun	ds		Increas	ed Costs		Decreased	Costs				
	GPR			\$		\$	-					
	FED						-					
	PRO/PRS						-					
	SEG/SEG-S						-	I Down				
State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) GPR Taxes			Increased Rev.			Decreased	i Kev.					
	GPR Earned				:		-					
	FED						-	-				
PRO/PRS					-8							
SEG/SEG-S					·		-					
TOTAL State Revenues				\$		\$	-					
		NET ANNUAL	LIZED FISCAL IMP STATE	PACT		LOC	<u>AL</u>					
NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$SEE TEX				\$	_SE	E TEXT_						
NE	T CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$			\$							
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name Authorized Signature/Telephone No.						Date						
Andrew Forsaith / 266-7684 / DHFS/OSF John Kiesow, 266-9622					{	8/6/99						