1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB518)

Received: 10/08/1999					Received By: kahlepj			
Wanted: Soon					Identical to LRB:			
For: Gr	egg Underhein	n (608) 266-22	54		By/Representing: Sandy			
This file	may be shown	to any legislate	or: NO	/	Drafter: kahlepj Alt. Drafters:			
May Co	ntact: Dick Sv	veet, leg. counc	cil .					
Subject: Insurance - health				Extra Copies:				
Pre Top	oic:							
No spec	ific pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:		-					, w.v.	
Internal	and external re	view of health	insurance de	ecisions				
Instruc	tions:					***************************************		
See Atta	ached							
Draftin	g History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?	kahlepj 10/11/1999	wjackson 10/12/1999						
/1			mclark 10/13/199	99	lrb_docadmin 10/13/1999	lrb_docadn 10/13/1999		
FE Sent	For:			<end></end>				

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB518)

Received: 10/08/1999	Received By: kahlep
----------------------	---------------------

Wanted: **Soon** Identical to LRB:

For: Gregg Underheim (608) 266-2254 By/Representing: Sandy

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO Drafter: kahlepi

May Contact: Dick Sweet, leg. council Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Insurance - health Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Internal and external review of health insurance decisions

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

1? kahlepj / Wij 10/12 MRC JF

FE Sent For:

<END>

\	Sho > stand by rodertand.
((2) include 9. (15ho) h atmos but we external
	get no of 609.15 " Jimited stope and oney dental or vision " for internal (jut part of 9.)
	(4) Submit request for by pass to IRO at the same time as request wite nes
	(6) soon can recoverede p7 lies 1-5 Senate Ries
	asat
	(3) for expedited review : Senote Bier language PP 80-10 [IRO delemin) (9) Submit request for by pass to IRO at the same time as request without (B) within a capse to maine interval of go right to afford (C) plan can recovered p 7 lins 1-5 Senote Brief and 7

(1) are her reven the hand for some type of provide plot, him (ba) (a) + (c) Deopte or is util talk to Gegg (B) plot, he life convert of preside plot, he life (g) (D) make some the provide (Dick case oct) (it is (I) make many a basele base plan (use the copy for some of) (I) and of the's of hours guild him where is insued choose the convert of the contract.) (I) Ito's delegance for the contract.) (I) Ito's delegance for the contract.		
Seosot or is until talk to Gegg (B) pt. 1 14 current expressive (9) good assur: a told from Sen Bill p 12, l 11-14 (D) make sure HTRSP is covered (Dick callo OCI) (it is (11) make sure is making a basel been plan (use the copy from Sur II)	7) ære sie review Rice	
Seosot or is until talk to Gegg (B) pt. 1 14 current expressive (9) good assur: a told from Sen Bill p 12, l 11-14 (D) make sure HTRSP is covered (Dick callo OCI) (it is (11) make sure is making a basel been plan (use the copy from Sur II)	hund be some type of provide	
Scotot or is until talk to Gegg (B) pto, l 14 current expensive (9) qual assum: a told from Sea Kill p 12, l 11-14 (10) make some #1RSP is covered (Dick one OCI) (it is (11) insurer Issuing a basel been plan (use the Copy from Surely)		***************************************
Scott or is until talk to Gegg (B) pt, 2 14 current appraise (9) qual assun: a told from Sen Siel p 12, 2 11-14 (10) make some #1RSP is covered (Dick callo OCI) (it is (11) in our issuing a basel began (use the copy from son of)	p15, ling (6m) (a) +10)	
(9) good assur: a told from Sen Bill p 12, l 11-14 (D) make sure HIRSP is covered (Dick cale OCT) (it is (11) insurer Imming a bester ben plan (use the Copy from Sordy)		****
(9) good assur: a told from Sen Bill p 12, l 11-14 (D) make sure #1725P is covered (Dick cale OCT) (it is (11) insurer Imming a bester begglan (use the Copy from Surdy)	Good on a will talk to Gregg	······································
(9) good assun: a told from Sea Bill p 12, l 11-14 (6) mohr some #1RSP is covered (Dich care OCI) (it is (11) insurer issuing a healer beginn (use the copy from Sondy)		
(9) good assun: a told from Sea Bill p 12, & 11-14 (10) mohr sume #1RSP is covered (Dich care OCI) (it is (11) insurer issuing a healer began (use the copy for Sondy)	(B) pt 14 current expensive	***************************************
10) mohr some #IRSP is covered (Dide callo OCI) (it is (11) insurer Imming a bester be plan (use the copy from Sondy)		
(1) moment muning a beauti be plan (use the copy from Sondy)	(9) good assur: a took from sen sell p 12, 2 11-14	
(11) marier imming a beaute ber plan (use the		
(11) marier imming a beaute ber plan (use the	(10) make sure TITICSP is covered (Dice calo OCT) (it	t is
A D TO STAND STANDS		
A D TO STAND STANDS	(11) answer Issuing a world be plan (use the	
A D TO STAND STANDS	cold from songh	
(i) Tho's delemine for the organish what of the payment amongsut)	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
(i) Det ha hat of FRO's I women gives his white of whom the choose the Contract! (ii) Tho's delemina fees to one year of out must office the Washington for contract! (a) Tho's delemina fees to one year of out must office the payment amongsment)	The state of the s	
(13) OCT has his of FRO's I wow gus his who we will contract to the state of the s	MAN BORGER NETON	
(i) Det ha hat of FRO's I women guis hit whater I winned choose the (ii muss do not contract!) (if) IRO's deleminar fees the one year of Oct must oppose Whater for carty colories Waggington for carty colories Some of fee forgund amongsment)	(get rid) cont	-
(i muns do not contract!) (ii muns do not contract!) (iv) Ilo's delegina per for one year or out must oppose Wagaicator for catheristic: Some of preparation amongsmit)	(13) ert h. 120 Poi 31 - 6014	ando
(in muns do not contract!) (if) Tho's delemina per for one year * Oct must exprose W/application for carty colori Some of the payment amongsment)	11) Of Man And Of AFO'S I where your And	RD
(i nums do not contract!) (i) IRO's délemine per for one year * OCT must oppose Waggerication practique de parpure amongent)	Wystes Further wooss I	100
(14) Ild's délamine pas for one year Waggerication procety colori Some of preparent amongsunt)	(ii) muse a don't contact	
The Jelonia per to one year Waggerication for centry coloni Toma of fee payment amongsmet)		
Waggication or controller. Some of fee payment amongent)	(14) Thois deles a see to	
Waggeration or conty coloni Some of fee payment amongement)	of Oction of one gen	
(Some of fee payment amongent)	Warshirton for continuity.	
(Some of fee payment amongement)	To the same of the	
	Sans Lee Monne of an it	

V	(18) p16 immunity: keep (6)
	Troplace (a) w/ (c) on p. 10, l 1-6 Senate Biel
V	(16) some odd deg for treatment (some of den)
ン	(it) of theotor det Deff standows (n review? p11 l 21 to 9 or p12 (copy 1-3)
/	(Copy 1-3)
	· •

General Association Position

EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENT DETERMINATION DEFINITION

• Maintain language on pg. 7, lines 10-18.

• Replace language on pg. 11, line 18 – pg. 12, line 9 with: "If coverage of the treatment that is the subject of the review was denied on the basis that the treatment was experimental, the expert reviewers shall find in favor of the enrollee if all of the following apply: 1. The treatment has been approved by the federal FDA for the condition. 2. The medically and scientifically accepted evidence clearly demonstrates that the proposed treatment meets all of the following: a. The treatment is proven safe. b. The treatment is proven effective for the enrollee's condition. c. The treatment can be expected to produce substantially greater benefit than the standard treatment without posing a greater adverse risk to the enrollee, 3. The proposed treatment meets the coverage terms of the health benefit plan and is not specifically excluded under the terms of the health benefit plan."

IRO SELECTION/ASSIGNMENT

tl

a

sl tl

• Health benefit plans to contract with IRO(s).

