1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB518)

Received: **10/18/1999**

Received By: **kahlepj**

Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Sheldon Wasserman (608) 266-7671**

By/Representing: **Joe Hoey**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **kahlepj**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Insurance - health**

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

External review with direct access and right to sue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	kahlepj 10/19/1999	wjackson 10/19/1999		_____ _____			
/1			martykr 10/20/1999	_____ _____	lrb_docadmin 10/20/1999	lrb_docadmin 10/20/1999	

FE Sent For:

<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB518)

Received: **10/18/1999**

Received By: **kahlepj**

Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Sheldon Wasserman (608) 266-7671**

By/Representing: **Joe Hoey**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **kahlepj**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Insurance - health**

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

External review with direct access and right to sue

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	kahlepj	/1 WLJ 10/19	10/19	10 10/19			

FE Sent For:

<END>

LRB-2853

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **04/07/1999**

Received By: **mdsida**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Scott Walker (608) 266-9180**

By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **mdsida**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters: **olsenje**

Subject: **Correctional System - prisons**

Extra Copies: **DAK**
RPN

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Regulation of private prisons

Instructions:

See Attached

For Assembly

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/P1	olsenje 04/07/1999 mdsida 07/16/1999	wjackson 07/23/1999	jfrantze 07/27/1999	_____ _____ _____ _____			State
/P2	olsenje 08/02/1999	wjackson 08/02/1999	mclark 08/02/1999	_____ _____	lrb_docadmin 08/02/1999		State

FE Sent For: /1 WLJ 9/3 Kjf 9/7 Kjf/Km 9/8

<END>

(1) ↓ $20
a0766 (a) reduce filing fee < $20 nonrefundable fee
(b) ↓ threshold to $200

(2) (a) ↓ $20 – but keep refundable
(b) ↑ $200 for threshold
a0767

(3) direct access of ~~AB520~~ as amdt
a0768

(4) right to sue as amdt
a0769

(5) use sub a0139/1 as sub to bill

s0148 (a) ↓ $20 fee refundable
(b) ↓ $200 threshold
(c) include direct access stuff from AB 520
(d) include right to sue from AB 520 (3313)

State of Wisconsin
1999 – 2000 LEGISLATURE

148

LRBs0169/1
PJK:wlj:mrc

+RPN WLJ

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT ,

TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 518

WLJ

AN ACT ***to repeal*** 609.15 (title) and (1) (intro.); ***to renumber*** 609.15 (1) (c), 609.15 (2) (c), 609.15 (2) (d) and 609.15 (2) (e); ***to renumber and amend*** 609.15 (1) (a), 609.15 (1) (b), 609.15 (2) (intro.), 609.15 (2) (a) and 609.15 (2) (b); ***to amend*** 40.51 (8), 40.51 (8m), 600.01 (2) (b), 601.42 (4) and 609.655 (4) (b); and ***to create*** 111.91 (2) (r), 601.31 (1) (Lp), 601.31 (1) (Lr), 632.83 and 632.835 of the statutes; **relating to:** requiring insurers to establish internal grievance procedures, independent review of certain coverage determinations made by health benefit plans and granting rule–making authority.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 40.51 (8) of the statutes is amended to read:

40.51 **(8)** Every health care coverage plan offered by the state under sub. (6) shall comply with ss. 631.89, 631.90, 631.93 (2), 632.72 (2), 632.746 (1) to (8) and (10),

632.747, 632.748, 632.83, 632.835, 632.85, 632.853, 632.855, 632.87 (3) to (5), 632.895 (5m) and (8) to (13) and 632.896.

SECTION 2. 40.51 (8m) of the statutes is amended to read:

40.51 **(8m)** Every health care coverage plan offered by the group insurance board under sub. (7) shall comply with ss. 632.746 (1) to (8) and (10), 632.747, 632.748, 632.83, 632.835, 632.85, 632.853, 632.855 and 632.895 (11) to (13).

SECTION 3. 111.91 (2) (r) of the statutes is created to read:

111.91 **(2)** (r) The requirements related to internal grievance procedures under s. 632.83 and independent review of certain health benefit plan determinations under s. 632.835.

SECTION 4. 600.01 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

600.01 **(2)** (b) Group or blanket insurance described in sub. (1) (b) 3. and 4. is not exempt from ss. 632.745 to 632.749, 632.83 or 632.835 or ch. 633 or 635.

SECTION 5. 601.31 (1) (Lp) of the statutes is created to read:

601.31 **(1)** (Lp) For certifying as an independent review organization under s. 632.835, $400.

SECTION 6. 601.31 (1) (Lr) of the statutes is created to read:

601.31 **(1)** (Lr) For each biennial recertification as an independent review organization under s. 632.835, $100.

