	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1999 Session				
☑ Original ☐ Corrected	Updated Supplemental	LRB or Bill No Adm. Rule No. AB-513LRB-3329/1				
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/94)		Amendment No. if Applicable				
Subject WORKER'S COMPENSATION						
Fiscal Effect						
State: No State Fiscal Effect	☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb					
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation	Within Agency's Budget Yes No					
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing ☐ Create New Appropriation	Decrease Costs					
Local: No local government costs						
1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease	e Revenues  nissive	5. Types of Local Government Units Affected  Towns Villages Cities  Counties Others  School Districts WTCS Districts				
Fund Sources Affected:	<u> </u>	20 Appropriations:				
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG  Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate	SEG-S					
The Department of Workforce Development (DWD) does not regulate worker's compensation insurance premium rates. Instead, the Wisconsin Compensation Rating Bureau (see Ch. 626, Wis. Stats.) recommends rates for approval by the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance (OCI). AB 513 is silent regarding OCI regulation.  AB 513 requires insurers to pay an employer (including a local unit of government that insures its liability) an annual bonus dividend up to 20% of its worker's compensation insurance premium if the employer agrees to a comprehensive drug-testing and notification program for all employees and prospective employees. In a variety of situations, employers must test for the presence of marijuana, cocaine, narcotic drugs, amphetamine, methamphetamine, phencyclidine and all controlled substance analogs. For those local government employers who participate, it is difficult to estimate the extent to which the value of the annual dividend will offset the cost of the training program. The extent to which premium dividends to one employer would need to be offset by rate increases to all other employers is not known.						
		·				
Long-Range Fiscal Implications						
Agency/Prepared by:(Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telepho					
DWD / Smith, Dick (267-6704)	Nacce	267-9543 10/12/99				

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET			1999 Session		
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect DOA-2047(R10/94)	Original Corrected	Updated Supplemental	LRB or Bill No./Adm Rule No AB-513 / LRB-3329/1	Amendment No.	
Subject WORKER'S COMPENSATION					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Imp	acts for State a	nd/or Local Governmen	t (do not include in annua	alized fiscal effect):	
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State funds from:			
A Court Court by Cotoring			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries a	nd Fringes		\$0	- \$0	
(FTE Position Changes)			(FTE)	(- FTE)	
State Operations - Other Co.	sts		\$0	- \$0	
Local Assistance			\$0	- \$0	
Aids to Individuals or Organi	zations		\$0	- \$0	
TOTAL State Costs by	Category		\$0	- \$0	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR			\$0	- \$0	
FED			\$0	- \$0	
PRO/PRS			\$0	- \$0	
SEG/SEG-S			\$0	- \$0	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only	when proposal wil	l increase or decrease state	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
revenues (e.g., ta: GPR Taxes	x increase, decreas	e in license fee, etc.)	\$0	- \$0	
GPR Earned			\$0	- \$0	
FED			\$0	- \$0	
PRO/PRS		· .	\$0	- \$0	
SEG/SEG-S			\$0	- \$0	
TOTAL State Revenue	es:		\$0	- \$0	
	NET ANNU	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT	Г .		
		<u>STATE</u>	LOC	<u>AL</u>	
Net Change in Costs:		\$0	\$0		
Net Change in Revenues:		\$0	\$0		
Agency/Prepared by:(Name & Phone No.)	1	Authorized Signature/Telephor		Date	
DWD / Smith, Dick (267-6704)	+	ME	307-9543	10/12/99	