• Recommend modifying Underheim language as follows:

(Pg. 8, Lines 2-10) "Every insurer issuing a health benefit plan shall contract with one or more than one IROs certified under sub. (4) for the purpose of conducting independent reviews of adverse determinations and experimental treatment determinations made by or on behalf of the health benefit plan. The insurer shall identify available contracted IROs with reviewer(s) expert in the enrollee's condition. The enrollee may select the IRO to conduct the review from among eligible IROs. The term of a contract with an IRO may not be less than 2 years. If an insurer fails to renew the contract of an IRO at the end of the contract term, the insurer shall inform the commissioner that the contract has not been renewed and of the reasons for the nonrenewal."

f soulgist to



6

7

8

9

State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-2313/3 PJK:wlj:mrc

insurer who writes. 1999 BILL threshot
IRO contracts terminate breach fea

Deadlines

informed consent
HSD/BADGERCARE/NEDICAED
insurer options -> +20 m × IRO and pay
insurer options -> +20 m × IRO and pay

AN ACT to renumber 609.15 (1) (c), 609.15 (2) (c), 609.15 (2) (d) and 609.15 (2) (e); to renumber and amend 609.15 (1) (intro.), 609.15 (1) (a), 609.15 (1) (b), 609.15 (2) (intro.), 609.15 (2) (a) and 609.15 (2) (b); to amend 40.51 (8), 40.51 (8m), 600.01 (2) (b) and 601.42 (4); and to create 111.91 (2) (r), 601.31 (1) (Lp), 601.31 (1) (Lr), 632.83 and 632.835 of the statutes; relating to: requiring all insurers to establish internal grievance procedures, independent review of certain coverage determinations made by health benefit plans, granting rule—making authority and providing an exemption from emergency rule procedures.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, every managed care plan is required to have an internal grievance procedure under which an enrollee may submit a written grievance and a grievance panel must investigate the grievance and, if appropriate, take corrective action. This bill requires every health benefit plan to have such an internal grievance procedure. In addition, the bill requires every health benefit plan, including managed care plans and plans covering state and municipal employes, to have an independent review procedure for review of certain decisions under the

health benefit plan's internal grievance procedure that are adverse to insureds. The decision must relate to the plan's denial of treatment or payment for treatment that the plan determined was experimental or to the plan's denial, reduction or termination of a health care service or payment for a health care service, including admission to or continued stay in a health care facility, on the basis that the health care service did not meet the plan's requirements for medical necessity or appropriateness, health care setting or level of care or effectiveness. In order to be eligible for independent review, the amount of the reduction or the value of the denied or terminated service must be at least \$500, which may be increased or decreased by the commissioner of insurance (commissioner) based on changes in the consumer price index. Generally, an insured must request independent review within four months after receiving notice of the adverse decision on his or her grievance under the internal grievance procedure.

Under the bill, an independent review may be conducted only by an independent review organization that has been certified by the commissioner. A certified independent review organization must be recertified every two years to continue to conduct independent reviews. The commissioner may revoke, suspend or limit the certification of an independent review organization for various reasons specified in the bill. Clinical peer reviewers, who conduct the reviews on behalf of independent review organizations, must be health care providers who satisfy specified criteria, including having expertise through actual clinical experience in treating the condition that is the subject of the review. Every insurer that issues a health benefit plan must contract with one or more certified independent review organizations for the purpose of conducting the independent reviews in which the plan is involved. A contract must be at least two years long, and an insurer must inform the commissioner if such a contract is not renewed and of the reasons for the nonrenewal.

To request an independent review, an insured must provide written notice of the request to the health benefit plan, which must inform the commissioner of the request and inform the insured of the name and address of the independent review organization that will be conducting the independent review. The insured must pay \$50 to the independent review organization, which is refunded to the insured if he or she prevails, in whole or in part, in the independent review. In addition, the plan must pay a fee to the independent review organization for each review.

Within three days after receiving the notice from the insured, the health benefit plan must send to the independent review organization all of the information that it used in making the determination in the internal grievance procedure. No later than five days after receiving that information, the independent review organization may request more information from either or both parties, who have five more days in which to supply the requested information. The independent review organization may consider, however, any other relevant information, and any information that a party provides to the independent review organization must also be provided to the other party. Within 30 days after the expiration of all relevant time limits in the matter, the independent review organization must make a determination on the basis of the written information submitted by the parties. If an expedited review is

1

6

required because of the enrollee's medical condition, all specified time limits are shortened, and the independent review organization must make a determination within 72 hours after the expiration of all relevant time limits in the matter. The bill specifies certain review standards for independent review organizations, including under what circumstances treatment that was considered experimental by the health benefit plan must be covered. The decision at the conclusion of an independent review, which is binding on the insured and the health benefit plan, must be in writing and served on both parties.

The bill contains prohibitions aimed at avoiding conflicts of interest for independent review organizations, such as prohibiting an independent review organization from owning, controlling or being a subsidiary of a health benefit plan or an association of health benefit plans. The bill also provides independent review organizations and clinical peer reviewers with immunity from liability for decisions made in independent reviews.

The bill requires the commissioner to promulgate rules relating to such topics as the application procedures and standards for certification and recertification of independent review organizations, additional procedures and processes that independent review organizations must use in independent reviews, standards for the practices and conduct of independent review organizations and additional standards related to conflicts of interest.

Finally, the bill requires the commissioner to determine when a sufficient number of independent review organizations have been certified to effectively provide the independent reviews required under the bill. When the commissioner makes that determination, the commissioner must publish a notice in the Wisconsin Administrative Register that specifies a date that is six months after the determination is made. That date is the date on which the independent review procedure must begin operating.

For further information see the **state** and **local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- SECTION 1. 40.51 (8) of the statutes is amended to read:
- 2 40.51 (8) Every health care coverage plan offered by the state under sub. (6)
- 3 shall comply with ss. 631.89, 631.90, 631.93(2), 632.72(2), 632.746(1) to (8) and (10),
- 4 632.747, 632.748, 632.83, 632.835, 632.855, 632.855, 632.857 (3) to (5),
- 5 632.895 (5m) and (8) to (13) and 632.896.
 - SECTION 2. 40.51 (8m) of the statutes is amended to read:

40.51 (8m) Every health care coverage plan offered by the group insurance
board under sub. (7) shall comply with ss. 632.746 (1) to (8) and (10), 632.747,
632.748, 632.83, 632.835, 632.85, 632.853, 632.855 and 632.895 (11) to (13).

SECTION 3. 111.91 (2) (r) of the statutes is created to read:

111.91 (2) (r) The requirements related to internal grievance procedures under s. 632.83 and independent review of certain health benefit plan determinations under s. 632.835.

SECTION 4. 600.01 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

600.01 (2) (b) Group or blanket insurance described in sub. (1) (b) 3. and 4. is not exempt from ss. 632.745 to 632.749, 632.83 or 632.835 or ch. 633 or 635.

SECTION 5. 601.31 (1) (Lp) of the statutes is created to read:

601.31 (1) (Lp) For certifying as an independent review organization under s.

SECTION 6. 601.31 (1) (Lr) of the statutes is created to read:

601.31 (1) (Lr) For each biennial recertification as an independent review organization under s. 632.835, \$100.