SECTION 7. 601.42 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

601.42 **(4)** REPLIES. Any officer, manager or general agent of any insurer authorized to do or doing an insurance business in this state, any person controlling or having a contract under which the person has a right to control such an insurer, whether exclusively or otherwise, any person with executive authority over or in charge of any segment of such an insurer's affairs, any individual practice

association or officer, director or manager of an individual practice association, any insurance agent or other person licensed under chs. 600 to 646, any provider of services under a continuing care contract, as defined in s. 647.01 (2), any independent review organization certified or recertified under s. 632.835 (4) or any health care provider, as defined in s. 655.001 (8), shall reply promptly in writing or in other designated form, to any written inquiry from the commissioner requesting a reply.

Insert 3-7

SECTION 8. 609.15 (title) and (1) (intro.) of the statutes are repealed.

SECTION 9. 609.15 (1) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (2) (a) and amended to read:

632.83 **(2)** (a) Establish and use an internal grievance procedure that is approved by the commissioner and that complies with sub. ~~(2)~~ (3) for the resolution of ~~enrollees'~~ insureds' grievances with the ~~limited service health organization, preferred provider plan or managed care~~ health benefit plan.

SECTION 10. 609.15 (1) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (2) (b) and amended to read:

632.83 **(2)** (b) Provide ~~enrollees~~ insureds with complete and understandable information describing the internal grievance procedure under par. (a).

SECTION 11. 609.15 (1) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (2) (c).

SECTION 12. 609.15 (2) (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (intro.) and amended to read:

632.83 **(3)** (intro.) The internal grievance procedure established under sub. ~~(1)~~ (2) (a) shall include all of the following elements:

SECTION 13. 609.15 (2) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (a) and amended to read:

632.83 **(3)** (a) The opportunity for an ~~enrollee~~ insured to submit a written grievance in any form.

SECTION 14. 609.15 (2) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (b) and amended to read:

632.83 **(3)** (b) Establishment of a grievance panel for the investigation of each grievance submitted under par. (a), consisting of at least one individual authorized to take corrective action on the grievance and at least one ~~enrollee~~ insured other than the grievant, if an ~~enrollee~~ insured is available to serve on the grievance panel.

Insert 4-11

SECTION 15. 609.15 (2) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (c).

SECTION 16. 609.15 (2) (d) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (d).

SECTION 17. 609.15 (2) (e) of the statutes is renumbered 632.83 (3) (e).

SECTION 18. 609.655 (4) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

609.655 **(4)** (b) Upon completion of the review under par. (a), the medical director of the managed care plan shall determine whether the policy or certificate will provide coverage of any further treatment for the dependent student's nervous or mental disorder or alcoholism or other drug abuse problems that is provided by a provider located in reasonably close proximity to the school in which the student is enrolled. If the dependent student disputes the medical director's determination, the dependent student may submit a written grievance under the managed care plan's internal grievance procedure established under s. ~~609.15~~ 632.83.

SECTION 19. 632.83 of the statutes is created to read:

632.83 Internal grievance procedure. (1) In this section, "health benefit plan" has the meaning given in s. 632.745 (11), except that "health benefit plan" includes the coverage specified in s. 632.745 (11) (b) 2., 3., 5. and 10. and includes a

policy, certificate or contract under s. 632.745 (11) (b) 9. that provides only limited–scope dental or vision benefits.

(2) Every insurer that issues a health benefit plan shall do all of the following:

SECTION 20. 632.835 of the statutes is created to read:

632.835 Independent review of adverse and experimental treatment determinations. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

(a) "Adverse determination" means a determination by or on behalf of an insurer that issues a health benefit plan to which all of the following apply:

1. An admission to a health care facility, the availability of care, the continued stay or other treatment that is a covered benefit has been reviewed.

2. Based on the information provided, the treatment under subd. 1. does not meet the health benefit plan's requirements for medical necessity, appropriateness, health care setting, level of care or effectiveness.

3. Based on the information provided, the insurer that issued the health benefit plan reduced, denied or terminated the treatment under subd. 1. or payment for the treatment under subd. 1.

4. Subject to sub. (5) (c), the amount of the reduction or the value of the denied or terminated treatment or payment exceeds $~~700~~ 200.

(b) "Experimental treatment determination" means a determination by or on behalf of a health benefit plan to which all of the following apply:

1. A proposed treatment has been reviewed.

2. Based on the information provided, the treatment under subd. 1. is determined to be experimental under the terms of the health benefit plan.

3. Based on the information provided, the insurer that issued the health benefit plan denied the treatment under subd. 1. or payment for the treatment under subd. 1.

