1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/12/1999					Received By: kuesejt			
Wanted: A	As time permi	ts	Identical to LRB:					
For: Mar	k Gundrum ((608) 267-5158		By/Representing:	Jolene Chur	chill		
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: olsenje			
May Con	tact:				Alt. Drafters: mdsida			
Subject: Criminal Law - miscellaneous					Extra Copies:			
Pre Topi	c:							
No specif	fic pre topic giv	ven						
Topic:								
Interferer	nce with petition	ons						
Instructi	ons:							
process.		emeanor. Does			on or filing with in be filed in connect			
Drafting	History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
I?	olsenje 04/16/1999							
/P1	mdsida 05/12/1999 mdsida 09/24/1999	chanaman 05/13/1999 chanaman 09/27/1999	martykr 05/14/1999		gretskl 05/14/1999			

Ò9/27/1999 12:20:56 PM Page 2

Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1			hhagen 09/27/1999)	lrb_docadmin 0912711999	lrb_docadmi 09/27/1999	in
FE Sent	For:			<end></end>			

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/12/99 Received By: kuesejt

Identical to LRB: Wanted: As time permits

For: Mark Gundrum (608) 2674158 By/Representing: Jolene Churchill

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO Drafter: olsenje

May Contact: Alt. Drafters: mdsida

Subject: **Criminal Law - miscellaneous** Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Interference with petitions

Instructions:

Prohibit interference with petition signature collection, circulation or filing with intent to obstruct that process. Class A misdemeanor. Does not apply to petitions to be filed in connection with judicial or quasi-judicial actions or proceedings.

Drafting	History:
Di ai unig	1112101 1.

	<i>•</i>						
<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	Typed	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
I?	olsenje 04116199	1				() o lui	r
/P1	mdsida 05/12/99	chanaman 05/13/99	martykr 05/14/99		gretskl 05/14/99	() achi	Assembl
FE Sen	t For:	emu	at 9/27	- 18 419 1		<u> </u>	

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received By: kueseit Received: 02/12/99

Identical to LRB: Wanted: As time permits

For: Mark Gundrum (608) 267-5158 By/Representing: Jolene Churchill

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO Drafter: olsenje

Alt. Drafters: May Contact:

Extra Copies: Subject: Criminal Law - miscellaneous

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Interference with petitions

Instructions:

Prohibit interference with petition signature collection, circulation or filing with intent to obstruct that process Class A misdemeanor. Does not apply to petitions to be filed in connection with judicial or quasi-judicial actions or proceedings.

Drafting	History:
Diaiung	IIISTOI Y •

Submitted Reviewed **Typed** Proofed Jacketed Required Vers. Drafted **I**? olsenje

FE Sent For:

Submit "9 T South <END>

STATE REPRESENTATIVE Mark D. Gundrum

February 19, 1999

Dear Jeff Kuesel and Mark Patronski:

During the first part of February I spoke with you in regard to a constituent's concern about the lack of regulation of petitions, specifically in relation to the Lyn Adelmann case.

I have attached a copy of our constituent's letter, a newspaper article, the police report, and a copy of the actual petition that was circulated for you to review.

Representative Gundrum would like to have a bill drafted that would make it illegal to *intentionally interfere with apetition if the intent is to obstruct thepetitionprocess.* This bill would include a penalty of a Class A Misdemeanor.

If you have any questions, please call us at 267-5158. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jolene Rose Churchill Legislative Assistant

Attachments

P.O. Box 8952, Ma&son, WI 53708 (608) 267-5158 \(\sigma \) 1-888-534-0084

State Assembly Representative Mark Gundrum Dear Representative Gundrum:

I take pleasure in addressing you as my Representative for the 84th Assembly District! I write this according to your expressed intent to initiate legislation that makes it a gross felony for any person to cause interference or contribute to interference with a petition. In the meaning of "interfere," I include disturbing any citizen in the act of circulating a petition or interfering with the display of a petition in a public or private establishment.

Per your request, I have held onto relevant material for you until this month. The main enclosure is a copy of an Incident Report concerning Lynn Adelman. I purchased it from the Village of Big Bend. I believe what follows is a fair summary of the Incident:

Lynn Adelman allegedly took a petition with fourteen names on it from the counter of a store, without permission of the owner. He folded it and put it in his pocket, and returned it later to a clerk witness when she confronted him. In his own statement, Lynn Adelman did not deny taking the petition and did not claim that he had the permission of the owner to do so.

The petition in question requested a maximum sentence allowed for a person involved in poisoning three dogs. (In reports of the incident on public television and in the New *Berlin Citizen*, it was stated that Lynn Adelman is the Attorney for that person.)

I had conversations about this incident with John Schober, during his candidacy for the office you now hold. Mr. Schober related the predicament faced by District Attorney Paul Bucher, that no specific legislation applied to the incident. Even if the allegation were proven, the worst sentence would be disorderly conduct. I was pleased that Mr. Schober said that he would support legislation such as we have discussed.