SECTION 7. 601.42 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

601.42 (4) Replies. Any officer, manager or general agent of any insurer authorized to do or doing an insurance business in this state, any person controlling or having a contract under which the person has a right to control such an insurer, whether exclusively or otherwise, any person with executive authority over or in charge of any segment of such an insurer's affairs, any individual practice association or officer, director or manager of an individual practice association, any insurance agent or other person licensed under chs. 600 to 646, any provider of services under a continuing care contract, as defined in s. 647.01 (2), any

1	632.83 (3) (a) The opportunity for an enrollee insured to submit a written
2	grievance in any form.
3	SECTION 14. 609.15 (2) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (b) and
4	amended to read:
5	632.83 (3) (b) Establishment of a grievance panel for the investigation of each
6	grievance submitted under par. (a), consisting of at least one individual authorized
7	to take corrective action on the grievance and at least one enrollee insured other than
8	the grievant, if an enrollee insured is available to serve on the grievance panel.
9	SECTION 15. 609.15 (2) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (c).
10	SECTION 16. 609.15 (2) (d) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (d).
11	SECTION 17. 609.15 (2) (e) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (e).
12 13	SECTION 18. 632.83 of the statutes is created to read: 632.83 Internal grievance procedure. (1) In this section, "health benefit
14	plan" has the meaning given in s. 632.745 (11), except that "health benefit plan"
15 16	includes the coverage specified in s. 632.745 (11) (b) 10.
17	SECTION 19. 632.835 of the statutes is created to read:
18	632.835 Independent review of adverse and experimental treatment
19	determinations. (1) Definitions. In this section:
20	(a) "Adverse determination" means a determination by or on behalf of a health
21	benefit plan to which all of the following apply:
22	1. An admission to a health care facility, the availability of care, the continued
00	stay or another health care service that is a covered hanefit has been reviewed

24

25

	·
1	2. Based on the information provided, the health care service under subd. 1.
2	does not meet the health benefit plan's requirements for medical necessity,
3	appropriateness, health care setting, level of care or effectiveness.
4	3. Based on the information provided, the health benefit plan reduced, denied
5	or terminated the health care service under subd. 1. or payment for the health care
6	service under subd. 1.
, 7 , ,	4. Subject to sub. (5) (c), the amount of the reduction or the value of the denied
8	or terminated service or payment exceeds \$500, excluding deductibles and
9.	copayments.
10	(b) "Experimental treatment determination" means a determination by or on
11	behalf of a health benefit plan to which all of the following apply:
12	1. A proposed treatment has been reviewed.
13	2. Based on the information provided, the treatment under subd. 1. is
14	determined to be experimental under the terms of the health benefit plan.
15	3. Based on the information provided, the health benefit plan denied the
16	treatment under subd. 1. or payment for the treatment under subd. 1.
17	4. Subject to sub. (5) (c), the value of the denied treatment or payment exceeds
18	\$500 excluding deductibles and copayments.
19	(c) "Health benefit plan" has the meaning given in s. 632.745 (11), except that
20	"health benefit plan" includes the coverage specified in s. 632.745 (11) (b) 10.
21	(2) Review requirements; who may conduct. (a) Every health benefit plan
22	shall establish an independent review procedure whereby an insured under the

health benefit plan, or his or her authorized representative, may request and obtain

an independent review of an adverse determination or an experimental treatment

determination made with respect to the insured.

(b) An independent review under this section may be conducted only by an
independent review organization certified under sub. (4). Every insurer issuing a
health benefit plan shall contract with one or more independent review
organizations certified under sub. (4) for the purpose of conducting independent
reviews of adverse determinations and experimental treatment determinations
made by or on behalf of the health benefit plan. The term of a contract with an
independent review organization may not be less than 2 years. If an insurer fails to
renew the contract of an independent review organization at the end of the contract
term, the insurer shall inform the commissioner that the contract has not been
renewed and of the reasons for the nonrenewal.

- procedure before the insured may request an independent review under this section, unless the delay will result for the insured in serious injury or impairment or a life-threatening condition, as determined by the insured's treating health care provider. Except as provided in sub. (9), an insured must request an independent review as provided in sub. (3) (a) within 4 months after the insured receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d).
- (d) Whenever an adverse determination or an experimental treatment determination is made, the health benefit plan involved in the determination shall advise the insured of the insured's right to obtain the independent review required under this section, how to request the review and the time within which the review must be requested.
- (3) PROCEDURE. (a) To request an independent review, an insured or his or her authorized representative shall provide timely written notice of the request for independent review to the health benefit plan that made or on whose behalf was

2

3

4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

made the adverse or experimental treatment determination. The health benefit plan-
shall immediately notify the commissioner of the request for independent review and
notify the insured of the name and address of the independent review organization
that will be conducting the review. The insured or his or her authorized
representative must pay a \$50 fee to the independent review organization. If the
insured prevails on the review, in whole or in part, the entire amount paid by the
insured or his or her authorized representative shall be refunded by the health
benefit plan to the insured or his or her authorized representative. For each
independent review in which it is involved, a health benefit plan shall pay a fee to
the independent review organization.

- (b) Within 3 business days after receiving written notice of a request for independent review under par. (a), the health benefit plan shall submit to the independent review organization copies of all of the following:
- 1. Any information submitted to the health benefit plan by the insured in support of the insured's position in the internal grievance under s. 632.83.
 - 2. The contract provisions or evidence of coverage of the health benefit plan.
- 3. Any other relevant documents or information used by the health benefit plan in the internal grievance determination under s. 632.83.
- (c) Within 5 business days after receiving the information under par. (b), the independent review organization shall request any additional information that it requires for the review from the insured or the health benefit plan. Within 5 business days after receiving a request for additional information, the insured or health benefit plan shall submit the information or an explanation of why the information is not being submitted.

- (d) In addition to the information under pars. (b) and (c), the independent review organization may accept for consideration any typed or printed, verifiable medical or scientific evidence that the independent review organization determines is relevant, regardless of whether the evidence has been submitted for consideration at any time previously. The health benefit plan and the insured shall submit to the other party to the independent review any information submitted to the independent review organization under pars. (b) to (d).
- (e) An independent review under this section may not include appearances by
 the insured or his or her authorized representative, any person representing the
 health benefit plan or any witness on behalf of either the insured or the health benefit
 plan.
 - the expiration of all time limits that apply in the matter, make a decision on the basis of the documents and information submitted under this subsection. The decision shall be in writing, signed on behalf of the independent review organization and served by personal delivery or by mailing a copy to the insured or his or her authorized representative and to the health benefit plan. A decision of an independent review organization is binding on the insured and the health benefit plan.
 - (g) If, in the judgment of the insured's treating health care provider, the adverse or experimental treatment determination relates to a serious injury or impairment or a life—threatening condition, the procedure outlined in pars. (b) to (f) shall be followed with the following differences:

1	1. The health benefit plan shall submit the information under par. (b) within
2	one day after receiving the notice of the request for independent review under par.
3	(a).
4	2. The independent review organization shall request any additional
5 .	information under par. (c) within 2 business days after receiving the information
6	under par. (b).
7 .	3. The insured or health benefit plan shall, within 2 days after receiving a
8	request under par. (c), submit any information requested or an explanation of why
9	the information is not being submitted.
0	4. The independent review organization shall make its decision under par. (f)
1	within 72 hours after the expiration of the time limits under this paragraph that
2	apply in the matter. * failer molfy of
3	(3m) STANDARDS FOR DECISIONS: (a) A decision of an independent review
4	organization regarding an adverse determination must be consistent with the terms
5	of the health benefit plan under which the adverse determination was made.
16	(b) A decision of an independent review organization regarding an
L 7	experimental treatment determination is limited to a determination of whether the
18	proposed treatment is experimental. The independent review organization shall
19	determine that the treatment is not experimental and find in favor of the insured
20	only if the independent review organization finds all of the following:
21	1. The insured has a terminal condition, or the insured's ability to regain or
22	maintain maximum function would be impaired by withholding the proposed
23	traatment

1

2

3

4

5

6

12 1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2. The insured has a condition for which standard treatment would not be medically indicated for the insured or for which there is no standard treatment available that would be as beneficial for the insured as the proposed treatment.
- 3. Scientifically valid studies using accepted protocols and published in peer reviewed literature demonstrate that the proposed treatment is likely to be more beneficial for the insured than available standard treatment
- 4. The proposed treatment is not specifically excluded under the terms of the ar of the report of the first of the second health benefit plan and would be covered except for the determination that the covered red adamentalization of contract of proportions and abundance of an arrespondent of the contract of the contract of y 9 1 treatment is experimental for the insured's condition was planted in the manager of the large Particular de la companya de la companya de la composição de la companya de la companya de la companya de la c
 - (4) CERTIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW ORGANIZATIONS. (a) The commissioner Table to the first the well defined the state of san Sungan di Kaban, di kebahatan shall certify independent review organizations. An independent review organizations. organization must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the commissioner that it is という。 おかれております (大学) アンドラ (大学) というない アンドル・マング unbiased, as defined by the commissioner by rule. An organization certified under this paragraph must be recertified on a biennial basis to continue to provide independent review services under this section.
 - An organization applying for certification or recertification as an (b) independent review organization shall pay the applicable fee under s. 601.31(1)(Lp) or (Lr). Every organization certified or recertified as an independent review organization shall file a report with the commissioner in accordance with rules promulgated under sub. (5) (a) 4.
 - (c) The commissioner may examine, audit or accept an audit of the books and records of an independent review organization as provided for examination of licensees and permittees under s. 601.43 (1), (3), (4) and (5), to be conducted as provided in s. 601.44, and with costs to be paid as provided in s. 601.45.