4. Subject to sub. (5) (c), the value of the denied treatment or payment exceeds $~~500~~ 200.

(c) "Health benefit plan" has the meaning given in s. 632.745 (11), except that "health benefit plan" includes the coverage specified in s. 632.745 (11) (b) 2., 3., 5. and 10.

(d) "Treatment" means a medical service, diagnosis, procedure, therapy, drug or device.

(2) REVIEW REQUIREMENTS; WHO MAY CONDUCT. (a) Every insurer that issues a health benefit plan shall establish an independent review procedure whereby an insured under the health benefit plan, or his or her authorized representative, may request and obtain an independent review of an adverse determination or an experimental treatment determination made with respect to the insured.

(b) Whenever an adverse determination or an experimental treatment determination is made, the insurer involved in the determination shall provide notice to the insured of the insured's right to obtain the independent review required under this section, how to request the review and the time within which the review must be requested. The notice shall include a current listing of independent review organizations certified under sub. (4). An independent review under this section may be conducted only by an independent review organization certified under sub. (4) and selected by the insured.

(c) Except as provided in par. (d), an insured must exhaust the internal grievance procedure under s. 632.83 before the insured may request an independent

review under this section. Except as provided in sub. (9), an insured who uses the internal grievance procedure must request an independent review as provided in sub. (3) (a) within 4 months after the insured receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d).

(d) An insured is not required to exhaust the internal grievance procedure under s. 632.83 before requesting an independent review if any of the following apply:

1. The insured and the insurer agree that the matter may proceed directly to independent review under sub. (3).

2. Along with the notice to the insurer of the request for independent review under sub. (3) (a), the insured submits to the independent review organization selected by the insured a request to bypass the internal grievance procedure under s. 632.83 and the independent review organization determines that the health condition of the insured is such that requiring the insured to use the internal grievance procedure before proceeding to independent review would jeopardize the life or health of the insured or the insured's ability to regain maximum function.

(3) PROCEDURE. (a) To request an independent review, an insured or his or her authorized representative shall provide timely written notice of the request for independent review, and of the independent review organization selected, to the insurer that made or on whose behalf was made the adverse or experimental treatment determination. The insurer shall immediately notify the commissioner and the independent review organization selected by the insured of the request for independent review. The insured or his or her authorized representative must pay a ~~$50~~ $20 fee to the independent review organization. If the insured prevails on the review, in whole or in part, the entire amount paid by the insured or his or her

authorized representative shall be refunded by the insurer to the insured or his or her authorized representative. For each independent review in which it is involved, an insurer shall pay a fee to the independent review organization.

(b) Within 3 business days after receiving written notice of a request for independent review under par. (a), the insurer shall submit to the independent review organization copies of all of the following:

1. Any information submitted to the insurer by the insured in support of the insured's position in the internal grievance under s. 632.83.

2. The contract provisions or evidence of coverage of the insured's health benefit plan.

3. Any other relevant documents or information used by the insurer in the internal grievance determination under s. 632.83.

(c) Within 5 business days after receiving the information under par. (b), the independent review organization shall request any additional information that it requires for the review from the insured or the insurer. Within 5 business days after receiving a request for additional information, the insured or the insurer shall submit the information or an explanation of why the information is not being submitted.

(d) An independent review under this section may not include appearances by the insured or his or her authorized representative, any person representing the health benefit plan or any witness on behalf of either the insured or the insurer.

(e) In addition to the information under pars. (b) and (c), the independent review organization may accept for consideration any typed or printed, verifiable medical or scientific evidence that the independent review organization determines is relevant, regardless of whether the evidence has been submitted for consideration

at any time previously. The insurer and the insured shall submit to the other party to the independent review any information submitted to the independent review organization under this paragraph and pars. (b) and (c). If, on the basis of any additional information, the insurer reconsiders the insured's grievance and determines that the treatment that was the subject of the grievance should be covered, the independent review is terminated.

(f) If the independent review is not terminated under par. (e), the independent review organization shall, within 30 business days after the expiration of all time limits that apply in the matter, make a decision on the basis of the documents and information submitted under this subsection. The decision shall be in writing, signed on behalf of the independent review organization and served by personal delivery or by mailing a copy to the insured or his or her authorized representative and to the insurer. A decision of an independent review organization is binding on the insured and the insurer.

(g) If the independent review organization determines that the health condition of the insured is such that following the procedure outlined in pars. (b) to (f) would jeopardize the life or health of the insured or the insured's ability to regain maximum function, the procedure outlined in pars. (b) to (f) shall be followed with the following differences:

1. The insurer shall submit the information under par. (b) within one day after receiving the notice of the request for independent review under par. (a).

2. The independent review organization shall request any additional information under par. (c) within 2 business days after receiving the information under par. (b).

