DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-3480/P1dn RPN:cmh:km

September 7, 1999

This draft should be reviewed carefully to see if it meets your intent.

The draft purports to protect children, but the definition of "personal information" used in the California bill was so broad that persons other than the child are covered. I think that expanded coverage makes the proposal unworkable. For example, look at subsections (5), (6) and (9) if the proposed definition of "personal information" from California is used. Because this draft is to protect children's privacy, I changed the definition of "personal information" to apply only to the data about the child. OK?

Subsection (10) of the draft seems to be in conflict with subsections (2) and (7). I am not sure what subsection (10) is intended to accomplish.

Robert P. Nelson Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 267–7511