1

2

3

4

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (d) The commissioner may revoke, suspend or limit in whole or in part the certification of an independent review organization, or may refuse to recertify an independent review organization, if the commissioner finds that the independent review organization is unqualified or has violated an insurance statute or rule or a valid order of the commissioner under s. 601.41 (4), or if the independent review organization's methods or practices in the conduct of its business endanger, or its financial resources are inadequate to safeguard, the legitimate interests of The commissioner may summarily suspend an consumers and the public. independent review organization's certification under s. 227.51 (3).
- (5) Rules; Report, Adjustments. (a) The commissioner shall promulgate rules for the independent review required under this section. The rules shall include at least all of the following:
- 1. The application procedures for certification and recertification as an 14 independent review organization.
 - 2. The standards that the commissioner will use for certifying and recertifying organizations as independent review organizations, including standards for determining whether an independent review organization is unbiased.
 - 3. Procedures and processes, in addition to those in sub. (3), that independent review organizations must follow.
 - 4. What must be included in the report required under sub. (4) and the frequency with which the report must be filed with the commissioner.
 - Standards for the practices and conduct of independent review organizations.
 - 6. Standards, in addition to those in sub. (6), addressing conflicts of interest by independent review organizations.

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	7. Standards for contracts between insurers and independent review
2	organizations.
3	(b) The commissioner shall annually submit a report to the legislature under
4	s. 13.172 (2) that specifies the number of independent reviews requested under this
5	section in the preceding year, the insurers and health benefit plans involved in the
6	independent reviews and the dispositions of the independent reviews.
7	(c) To reflect changes in the consumer price index for all urban consumers, U.S.
8	city average, as determined by the U.S. department of labor, the commissioner shall
9	at least annually adjust the amounts specified in sub. (1) (a) 4. and (b) 4.
10	(6) Conflict of interest standards (a) An independent review organization
11	may not be affiliated with any of the following:
12	1. A health benefit plan.
13	2. A national, state or local trade association of health benefit plans, or an
14	affiliate of any such association.
15	3. A national, state or local trade association of health care providers, or an
16	affiliate of any such association.
17	(b) An independent review organization appointed to conduct an independent
18	review and a clinical peer reviewer assigned by an independent review organization

to conduct an independent review may not have a material professional, familial or

1. The insurer that is sued the health benefit plan that is the subject of the

2. Any officer, director or management employe of the insurer that issued the

health benefit plan that is the subject of the independent review.

financial interest with any of the following:

independent review.

2

3

4

5

6

15...

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3. The health care provider that recommended or provided the health care service or treatment that is the subject of the independent review, or the health care provider's medical group or independent practice association.
- 4. The facility at which the health care service or treatment that is the subject of the independent review was or would be provided.
- 5. The developer or manufacturer of the principal procedure, equipment, drug or device that is the subject of the independent review.
 - 6. The insured or his or her authorized representative.
- (6m) QUALIFICATIONS OF CLINICAL PEER REVIEWERS. A clinical peer reviewer who conducts a review on behalf of a certified independent review organization must satisfy all of the following requirements:
- 12 (a) Be a health care provider who is expert in treating the medical condition
 13 that is the subject of the review and who is knowledgeable about the treatment that
 14 is the subject of the review through actual clinical experience.
 - (b) Hold a credential, as defined in s. 440.01 (2) (a), that is not limited or restricted; or hold a license, certificate, registration or permit that authorizes or qualifies the health care provider to perform acts substantially the same as those acts authorized by a credential, as defined in s. 440.01 (2) (a), that was issued by a governmental authority in a jurisdiction outside this state and that is not limited or restricted.
 - (c) If a physician, hold a current certification by a recognized American medical specialty board in the area or areas appropriate to the subject of the review.
 - (d) Have no history of disciplinary sanctions, including loss of staff privileges, taken or pending by the medical examining board or another regulatory body or by any hospital or government.

1

2

3

4

5.

6

J. - 33.3

15

16

17 .

18

19

20

21

22

23

- (7) IMMUNITY. (a) A certified independent review organization and a clinical peer reviewer who conducts reviews on behalf of a certified independent review organization shall not be liable in damages to any person for any opinion rendered during or at the completion of an independent review.
- (b) A health benefit plan that is the subject of an independent review and the insurer that issued the health benefit plan shall not be liable in damages to any person for complying with any decision rendered by a certified independent review organization during or at the completion of an independent review.
- commissioner shall make a determination that a sufficient number of independent review organizations have been certified under sub. (4) to effectively provide the independent reviews required under this section and shall publish a notice in the Wisconsin Administrative Register that states a date that is 6 months after the commissioner makes that determination. The date stated in the notice shall be the date on which the independent review procedure under this section begins operating.
- (9) APPLICABILITY. The independent review required under this section shall be available to an insured who receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d) on or after the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date]. Notwithstanding sub. (2) (c), an insured who receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d) on or after the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], but before the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner in the Wisconsin Administrative Register under sub. (8) [revisor inserts date], must request an independent review no later

4

5

6

7

15

16

17 .

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	than 4 months after the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner in
2	the Wisconsin Administrative Register under sub. (8) [revisor inserts date].

Section 20. Nonstatutory provisions.

- (1) Rules regarding independent review.
- (a) The commissioner of insurance shall submit in proposed form the rules required under section 632.835 (5) (a) of the statutes, as created by this act, to the legislative council staff under section 227.15(1) of the statutes no later than the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this paragraph.
- (b) Using the procedure under section 227.24 of the statutes, the commissioner of insurance shall promulgate rules required under section 632,835 (5) (a) of the statutes; as created by this act, for the period before the effective date of the 12 permanent rules promulgated under section 632.835(5)(a) of the statutes, as created by this act, but not to exceed the period authorized under section 227.24 (1) (c) and 14 (2) of the statutes. Notwithstanding section 227.24 (1) (a), (2) (b) and (3) of the statutes, the commissioner is not required to provide evidence that promulgating a rule under this paragraph as an emergency rule is necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health, safety or welfare and is not required to provide a finding of emergency for a rule promulgated under this paragraph.

SECTION 21. Effective dates. This act takes effect on the day after publication, except as follows:

- (1) The treatment of sections 609.15 (1) (intro.), (a), (b) and (c) and (2) (intro.). (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) and 632.83 of the statutes takes effect on the first day of the 7th month beginning after publication.
- (2) The treatment of section 632.835 (2), (3), (3m) and (5) (b) and (c) of the statutes takes effect on the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner

- of insurance in the Wisconsin Administrative Register under section 632.835 (8) of
- 2 the statutes, as created by this act.

3 (END)

Kahler, Pam

From:

Sweet, Richard

Sent: To:

Monday, October 11, 1999 10:21 AM Kahler, Pam; Lonergan, Sandra

Subject:

Pam/Sandy,

Fred concurs with our initial impression that HIRSP is already covered under the independent review bill.