3. The insured or insurer shall, within 2 days after receiving a request under par. (c), submit any information requested or an explanation of why the information is not being submitted.

4. The independent review organization shall make its decision under par. (f) within 72 hours after the expiration of the time limits under this paragraph that apply in the matter.

(3m) STANDARDS FOR DECISIONS. (a) A decision of an independent review organization regarding an adverse determination must be consistent with the terms of the health benefit plan under which the adverse determination was made.

(b) A decision of an independent review organization regarding an experimental treatment determination is limited to a determination of whether the proposed treatment is experimental. The independent review organization shall determine that the treatment is not experimental and find in favor of the insured only if the independent review organization finds all of the following:

1. The treatment has been approved by the federal food and drug administration.

2. Medically and scientifically accepted evidence clearly demonstrates that the treatment meets all of the following criteria:

a. The treatment is proven safe.

b. The treatment can be expected to produce greater benefits than the standard treatment without posing a greater adverse risk to the insured.

c. The treatment meets the coverage terms of the health benefit plan and is not specifically excluded under the terms of the health benefit plan.

(4) CERTIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW ORGANIZATIONS. (a) The commissioner shall certify independent review organizations. An independent review

organization must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the commissioner that it is unbiased, as defined by the commissioner by rule. An organization certified under this paragraph must be recertified on a biennial basis to continue to provide independent review services under this section.

(ag) An independent review organization shall have in operation a quality assurance mechanism to ensure the timeliness and quality of the independent reviews, the qualifications and independence of the clinical peer reviewers and the confidentiality of the medical records and review materials.

(ap) An independent review organization shall determine the fees that it will charge for independent reviews and submit its fee schedule to the commissioner for approval. An independent review organization may not change any fees approved by the commissioner more than once per year and shall submit any proposed fee changes to the commissioner for approval.

(b) An organization applying for certification or recertification as an independent review organization shall pay the applicable fee under s. 601.31 (1) (Lp) or (Lr). Every organization certified or recertified as an independent review organization shall file a report with the commissioner in accordance with rules promulgated under sub. (5) (a) 4.

(c) The commissioner may examine, audit or accept an audit of the books and records of an independent review organization as provided for examination of licensees and permittees under s. 601.43 (1), (3), (4) and (5), to be conducted as provided in s. 601.44, and with costs to be paid as provided in s. 601.45.

(d) The commissioner may revoke, suspend or limit in whole or in part the certification of an independent review organization, or may refuse to recertify an independent review organization, if the commissioner finds that the independent

review organization is unqualified or has violated an insurance statute or rule or a valid order of the commissioner under s. 601.41 (4), or if the independent review organization's methods or practices in the conduct of its business endanger, or its financial resources are inadequate to safeguard, the legitimate interests of consumers and the public. The commissioner may summarily suspend an independent review organization's certification under s. 227.51 (3).

(e) The commissioner shall keep an up–to–date listing of certified independent review organizations and shall provide a copy of the listing to all of the following:

1. Every insurer that is subject to this section, at least quarterly.

2. Any person who requests a copy of the listing.

(5) RULES; REPORT; ADJUSTMENTS. (a) The commissioner shall promulgate rules for the independent review required under this section. The rules shall include at least all of the following:

1. The application procedures for certification and recertification as an independent review organization.

2. The standards that the commissioner will use for certifying and recertifying organizations as independent review organizations, including standards for determining whether an independent review organization is unbiased.

3. Procedures and processes, in addition to those in sub. (3), that independent review organizations must follow.

4. What must be included in the report required under sub. (4) and the frequency with which the report must be filed with the commissioner.

5. Standards for the practices and conduct of independent review organizations.

6. Standards, in addition to those in sub. (6), addressing conflicts of interest by independent review organizations.

(b) The commissioner shall annually submit a report to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) that specifies the number of independent reviews requested under this section in the preceding year, the insurers and health benefit plans involved in the independent reviews and the dispositions of the independent reviews.

(c) To reflect changes in the consumer price index for all urban consumers, U.S. city average, as determined by the U.S. department of labor, the commissioner shall at least annually adjust the amounts specified in sub. (1) (a) 4. and (b) 4.

(6) CONFLICT OF INTEREST STANDARDS. (a) An independent review organization may not be affiliated with any of the following:

1. A health benefit plan.

2. A national, state or local trade association of health benefit plans, or an affiliate of any such association.

3. A national, state or local trade association of health care providers, or an affiliate of any such association.

(b) An independent review organization appointed to conduct an independent review and a clinical peer reviewer assigned by an independent review organization to conduct an independent review may not have a material professional, familial or financial interest with any of the following:

1. The insurer that issued the health benefit plan that is the subject of the independent review.

2. Any officer, director or management employe of the insurer that issued the health benefit plan that is the subject of the independent review.

