

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Amendment (AA-AB484)**

Received: 10/19/1999

Received By: **shoveme**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Terese Berceau (608) 266-3784**

By/Representing: **Tom**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **shoveme**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Munis - miscellaneous  
Counties  
Education - school boards**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Local residency requirements

**Instructions:**

See Attached. Add to s. 66.188 (4) a provision so that the bill does not affect any muni that has a residency req't in effect on eff. date of bill.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	shoveme 10/19/1999	ygeller 10/19/1999	kfollet 10/19/1999	_____	lrb_docadmin 10/19/1999	lrb_docadmin 10/19/1999	

FE Sent For:

<END>

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Amendment (AA-AB484)**

Received: 10/19/1999

Received By: **shoveme**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Terese Berceau (608) 266-3784**

By/Representing: **Tom**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **shoveme**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Munis - miscellaneous  
Counties  
Education - school boards**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Local residency requirements

**Instructions:**

See Attached. Add to s. 66.188 (4) a provision so that the bill does not affect any muni that has a residency req't in effect on eff. date of bill.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	shoveme	1 10/19 jlg	kjf 10/19	kjf/mrc 10/19			

11 MES 10/19/99

FE Sent For:

<END>

**Amendment to AB-484**

Page 5: on line 19 remove "matter of statewide concern"

Page 6: on line 14 insert after "office"

Any local government unit that has residency requirements in effect on the date of enactment of this legislation.

Any local collective bargaining agreement that is in effect on residency on the date of enactment of this legislation.

Page 6: remove lines 18-22 "Section 12. Initial Applicability"

**Intent of Amendment:**

The intent of this amendment is to limit the imposition of the marital hardship exemption on local government units that currently have residency requirements in place. The amendment additionally limits the imposition of marital hardship exemptions on local collective bargaining agreements in effect on residency.



State of Wisconsin  
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRBa0775/1

MES.....A:...

JLg

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT ,  
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 484

D-note

WANTED!  
3:30pm

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill<sup>✓</sup> as follows:

2 1. Page 6, line 17: after that line insert:

3 text:  
treat "(d) Any local governmental unit that has a residency requirement in effect on  
4 the effective date of this paragraph<sup>✓</sup> .... [revisor inserts date]."

5 (END)

**DRAFTER'S NOTE  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBa0775/1dn

MES.....

jlq

This amendment is based on the "pre-drafted" materials that you sent me. The effect of the amendment as drafted, however, is the exact opposite of the stated intent of the amendment that is contained at the bottom of the materials that you sent me. I discussed this situation with Mike in your office and he recommended that I draft the amendment to reflect the suggested language instead of trying to implement the stated intent. I did not include the suggested language that relates to a collective bargaining agreement because that concept already appears in the initial applicability section of the bill.

Marc E. Shovers  
Senior Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266-0129  
E-mail: Marc.Shovers@legis.state.wi.us

**DRAFTER'S NOTE  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBa0775/1dn  
MES:jlg:kjf

October 19, 1999

This amendment is based on the "pre-drafted" materials that you sent me. The effect of the amendment as drafted, however, is the exact opposite of the stated intent of the amendment that is contained at the bottom of the materials that you sent me. I discussed this situation with Mike in your office and he recommended that I draft the amendment to reflect the suggested language instead of trying to implement the stated intent. I did not include the suggested language that relates to a collective bargaining agreement because that concept already appears in the initial applicability section of the bill.

Marc E. Shovers  
Senior Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266-0129  
E-mail: Marc.Shovers@legis.state.wi.us