Dick

----Original Message--

Nepple, Fred

Sent:

Monday, October 11, 1999 9:49 AM

To:

Sweet, Richard; Mallow, Eileen

Subject:

Dick:

Section 149.18 says HIRSP shall comply with chs. 600-645. So I would agree with you (actually didn't we discuss this at one point?) DHFS may have a different view or at least concern.

----Original Message-----

From: Sweet, Richard

Sent: To:

Monday, October 11, 1999 9:38 AM

Nepple, Fred; Mallow, Eileen

Subject:

When we met on independent review last week, the group's inclination was to include HIRSP under the bill. Isn't HIRSP a health benefit plan, as defined in current law, and therefore already covered under the bill?

Dick Sweet

Richard Sweet, Senior Staff Attorney Wisconsin Legislative Council Staff P.O. Box 2536 (1 East Main Street, Room 401) Madison, WI 53701-2536 Phone (608)266-2982 Fax (608)266-3830

E-mail richard.sweet@legis.state.wi.us

Kahler, Pam

From:

Sweet, Richard

Sent: To:

Monday, October 11, 1999 1:06 PM Kahler, Pam; Lonergan, Sandra

Subject:

RE: ier

Pam.

I agree. It would be saying the exact same thing twice.

----Original Message----From:

Sent:

Kahler, Pam

To:

Monday, October 11, 1999 12:24 PM Lonergan, Sandra; Sweet, Richard

Subject:

Sandy and Dick:

I think it is redundant and unnecessary. Lines 12 to 14 on page 15 already say that a reviewer must be a health care provider who is expert in treating the medical condition and will say "through current, actual clinical experience." I think it is unnecessary to say that if the health care provider is a physician, he or she must be expert in treating the medical condition through current, actual clinical experience

----Original Message----

From: Lonergan, Sandra

Monday, October 11, 1999 12:20 PM Sent:

Sweet, Richard To: Cc: Kahler, Pam Subject: FW: ier

Dick & Pam.

I haven't shared this with Gregg yet, but what do you think? Sandy

----Original Message----

From: Colleen Wilson [mailto:COLLEENW@SMSWI.ORG] < mailto:

[mailto:COLLEENW@SMSWI.ORG]>

Sent: Monday, October 11, 1999 12:13 PM Sandra.lonergan@legis.state.wi.us To:

Subject: ier

Hi Sandy - Took me longer than I thought - glad I didn't keep you on hold- but I think I found what one of our members was after. In reviewing his suggestion, I think he is just looking for reinforcement of the current language.

On p. 15 of AB 518, line 22, he would like to add language to the effect that the physician who is board certified be in the active practice of the field being reviewed. This seems to reiterate what is on p. 15, lines 12-13, but may clarity to the bill. If Dick and Pam are comfortable with including the "expert in treating the medical condition that is the subject of the review" to line 22 on p. 15, it would ease his mind.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. Call me if you have any questions/concerns.

colleen wilson sms



State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRBs0139/

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT,

TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 518

1

;; relating to: M

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

2 (END)

required because of the enrollee's medical condition, all specified time limits are shortened, and the independent review organization must make a determination within 72 hours after the expiration of all relevant time limits in the matter. The bill specifies certain review standards for independent review organizations, including under what circumstances treatment that was considered experimental by the health benefit plan must be covered. The decision at the conclusion of an independent review, which is binding on the insured and the health benefit plan, must be in writing and served on both parties.

The bill contains prohibitions aimed at avoiding conflicts of interest for independent review organizations, such as prohibiting an independent review organization from owning, controlling or being a subsidiary of a health benefit plan or an association of health benefit plans. The bill also provides independent review organizations and clinical peer reviewers with immunity from liability for decisions made in independent reviews.

The bill requires the commissioner to promulgate rules relating to such topics as the application procedures and standards for certification and recertification of independent review organizations, additional procedures and processes that independent review organizations must use in independent reviews, standards for the practices and conduct of independent review organizations and additional standards related to conflicts of interest.

Finally, the bill requires the commissioner to determine when a sufficient number of independent review organizations have been certified to effectively provide the independent reviews required under the bill. When the commissioner makes that determination, the commissioner must publish a notice in the Wisconsin Administrative Register that specifies a date that is six months after the determination is made. That date is the date on which the independent review procedure must begin operating.

For further information see the state and local fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 40.51 (8) of the statutes is amended to read:

2 40.51 (8) Every health care coverage plan offered by the state under sub. (6)

shall comply with ss. 631.89, 631.90, 631.93(2), 632.72(2), 632.746(1) to (8) and (10),

4 632.747, 632.748, <u>632.83</u>, <u>632.835</u>, 632.85, 632.853, 632.855, 632.87 (3) to (5),

632.895 (5m) and (8) to (13) and 632.896.

1

3

5

6

SECTION 2. 40.51 (8m) of the statutes is amended to read:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	40.51 (8m) Every health care coverage plan offered by the group insurance
2	board under sub. (7) shall comply with ss. 632.746 (1) to (8) and (10), 632.747,
3	632.748, <u>632.83</u> , <u>632.835</u> , 632.85, 632.853, 632.855 and 632.895 (11) to (13).
4	Section 3. 111.91 (2) (r) of the statutes is created to read:

111.91 (2) (r) The requirements related to internal grievance procedures under s. 632.83 and independent review of certain health benefit plan determinations under s. 632.835.

SECTION 4. 600.01 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

600.01 (2) (b) Group or blanket insurance described in sub. (1) (b) 3. and 4. is not exempt from ss. 632.745 to 632.749, 632.83 or 632.835 or ch. 633 or 635.

SECTION 5. 601.31 (1) (Lp) of the statutes is created to read:

12 601.31 (1) (Lp) For certifying as an independent review organization under s.
13 632.835, \$400.

SECTION 6. 601.31 (1) (Lr) of the statutes is created to read:

601.31 (1) (Lr) For each biennial recertification as an independent review organization under s. 632.835, \$100.

SECTION 7. 601.42 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

601.42 (4) Replies. Any officer, manager or general agent of any insurer authorized to do or doing an insurance business in this state, any person controlling or having a contract under which the person has a right to control such an insurer, whether exclusively or otherwise, any person with executive authority over or in charge of any segment of such an insurer's affairs, any individual practice association or officer, director or manager of an individual practice association, any insurance agent or other person licensed under chs. 600 to 646, any provider of services under a continuing care contract, as defined in s. 647.01 (2), any

or recentified

(1)independent review organization certified funder s. 632.835 (4) or any health care 2 provider, as defined in s. 655.001 (8), shall reply promptly in writing or in other 3 designated form, to any written inquiry from the commissioner requesting a reply. > (title) and 609.15 (1) (intro.) of the statutes when the red SECTION 8. amended to read: 5 609.15 Grievance procedure. Each limited service health organization, 6 preferred provider plan/and man/aged care plan shall do all of the following establish and use an internal grievance procedure as provided in s 632.83**Section 9.** 609.15 (1) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (2) (a) and 9 amended to read: 10 632.83 (2) (a) Establish and use an internal grievance procedure that is 11 12 approved by the commissioner and that complies with sub. (2) (3) for the resolution of enrollees' insureds' grievances with the limited service health organization, 13 preferred provider plan or managed care health benefit plan. 14 **SECTION 10.** 609.15 (1) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (2) (b) and 15 16 amended to read: 17 632.83 (2) (b) Provide enrollees insureds with complete and understandable 18 information describing the internal grievance procedure under par. (a). 19 **SECTION 11.** 609.15 (1) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (2) (c). **Section 12.** 609.15 (2) (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (intro.) 20 21 and amended to read: 22 632.83 (3) (intro.) The internal grievance procedure established under sub. (1) 23 (2) (a) shall include all of the following elements: 24 **Section 13.** 609.15 (2) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (a) and 25 amended to read:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

632.83 (3) (a) The opportunity for an enrollee insured to submit a written grievance in any form.