3. The health care provider that recommended or provided the health care service or treatment that is the subject of the independent review, or the health care provider's medical group or independent practice association.

4. The facility at which the health care service or treatment that is the subject of the independent review was or would be provided.

5. The developer or manufacturer of the principal procedure, equipment, drug or device that is the subject of the independent review.

6. The insured or his or her authorized representative.

(6m) QUALIFICATIONS OF CLINICAL PEER REVIEWERS. A clinical peer reviewer who conducts a review on behalf of a certified independent review organization must satisfy all of the following requirements:

(a) Be a health care provider who is expert in treating the medical condition that is the subject of the review and who is knowledgeable about the treatment that is the subject of the review through current, actual clinical experience.

(b) Hold a credential, as defined in s. 440.01 (2) (a), that is not limited or restricted; or hold a license, certificate, registration or permit that authorizes or qualifies the health care provider to perform acts substantially the same as those acts authorized by a credential, as defined in s. 440.01 (2) (a), that was issued by a governmental authority in a jurisdiction outside this state and that is not limited or restricted.

(c) If a physician, hold a current certification by a recognized American medical specialty board in the area or areas appropriate to the subject of the review.

(d) Have no history of disciplinary sanctions, including loss of staff privileges, taken or pending by the medical examining board or another regulatory body or by any hospital or government.

(7) IMMUNITY. (a) A certified independent review organization is immune from any civil or criminal liability that may result because of an independent review determination made under this section. An employe, agent or contractor of a certified independent review organization is immune from civil liability and criminal prosecution for any act or omission done in good faith within the scope of his or her powers and duties under this section.

(b) A health benefit plan that is the subject of an independent review and the insurer that issued the health benefit plan shall not be liable in damages to any person for complying with any decision rendered by a certified independent review organization during or at the completion of an independent review.

(8) NOTICE OF SUFFICIENT INDEPENDENT REVIEW ORGANIZATIONS. The commissioner shall make a determination that at least one independent review organization has been certified under sub. (4) that is able to effectively provide the independent reviews required under this section and shall publish a notice in the Wisconsin Administrative Register that states a date that is 2 months after the commissioner makes that determination. The date stated in the notice shall be the date on which the independent review procedure under this section begins operating.

(9) APPLICABILITY. The independent review required under this section shall be available to an insured who receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d) on or after the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this subsection .... [revisor inserts date]. Notwithstanding sub. (2) (c), an insured who receives notice of the disposition of his or her grievance under s. 632.83 (3) (d) on or after the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this subsection .... [revisor inserts date], but before the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner in the Wisconsin Administrative Register

under sub. (8) .... [revisor inserts date], must request an independent review no later than 4 months after the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner in the Wisconsin Administrative Register under sub. (8) .... [revisor inserts date].

SECTION 21. Nonstatutory provisions.

(1) RULES REGARDING INDEPENDENT REVIEW. The commissioner of insurance shall submit in proposed form the rules required under section 632.835 (5) (a) of the statutes, as created by this act, to the legislative council staff under section 227.15 (1) of the statutes no later than the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this paragraph.

SECTION 22. Effective dates. This act takes effect on the day after publication, except as follows:

(1) The treatment of sections 609.15 (title), (1) (intro.), (a), (b) and (c) and (2) (intro.), (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e), 609.655 (4) (b) and 632.83 of the statutes takes effect on the first day of the 7th month beginning after publication.

(2) The treatment of section 632.835 (2), (3), (3m) and (5) (b) and (c) of the statutes takes effect on the date stated in the notice published by the commissioner of insurance in the Wisconsin Administrative Register under section 632.835 (8) of the statutes, as created by this act.

(END)

insert 16-9

and the amendment of section 609.39 of the statutes take

State of Wisconsin
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-3313/1
PJK&RPN:jlg&cmh:km

1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 520

October 5, 1999 – Introduced by Representatives WASSERMAN, SCHNEIDER, COGGS, COLON, SINICKI, POCAN, BERCEAU, REYNOLDS, LA FAVE, BALOW, SCHOOFF, STEINBRINK, KREUSER, J. LEHMAN, BLACK, PLOUFF, HEBL, CULLEN, MORRIS-TATUM, RILEY, BOCK, KRUG, TURNER, GRONEMUS, RICHARDS, BOYLE, CARPENTER, HASENOHRL, KRUSICK, MEYERHOFER, MILLER, MUSSER, RYBA, SHERMAN, SPILLNER, STASKUNAS, TRAVIS, WAUKAU, WILLIAMS, WOOD and YOUNG, cosponsored by Senators CLAUSING, ROBSON, BAUMGART, BURKE, DARLING, GEORGE, GROBSCHMIDT, MOORE, PLACHE, RISSER and WIRCH. Referred to Committee on Health.

AN ACT ***to repeal*** 609.22 (4) (a) 2.; ***to amend*** 609.05 (3) and 609.22 (4) (a) 3.; ***to repeal and recreate*** 609.22 (4) (a) 1.; and ***to create*** 609.16 and 609.39 of the statutes; **relating to:** appealing managed care plan decisions, obtaining the services of specialist providers and suing managed care plans.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, a managed care plan must have an internal grievance procedure that allows an enrollee to file a written grievance with the plan and to have a panel investigate and make a determination on the enrollee's grievance. This bill allows an enrollee to appeal a decision of the internal grievance panel to a physician who is not a participating provider in the plan. The decision of the physician on the appeal is binding on the enrollee and the plan. The appeal procedure and how the physician is selected must be determined by the commissioner of insurance by rule.

Also under current law, a managed care plan must have a procedure for an enrollee to obtain a standing referral to a specialist provider if the plan requires enrollees to obtain referrals to specialist providers. However, even if an enrollee has a standing referral to a specialist provider, the plan may require the enrollee's primary provider to remain responsible for coordinating the enrollee's care and may require the specialist provider to obtain prior approval from the enrollee's primary provider before making secondary referrals. The bill eliminates these provisions regarding specialist providers and prohibits a managed care plan from requiring an enrollee to obtain a referral for coverage of the services of a specialist provider who is a participating provider in the plan.

ASSEMBLY BILL 520

Under current law a person who is injured or dies as a result of medical malpractice may sue the health care provider that committed the malpractice. Medical malpractice is defined by the courts to mean the mistakes made in the medical diagnosis or treatment, or both, of a person. In *McEvoy v. Group Health Cooperative*, 213 Wis. 2d 507 (1997), the Wisconsin supreme court held that a patient of a managed care plan can recover damages for the denial of benefits by that managed care plan, based on the common law tort of bad faith. This draft does not change the current law regarding medical malpractice but does codify the *McEvoy* decision, allowing a person to sue a managed care plan in tort for a bad faith denial of coverage.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

insert 3-7

SECTION 1. 609.05 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

609.05 **(3)** Except as provided in ss. 609.22 (4), 609.65 and 609.655, a limited service health organization, preferred provider plan or managed care plan may require an enrollee to obtain a referral from the primary provider designated under sub. (2) to another participating provider prior to obtaining health care services from that participating provider.

SECTION 2. 609.16 of the statutes is created to read:

609.16 Appeals. (1) After using the procedure under s. 609.15, a grievant may appeal the decision of a managed care plan under s. 609.15. The appeal shall be made to a physician who is licensed under ch. 448, who is not a participating provider of the managed care plan and who specializes in the type of medical practice to which the grievance relates. The decision of the physician hearing the appeal is binding on the grievant and the managed care plan.

(2) A managed care plan must include information regarding the appeal procedure in policies or certificates provided to enrollees and must provide such information to an enrollee or prospective enrollee upon request.

(end of ins 3-7)

(3) The commissioner shall promulgate rules for the appeal procedure under this section, including rules related to how an enrollee requests an appeal and how the physician hearing the appeal is selected.

SECTION 3. 609.22 (4) (a) 1. of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:

609.22 **(4)** (a) 1. A managed care plan may not require an enrollee of the managed care plan to obtain a referral for coverage of services provided by a participating provider who is a physician licensed under ch. 448 and who specializes in a particular type of medical practice, regardless of whether the participating provider is the enrollee's primary provider.

SECTION 4. 609.22 (4) (a) 2. of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 5. 609.22 (4) (a) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:

609.22 **(4)** (a) 3. A managed care plan must include information regarding ~~referral procedures~~ the requirement under subd. 1. in policies or certificates provided to enrollees and must provide such information to an enrollee or prospective enrollee upon request.

SECTION 6. 609.39 of the statutes is created to read:

609.39 Right to sue. A person may bring an action in tort against a managed care plan for a bad faith denial of coverage.

(continued on next page)

SECTION 7. Initial applicability.

(1) SPECIALIST PROVIDERS UNDER MANAGED CARE PLANS.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), if a policy or certificate that is affected by the treatment of sections 609.05 (3) and 609.22 (4) (a) 1., 2. and 3. of the statutes contains terms or provisions that are inconsistent with the treatment of sections 609.05 (3) and 609.22 (4) (a) 1., 2. and 3. of the statutes, the treatment of sections

(3) The commissioner shall promulgate rules for the appeal procedure under this section, including rules related to how an enrollee requests an appeal and how the physician hearing the appeal is selected.