SECTION 14. 609.15 (2) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (b) and amended to read:

632.83 (3) (b) Establishment of a grievance panel for the investigation of each grievance submitted under par. (a), consisting of at least one individual authorized to take corrective action on the grievance and at least one enrollee insured other than the grievant, if an enrollee insured is available to serve on the grievance panel.

SECTION 15. 609.15 (2) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (c).

SECTION 16. 609.15 (2) (d) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (d).

SECTION 17. 609.15 (2) (e) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (e).

SECTION 18. 632.83 of the statutes is created to read:

plan" has the meaning given in s. 632.745 (11), except that "health benefit plan" includes the coverage specified in s. 632.745 (11) (b) 10.

(2) health benefit plan shall do all of the following:

SECTION 19. 632.835 of the statutes is created to read:

determinations. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

- (a) "Adverse determination" means a determination by or on behalf of a health benefit plan to which all of the following apply:
- 1. An admission to a health care facility, the availability of care, the continued stay or **fortigue at the stay of the stay o**

other treatment

reatment

ASSEMBLY BILL 518

treatment

2. Based on the information provided, the last the under subd. 1. does not meet the health benefit plan's requirements for medical necessity, appropriateness, health care setting, level of care or effectiveness.

3. Based on the information provided, the health benefit plan reduced, denied or terminated the health course under subd. 1. or payment for the health care

under subd. 1.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

(20)

 $\widehat{21}$

22

23

24

25

4. Subject to sub. (5) (c), the amount of the reduction or the value of the denied or terminated or payment exceeds \$500 excluding deductibles and

- (b) "Experimental treatment determination" means a determination by or on behalf of a health benefit plan to which all of the following apply:
 - 1. A proposed treatment has been reviewed.
- 2. Based on the information provided, the treatment under subd. 1. is determined to be experimental under the terms of the health benefit plan.
- 3. Based on the information provided, the health benefit plan denied the treatment under subd. 1. or payment for the treatment under subd. 1.
- 4. Subject to sub. (5) (c), the value of the denied treatment or payment exceeds \$500 Kex Nuclific deductibles and compay from (5)
- (c) "Health benefit plan" has the meaning given in s. 632.745 (11), except that "health benefit plan" includes the coverage specified in s. 632.745 (11) (b) 10.
- (2) REVIEW REQUIREMENTS; WHO MAY CONDUCT. (a) Every health benefit plan shall establish an independent review procedure whereby an insured under the health benefit plan, or his or her authorized representative, may request and obtain an independent review of an adverse determination or an experimental treatment determination made with respect to the insured.

insurer that issues

Quest 7-20

- (b) An independent review under this section may be conducted only by an independent review organization certified under sub. (4). Every insurer issuing a health benefit plan shall contract with one or more independent review organizations certified under sub. (4) for the purpose of conducting independent reviews of adverse determinations and experimental treatment determinations made by or on behalf of the health benefit plan. The term of a contract with an independent review organization may not be less than 2 years. If an insurer fails to renew the contract of an independent review organization at the end of the contract term, the insurer shall inform the commissioner that the contract has not been renewed and of the reasons for the nonrenewal.
- (c) An insured must exhaust the health benefit plan's internal grievance procedure before the insured may request an independent review under this section, unless the delay will result for the insured in serious injury or impairment or a life—threatening condition, as determined by the insured's treating health care provider. Except as provided in sub. (9), an insured must request an independent review as provided in sub. (3) (a) within 4 months after the insured receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d).
- Whenever an adverse determination or an experimental treatment determination is made, the **Math benefit plan** involved in the determination shall notice to the insured's right to obtain the independent review required under this section, how to request the review and the time within which the review must be requested.
- 23 (3) PROCEDURE. (a) To request an independent review, an insured or his or her authorized representative shall provide timely written notice of the request for independent review to the health penetral that made or on whose behalf was

nsurer

>, and of the

independent

ganization selected,

PJK:wlj:mrc and the independer **ASSEMBLY BILL 518** made the adverse or experimental treatment determination. The health benefit plan shall immediately notify the commissioner of the request for independent review and and tify the insured of the name and address of the independent review organization that will be conducting the review. The insured or his or her authorized representative must pay a \$50 fee to the independent review organization. If the 5 6 insured prevails on the review, in whole or in part, the entire amount paid by the \mathcal{D} insured or his or her authorized representative shall be refunded by the Kealth. be to the insured or his or her authorized representative. For each independent review in which it is involved, when the next plan shall pay a fee to 10 the independent review organization. 11 (b) Within 3 business days after receiving written notice of a request for independent review under par. (a), the lightly begrettly land shall submit to the 12 13 independent review organization copies of all of the following: (14)1. Any information submitted to the kealth behefit from by the insured in 15 support of the insured's position in the internal grievance under s. 632.83. 2. The contract provisions or evidence of coverage of the health benefit plan. 16 $\widehat{17}$ 3. Any other relevant documents or information used by the Meditabette plan 18 in the internal grievance determination under s. 632.83. 19 (c) Within 5 business days after receiving the information under par. (b), the 20 independent review organization shall request any additional information that it 21 requires for the review from the insured or the Wealth benefit plan.) Within 5 business days after receiving a request for additional information, the insured or beatter 22 the insurer 23 berefit from shall submit the information or an explanation of why the information 24 is not being submitted.

9

1999 – 2000 Legislature

LRB-2313/3

(Í) 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12)

Plano

MADO

-13 14

15

16

(19

21 22

C In addition to the information under pars. (b) and (c), the independent review organization may accept for consideration any typed or printed, verifiable medical or scientific evidence that the independent review organization determines is relevant, regardless of whether the evidence has been submitted for consideration at any time previously. The health benefit plan and the insured shall submit to the other party to the independent review any information submitted to the independent review organization under pars. (b)

An independent review under this section may not include appearances by the insured or his or her authorized representative, any person representing the health benefit plan or any witness on behalf of either the insured or the beatth belief

independent review organization shall, within 30 business days after the expiration of all time limits that apply in the matter, make a decision on the basis of the documents and information submitted under this subsection. The decision shall be in writing, signed on behalf of the independent review organization and served by personal delivery or by mailing a copy to the insured or his or her authorized representative and to the Meath benefit plan. A decision of an independent review organization is binding on the insured and the health benefit-

(g) If, in the judgment of the insured's treating health care provider, the adverse or experimental treatment determination relates to a serious injury or impairment or a life-threatening condition the procedure outlined in pars. (b) to (f) shall be

followed with the following differences:

Jusat 10-23

.

insurer

- 1. The ket the before shan shall submit the information under par. (b) within one day after receiving the notice of the request for independent review under par.

 (a).
 - 2. The independent review organization shall request any additional information under par. (c) within 2 business days after receiving the information under par. (b).
 - 3. The insured or **Mostly blan** shall, within 2 days after receiving a request under par. (c), submit any information requested or an explanation of why the information is not being submitted.
 - 4. The independent review organization shall make its decision under par. (f) within 72 hours after the expiration of the time limits under this paragraph that apply in the matter.
 - (3m) STANDARDS FOR DECISIONS. (a) A decision of an independent review organization regarding an adverse determination must be consistent with the terms of the health benefit plan under which the adverse determination was made.
 - (b) A decision of an independent review organization regarding an experimental treatment determination is limited to a determination of whether the proposed treatment is experimental. The independent review organization shall determine that the treatment is not experimental and find in favor of the insured only if the independent review organization finds all of the following:
 - 1. The insured has a terminal condition, or the insured's ability to regain or maintain maximum function would be impaired by withholding the proposed treatment.