SECTION 3. 609.22 (4) (a) 1. of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:

609.22 **(4)** (a) 1. A managed care plan may not require an enrollee of the managed care plan to obtain a referral for coverage of services provided by a participating provider who is a physician licensed under ch. 448 and who specializes in a particular type of medical practice, regardless of whether the participating provider is the enrollee's primary provider.

SECTION 4. 609.22 (4) (a) 2. of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 5. 609.22 (4) (a) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:

609.22 **(4)** (a) 3. A managed care plan must include information regarding ~~referral procedures~~ the requirement under subd. 1. in policies or certificates provided to enrollees and must provide such information to an enrollee or prospective enrollee upon request.

SECTION 6. 609.39 of the statutes is ~~repealed~~ amended, as created by 1999 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), to read:

609.39 Right to sue. A person may bring an action in tort against a managed care plan for a bad faith denial of coverage.

SECTION 7. Initial applicability.

(1) SPECIALIST PROVIDERS UNDER MANAGED CARE PLANS.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), if a policy or certificate that is affected by the treatment of sections 609.05 (3) and 609.22 (4) (a) 1., 2. and 3. of the statutes contains terms or provisions that are inconsistent with the treatment of sections 609.05 (3) and 609.22 (4) (a) 1., 2. and 3. of the statutes, the treatment of sections

Insert 4-11 cont'd

Insert 4-11a

Insert 16-7

↓ cont'd



609.05 (3) and 609.22 (4) (a) 1., 2. and 3. of the statutes first applies to that policy or certificate upon renewal.

(b) The treatment of sections 609.05 (3) and 609.22 (4) (a) 1., 2. and 3. of the statutes first applies to policies or group certificates covering employes who are affected by a collective bargaining agreement containing provisions that are inconsistent with the treatment of sections 609.05 (3) and 609.22 (4) (a) 1., 2. and 3. of the statutes that are issued or renewed on the earlier of the following:

1. The day on which the collective bargaining agreement expires.

2. The day on which the collective bargaining agreement is extended, modified or renewed.

(2) APPEALS OF DECISIONS OF MANAGED CARE PLANS.

(a) The treatment of section 609.16 (1) of the statutes first applies to grievances arising on September 1, 2000.

(b) The treatment of section 609.16 (2) of the statutes first applies to policies issued or renewed on September 1, 2000.

(3) LAWSUITS AGAINST MANAGED CARE PLANS. The treatment of section 609.39 of the statutes first applies to claims arising on the effective date of this subsection.

SECTION 8. Effective dates. This act takes effect on the day after publication, except as follows:

(1) APPEALS OF DECISIONS OF MANAGED CARE PLANS. The treatment of section 609.16 (1) and (2) of the statutes takes effect on September 1, 2000.

(END)

Insert 4-11a

not, unless the person has requested and obtained an independent review of the managed care plan's denial of coverage, as provided under s. 632.835

(end of ins. 4-11a)

-2313
a0971

20148

CCC to ASA 2 to AB-518

Page 17, line 17: delete "paragraph" and substitute "subsection".

State of Wisconsin
1999–2000 LEGISLATURE

CORRECTIONS IN:

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 2,

TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 518

Prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau
(January 24, 2000)

1. Page 17, line 17: delete "paragraph" and substitute "subsection".

LRBs0148/1ccc–1
WLJ:ch

Minor clerical corrections in legislation are authorized under s. 35.17, stats.; Senate Rule 31, Assembly Rule 37 and Joint Rule 56.

institution near Fox Lake is named "Fox Lake Correctional Institution". The ~~penitentiary~~ institution at Taycheedah is named "Taycheedah Correctional Institution". The medium security ~~penitentiary~~ institution at Plymouth is named "Kettle Moraine Correctional Institution". The ~~penitentiary~~ institution at the village of Sturtevant in Racine county is named "Racine Correctional Institution". The medium security ~~penitentiary~~ institution at Racine is named "Racine Youthful Offender Correctional Facility". The resource facility at Oshkosh is named "Wisconsin Resource Center". The institutions named in this section, the correctional institution authorized under s. 301.16 (1n), correctional institution authorized under 1997 Wisconsin Act 4, section 4 (1) (a), correctional institution authorized under s. 301.046 (1), correctional institution authorized under s. 301.048 (4) (b), minimum security correctional institutions authorized under s. 301.13, ~~and~~ state–local shared correctional facilities when established under s. 301.14~~,~~ and any correctional institution which/that the department operates under s. 301.378 (8) are state prisons.

move to p. 39

History: 1973 c. 90; 1975 c. 39; 1975 c. 189 s. 99 (1); 1975 c. 224, 422; 1977 c. 29; 1977 c. 418 ss. 369, 924 (18) (d); 1979 c. 221; 1981 c. 20; 1983 a. 192, 332, 538; 1985 a. 29; 1987 a. 5; 1989 a. 31 ss. 1617m, 1617n; Stats. 1989 s. 302.01; 1989 a. 359; 1991 a. 39; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 4, 27.