 $\overline{21}$

- 2. The insured has a condition for which standard treatment would not be medically indicated for the insured or for which there is no standard treatment available that would be as beneficial for the insured as the proposed treatment.
- 3. Scientifically valid studies using accepted protocols and published in peer reviewed literature demonstrate that the proposed treatment is likely to be more beneficial for the insured than available standard treatment.
- 4. The proposed treatment is not specifically excluded under the terms of the health benefit plan and would be covered except for the determination that the treatment is experimental for the insured's condition.
- (4) CERTIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW ORGANIZATIONS. (a) The commissioner shall certify independent review organizations. An independent review organization must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the commissioner that it is unbiased, as defined by the commissioner by rule. An organization certified under this paragraph must be recertified on a biennial basis to continue to provide independent review services under this section.
- (b) An organization applying for certification or recertification as an independent review organization shall pay the applicable fee under s. 601.31(1)(Lp) or (Lr). Every organization certified or recertified as an independent review organization shall file a report with the commissioner in accordance with rules promulgated under sub. (5) (a) 4.
- (c) The commissioner may examine, audit or accept an audit of the books and records of an independent review organization as provided for examination of licensees and permittees under s. 601.43 (1), (3), (4) and (5), to be conducted as provided in s. 601.44, and with costs to be paid as provided in s. 601.45.

		5
٨		6
-		7
) -		8
,		9
, ` 	1	0
	1	1

- (d) The commissioner may revoke, suspend or limit in whole or in part the certification of an independent review organization, or may refuse to recertify an independent review organization, if the commissioner finds that the independent review organization is unqualified or has violated an insurance statute or rule or a valid order of the commissioner under s. 601.41 (4), or if the independent review organization's methods or practices in the conduct of its business endanger, or its financial resources are inadequate to safeguard, the legitimate interests of consumers and the public. The commissioner may summarily suspend an independent review organization's certification under s. 227.51 (3).
- (5) RULES; REPORT; ADJUSTMENTS. (a) The commissioner shall promulgate rules for the independent review required under this section. The rules shall include at least all of the following:
- 1. The application procedures for certification and recertification as an independent review organization.
- 2. The standards that the commissioner will use for certifying and recertifying organizations as independent review organizations, including standards for determining whether an independent review organization is unbiased.
- 3. Procedures and processes, in addition to those in sub. (3), that independent review organizations must follow.
- 4. What must be included in the report required under sub. (4) and the frequency with which the report must be filed with the commissioner.
- 5. Standards for the practices and conduct of independent review organizations.
- 6. Standards, in addition to those in sub. (6), addressing conflicts of interest by independent review organizations.

V. Standards for contracts between insurers and independent review organizations.

- (b) The commissioner shall annually submit a report to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) that specifies the number of independent reviews requested under this section in the preceding year, the insurers and health benefit plans involved in the independent reviews and the dispositions of the independent reviews.
- (c) To reflect changes in the consumer price index for all urban consumers, U.S. city average, as determined by the U.S. department of labor, the commissioner shall at least annually adjust the amounts specified in sub. (1) (a) 4. and (b) 4.
- (6) CONFLICT OF INTEREST STANDARDS. (a) An independent review organization may not be affiliated with any of the following:
 - 1. A health benefit plan.
- 2. A national, state or local trade association of health benefit plans, or an affiliate of any such association.
- 3. A national, state or local trade association of health care providers, or an affiliate of any such association.
- (b) An independent review organization appointed to conduct an independent review and a clinical peer reviewer assigned by an independent review organization to conduct an independent review may not have a material professional, familial or financial interest with any of the following:
- 1. The insurer that issued the health benefit plan that is the subject of the independent review.
- 2. Any officer, director or management employe of the insurer that issued the health benefit plan that is the subject of the independent review.

3. The health care provider that recommended or provided the health care
service or treatment that is the subject of the independent review, or the health care
provider's medical group or independent practice association.
4. The facility at which the health care service or treatment that is the subject
of the independent review was or would be provided.

- 5. The developer or manufacturer of the principal procedure, equipment, drug or device that is the subject of the independent review.
 - 6. The insured or his or her authorized representative.
- (6m) QUALIFICATIONS OF CLINICAL PEER REVIEWERS. A clinical peer reviewer who conducts a review on behalf of a certified independent review organization must satisfy all of the following requirements:
- (a) Be a health care provider who is expert in treating the medical condition that is the subject of the review and who is knowledgeable about the treatment that is the subject of the review through actual clinical experience.
- (b) Hold a credential, as defined in s. 440.01 (2) (a), that is not limited or restricted; or hold a license, certificate, registration or permit that authorizes or qualifies the health care provider to perform acts substantially the same as those acts authorized by a credential, as defined in s. 440.01 (2) (a), that was issued by a governmental authority in a jurisdiction outside this state and that is not limited or restricted.
- (c) If a physician, hold a current certification by a recognized American medical specialty board in the area or areas appropriate to the subject of the review.
- (d) Have no history of disciplinary sanctions, including loss of staff privileges, taken or pending by the medical examining board or another regulatory body or by any hospital or government.

(1)

(2) (3)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ensort 16-11

(7) IMMUNITY. (a) A certified independent review organization and a clinical peer reviewer who conducts reviews on behalf of a certified independent review

organization shall not be liable in damages to any person for any opinion rendered

during or at the completion of an independent review.

(b) A health benefit plan that is the subject of an independent review and the insurer that issued the health benefit plan shall not be liable in damages to any person for complying with any decision rendered by a certified independent review organization during or at the completion of an independent review.

- (8) NOTICE OF SUFFICIENT INDEPENDENT REVIEW ORGANIZATIONS. The commissioner shall make a determination that was been certified under sub. (4) to effectively provide the independent reviews required under this section and shall publish a notice in the Wisconsin Administrative Register that states a date that is months after the commissioner makes that determination. The date stated in the notice shall be the date on which the independent review procedure under this section begins operating.
- (9) APPLICABILITY. The independent review required under this section shall be available to an insured who receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d) on or after the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date]. Notwithstanding sub. (2) (c), an insured who receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d) on or after the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], but before the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner in the Wisconsin Administrative Register under sub. (8) [revisor inserts date], must request an independent review no later

19

20

(22)

23

24

25

than 4 months after the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner in the Wisconsin Administrative Register under sub. (8) [revisor inserts date].

17 -

- 3 Section 20. Nonstatutory provisions.
- (1) Rules regarding independent review.
- The commissioner of insurance shall submit in proposed form the rules required under section 632.835 (5) (a) of the statutes, as created by this act, to the legislative council staff under section 227.15 (1) of the statutes no later than the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this paragraph.
- 9 (b) Using the procedure under section 227.24 of the statutes, the commissioner of insurance shall promulgate rules required under section 632.835 (5) (a) of the 10 statutes, as created by this act, for the period before the effective date of the permanent rules promulgated under section 632.835(5)(a) of the statutes, as created. 2 by this act, but not to exceed the period authorized under section 227.24 (1) (c) and 13 (2) of the statutes. Notwithstanding section 227.24 (1) (a) (2) (b) and (3) of the 14 tatutes, the commissioner is not required to provide evidence that promulgating a 15 rule under this paragraph as an emergency rule is necessary for the preservation of 16 the public peace, health, safety or welfare and is not required to provide a finding of 17 18 emergency for a rule promulgated under this paragraph,
 - SECTION 21. Effective dates. This act takes effect on the day after publication, except as follows:
 - (1) The treatment of sections 609.15 (1) (intro.), (a), (b) and (c) and (2) (intro.), (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) and 632.83 of the statutes takes effect on the first day of the 7th month beginning after publication.
 - (2) The treatment of section 632.835 (2), (3), (3m) and (5) (b) and (c) of the statutes takes effect on the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner

of insurance in the Wisconsin Administrative Register under section 632.835 (8) of

2 the statutes, as created by this act.

3 (END)

La Contraction of the contractio

LRB-2313/3 PJK:wlj:mrc

1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 518

gusat 1-1

propertylas.

October 5, 1999 – Introduced by Representatives Underheim, F. Lasee, Musser, Kelso, Urban, Ladwig, Albers, Kaufert and Kedzie, cosponsored by Senators Breske, Rosenzweig, Roessler, Darbing, Schultz and Drzewiecki. Referred to Committee on Insurance.