SECTION 86. 301.379 of the statutes is created to read:

301.379 License fee determination. The department shall include all of the following with each biennial budget request that it makes under s. 16.42:

(B) (1) (a) A recalculation of all costs the department includes in the budget request that are attributable to the review of applications for and issuance of private prison construction licenses, the review of applications for and issuance and renewals of private prison operating licenses and the supervision of private prisons.

(B) (2) (b) ~~A recommended change to the~~ The fees to be charged for private prison construction licenses and private prison operating licenses ~~if the change is necessary to~~, which shall reflect the approximate costs of the department that are attributable to its review of

to p. 39

applications, its issuance of licenses and its regulation of private prisons. The department may recommend separate fees for an initial private prison operating license and for the renewal of a private prison operating license. The department may recommend variable fees for operating licenses based on the size of the prison and the costs ~~which~~ that the department has incurred or anticipates it will incur in licensing or supervising individual licensees. The department may recommend a separate application fee to be paid upon the application for a private prison construction license or a private prison operating license or both, to cover its approximate costs of reviewing such applications. If the department imposes a separate application fee for either type of license, the department shall not consider the costs of reviewing applications in determining the relevant license fee.

SECTION 87. 302.02 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

302.02 **(5)** (a) Service of process may be made on the warden or superintendent of any prison named in s. 302.01 or a private prison as upon any other resident of this state.

History: 1973 c. 90; 1975 c. 39, 189, 224; 1977 c. 29; 1977 c. 418 ss 370 to 372, 924 (18) (d); 1979 c. 221; 1981 c. 20; 1983 a. 27, 332; 1985 a. 29; 1989 a. 31 ss. 1618, 1618m; Stats. 1989 s. 302.02; 1991 a. 39, 316; 1995 a. 344; 1997 a. 27.

SECTION 88. 302.02 (5) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

302.02 **(5)** (b) Except as provided in par. (a), service of process within any ~~such~~ state or private prison on any officer or employe or inmate thereof shall be made by the warden or superintendent or some person appointed by the warden or superintendent to serve process.

History: 1973 c. 90; 1975 c. 39, 189, 224; 1977 c. 29, 1977 c 418 ss. 370 to 372, 924 (18) (d); 1979 c. 221; 1981 c. 20; 1983 a. 27, 332; 1985 a. 29; 1989 a. 31 ss. 1618, 1618m; Stats. 1989 s. 302.02; 1991 a. 39, 316; 1995 a. 344; 1997 a. 27.

SECTION 89. 302.055 of the statutes is amended to read:

302.055 Transfer of inmates to resource center. The department may transfer an inmate from a prison, jail or other criminal detention facility, other than

a private prison, to the Wisconsin resource center if there is reason to believe that the inmate is in need of individualized care. The inmate is entitled to a transfer hearing by the department on the transfer to the Wisconsin resource center.

History: 1981 c. 20; 1989 a. 31 s. 1622; Stats. 1989 s. 302.055

SECTION 90. 302.07 of the statutes is amended to read:

302.07 Maintenance of order. The warden or superintendent shall maintain order, enforce obedience, suppress riots and prevent escapes. For such purposes the warden or superintendent of a state prison may command the aid of the officers of the institution and of persons outside of the prison; and any person who fails to obey such command shall be punished by imprisonment in the county jail not more than one year or by a fine not exceeding $500. The warden or superintendent of a state prison may adopt proper means to capture escaped inmates.

History: 1989 a. 31 s. 1624; Stats. 1989 s. 302.07; 1991 a. 316.

SECTION 91. 302.09 of the statutes is amended to read:

302.09 Labor and communications. Inmates of a state prison shall be employed as provided in ch. 303. Communication shall not be allowed between inmates and any person outside the prison except as prescribed by the prison regulations.

History: 1989 a. 31 s. 1626; Stats. s. 302.09.

SECTION 92. 302.095 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

302.095 **(2)** Any officer or other person who delivers or procures to be delivered or has in his or her possession with intent to deliver to any inmate confined in a jail or ~~state~~ prison, or who deposits or conceals in or about a jail or prison, or the precincts of a jail or prison, or in any vehicle going into the premises belonging to a jail or prison, any article or thing whatever, with intent that any inmate confined in the jail or prison shall obtain or receive the same, or who receives from any inmate any article or thing whatever with intent to convey the same out of a jail or prison,