AN ACT to renumber 609.15 (1) (c), 609.15 (2) (c), 609.15 (2) (d) and 609.15 (2)

(e); to renumber and amend 609.15 (1) (intro.), 609.15 (1) (a), 609.15 (1) (b),

609.15 (2) (intro.), 609.15 (2) (a) and 609.15 (2) (b); to amend 40.51 (8), 40.51

(8m), 600.01 (2) (b) and 601.42 (4); and to create 111.91 (2) (r), 601.31 (1) (Lp),

601.31 (1) (Lr), 632.83 and 632.835 of the statutes; relating to: requiring all insurers to establish internal grievance procedures, independent review of certain coverage determinations made by health benefit plans granting rule—making authority and providing amendment from emergency rule.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, every managed care plan is required to have an internal grievance procedure under which an enrollee may submit a written grievance and a grievance panel must investigate the grievance and, if appropriate, take corrective action. This bill requires every health benefit plan to have such an internal grievance procedure. In addition, the bill requires every health benefit plan, including managed care plans and plans covering state and municipal employes, to have an independent review procedure for review of certain decisions under the

(and of ins. 1-1)

.......

1999-2000 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

INSERT 6-11

SECTION 4. 609.655 (4) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

609.655 (4) (b) Upon completion of the review under par. (a), the medical director of the managed care plan shall determine whether the policy or certificate will provide coverage of any further treatment for the dependent student's nervous or mental disorder or alcoholism or other drug abuse problems that is provided by a provider located in reasonably close proximity to the school in which the student is enrolled. If the dependent student disputes the medical director's determination, the dependent student may submit a written grievance under the managed care plan's internal grievance procedure established under s. 609.15 632.83.

History: 1989 a. 121; 1993 a. 399; 1997 a. 237.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

(END OF INSERT 6-11)

INSERT 6-15

10 and includes a policy, certificate or contract under s. 632.745 (11) (b) 9. that provides
11 only limited-scope dental or vision benefits.

(END OF INSERT 6-15)

INSERT 7-20

12 (d) "Treatment" means a medical service, diagnosis, procedure, therapy, drug or device.

(END OF INSERT 7-20)

INSERT 8-22

14 The notice shall include a current listing of independent review organizations certified under sub. (4). An independent review under this section may be conducted

- ...:...
- only by an independent review organization certified under sub. (4) and selected by the insured.

- (c) Except as provided in par. (d), an insured must exhaust the internal grievance procedure under s. 632.83 before the insured may request an independent review under this section. Except as provided in sub. (9), an insured who uses the internal grievance procedure must request an independent review as provided in sub. (3) (a) within 4 months after the insured receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d).
- (d) An insured is not required to exhaust the internal grievance procedure values of the following apply:
- 1. The insured and the insurer agree that the matter may proceed directly to independent review under sub. (3). \checkmark
- 2. Along with the notice to the insurer of the request for independent review under sub. (3) (a), the insured submits to the independent review organization selected by the insured a request to bypass the internal grievance procedure under s. 632.83 and the independent review organization determines that the health condition of the insured is such that requiring the insured to use the internal grievance procedure before proceeding to independent review would jeopardize the life or health of the insured or the insured's ability to regain maximum function.

(END OF INSERT 8-22)

INSERT 10-7



...:...:...

If, on the basis of any additional information, the insurer reconsiders the insured's grievance and determines that the treatment that was the subject of the grievance should be covered, the independent review is terminated.

(END OF INSERT 10-7)

INSERT 10-12

4 (END OF INSERT 10-12)

INSERT 10-23

(g) If the independent review organization determines that the health condition of the insured is such that following the procedure outlined in pars. (b) to (f) would jeopardize the life or health of the insured or the insured's ability to regain maximum function, the procedure outlined in pars. (b) to (f) shall be followed with the following differences:

(END OF INSERT 10-23)

INSERT 12-9

- 10 1. The treatment has been approved by the federal food and drug administration.
 - 2. Medically and scientifically accepted evidence clearly demonstrates that the treatment meets all of the following criteria:
 - a. The treatment is proven safe.

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

b. The treatment can be expected to produce greater benefits than the standard treatment without posing a greater adverse risk to the insured.

...:...:

c. The treatment meets the coverage terms of the health benefit plan and is not specifically excluded under the terms of the health benefit plan.

(END OF INSERT 12-9)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

INSERT 12-15

- (ag) An independent review organization shall have in operation a quality assurance mechanism to ensure the timeliness and quality of the independent reviews, the qualifications and independence of the clinical peer reviewers and the confidentiality of the medical records and review materials.
- (ap) An independent review organization shall determine the fees that it will charge for independent reviews and submit its fee schedule to the commissioner for approval. An independent review organization may not change any fees approved by the commissioner more than once per year and shall submit any proposed fee changes to the commissioner for approval.

(END OF INSERT 12-15)

INSERT 13-9

- (e) The commissioner shall keep an up—to—date listing of certified independent review organizations and shall provide a copy of the listing to all of the following:
 - 1. Every insurer that is subject to this section, at least quarterly.
 - 2. Any person who requests a copy of the listing.

(END OF INSERT 13-9)

SENATE BILL 246

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Quesat 16-1

liability that may result because of an independent review determination made under this section. An employe, agent or contractor of maintenance organization is immune from civil liability and criminal prosecution for any act or omission done in good faith within the scope of his or her powers and duties under this section.

- (7) INDEPENDENT REVIEW ORGANIZATIONS; CERTIFICATION. (a) The commissioner shall certify and recertify independent review organizations that may conduct independent reviews under this section.
- (b) An independent review organization shall submit to the commissioner in its application for certification the following information:
- 1. The names of all owners of more than 5% of any stock or options, if a publicly held organization.
 - 2. The names of all holders of bonds or notes in excess of \$100,000, if any.
- 3. The names and types of business of all corporations and organizations that the independent review organization controls or is affiliated with and the nature and extent of any ownership or control.
- 4. The names of all directors, officers and executives of the independent review organization and the nature of any relationship that a director, officer or executive has, if any, with a provider group or a health care insurer, including a limited service health organization, preferred provider plan or managed care plan.
- (e) Within 30 days of any change in the information submitted under par (b), the independent review organization shall notify the commissioner of the change.

(and Jim. 16-1)

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBs0139/?dn

This substitute amendment contains the changes we discussed at our meeting on Friday, October 8. I added a provision that we did not explicitly discuss. Since an insurer must provide a current listing of certified independent review organizations to an insured if the insurer makes an adverse determination or an experimental treatment determination, I required the commissioner to provide a current listing to each insurer at least quarterly and to any person who requests a listing. This provision is from 1999 Senate Bill 246. I hope this is okay.

Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682

E-mail: Pam.Kahler@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBs0139/1dn PJK:wlj:mrc

October 13, 1999

This substitute amendment contains the changes we discussed at our meeting on Friday, October 8. I added a provision that we did not explicitly discuss. Since an insurer must provide a current listing of certified independent review organizations to an insured if the insurer makes an adverse determination or an experimental treatment determination, I required the commissioner to provide a current listing to each insurer at least quarterly and to any person who requests a listing. This provision is from 1999 Senate Bill 246. I hope this is okay.

Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682

E-mail: Pam.Kahler@legis.state.wi.us

			DIRD
			20131
		A	
	CCC to ASA	1 to AB-518	3
	C C T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1 10 7.0 010	
	1 0 1 1 L	"paragraph" and	- 1 151 .1
# Page 10,	line 4. delete	paragraph and	Substitute
/(a. l _ L`a,ı2)			
"Subsection".			
	•		
•	•		
and the state of t			
		a committee not an arrange committee committee of a committee committee committee committee committee committee	



State of Misconsin 1999-2000 LEGISLATURE

CORRECTIONS IN:

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 518

Prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau (January 24, 2000)

1. Page 16, line 9: delete "paragraph" and substitute "subsection".

LRBs0139/1ccc-1 WLJ:ch