Some insight into the predicament may be gathered from an interview with Attorney Bucher, broadcast by WISN Radio, December16, 1998, and recorded by me:

Announcer: "District Attorney Paul Bucher says he has ordered further investigation into the

Lynn Adelman case. The Federal Judge Appointee is accused of stealing a petition

off the counter of a gas station in Big Bend."

Att'y Bucher: "I may want to speak to some of the witnesses, aahh, myself, maybe take a look at

the location itself, try to assist me in determining whether or not this matter is, uh, a

criminal matter, or, aaah, civil matter or, frankly, nothing at all."

Announcer: "The decision may not come until next etc."

I was disappointed with Paul Bucher for saying "frankly, nothing at all." As far as I know, Attorney Bucher has not recommended that the State Legislature take any action. And, among opinions I asked for from, one attorney and one judge, they said "it was a dumb thing to do." Those reactions seems to have so little concern for the right to petition under our Constitution! Yet, ironically, I suppose we ought to be grateful to Lynn Adelman for exposing a potential threat.

Finally, I would hope that other legislators would agree with you that promulgating legislation to protect petitions from interference is in keeping with their oath to "support and defend the Constitution."

Sincerely.

Ed Michelic

charged with killing

Town of Vernon man accused of poisoning

WAUKESHA - A cereal bowl filled with antifreeze and meat chunks has inked a town of Vernon man to the poi-soning deaths of three dogs. Arnold S. Hotz, 60, was charged in a

criminal complaint Monday with three counts of mistreatment of animals and three counts of poisoning animals. He was ordered to appear in court July 1.

Hotz denies any involvement in the poisoning of two red heelers and one beagle, even though a bowl of antifreeze with meat chunks was found on his pa-

tio by a neighbor.

Hotz told conflicting stories about the antifreeze. He initially fold sheriff's investigators he had the bowl under his car to catch antifreeze and he had no idea how the meat got in the bowl. He later said he spilled some antifreeze in his garage and scooped it into the bowl, then took meat scraps from his wife to throw out and put them into the bowl. He said he got distracted and set the bowl down then forgot about it.

The dogs belonged to two neighbor women. The owner of the two Z-year-old red heelers, named Stripe and Gismo, found one of them dead on her kitchen floor Feb. 19. Two weeks later she found the other dog, which bad been missing, dead in a nearby field.

The woman's roommate owned the 4year-old beagle, named Viien Vixen died March 15 shortly after the woman took it to a veterinarian because of vomiting and bleeding.

Vets determined all three dogs died from eating antifreeze.

The owner of the red heelers said she suspected Hotz because she believed he killed two of her cats two years ago. She said she had an ongoing dispute with Hotz.

Hotz denied being involved in the cats' deaths, but paid their vet bills "to get her off my back."

The owner of the red heelers told investigators that just weeks before the **poisoning** of the animals, a Milwaukee television station aired a segment on antifreeze precautions. The report said antmals are attracted to antifreeze because it has a sweet smell.

١

Arnold Hotz has been found guilty by a jury of his peers of 3 felonies for putting antifreeze and raw beef out his back door to poison 3 neighbors dogs. All 3 dogs suffered severely before dying. Now Hotz admits to killing 2 of the neighbors cats that he denied at the trial. One a Russian Blue housecat shot in front of his 12 year old grandson and the other drop kicked 6 feet in the air in front of his 12 year old grandson and the neighbor boy. Now he has his family, fellow church goers, and State Senator Lynn Adelmann representing him and asking the judge for leniency. If you feel very strongly about animal rights and that this man should get the maximum sentence punishable by law please sign your name, address and telephone # below:

NAME	Address	Telephone #
		- National Control of the Control of
_		



NEW BERLIN

CITZEN



Woodland mat action heats up See Sports

Your Official Local Newspaper

1 Section, 39th Year, No. 51



CNI PHOTO BY MARY CATANESE-PUGENS

the book

ine Staff Sgt. Bob Belk stops in at Elmdale School to read stories to children dura Winter Wonderland program. The children brought toys to school to be given eedy children.

ossover borrowing

ystem can't force braries to Pay

te library official says ting off services to aries exceeds authority

teve Van Dien Writer That charge had always been covered by the Federated Library System. But because of cuts in state aid, the system **changed its policy earlier this year to** require its member libraries to pay the full **amount** of their own crossover borrowing **costs,** after a five-year phase-in period.

And those costs, in some cases, are steep. For example, the New Berlin Public Library owes more than \$61,000 for

Adelman may face charges

By lee **Raynor** Staff Writer

State Sen. Lynn Adelman will know bday if he will be charged with attempting to steal a petition sheet from a Big Bend drugstore.

Waukesha County District Attorney Paul Bucher was to announce the Adelman decision Tuesday. However, Bucher said he needed more time to study the case and postponed the announcement until today.

The longtime state senator is expected take his oath of office as a federal judge early in January. He was nominated to the post by President Bill Clinton.

Adelman, 58, is a **Democrat, an** attorney and a former New Berlin resident. He is married to Betty Adelman, who recently served as the city's municipal attorney.

The couple live in the town of Waterford. Lynn Adelman was first elected to the state Senate in 1976 and has represented New Berlin since then.

An employee at Jerome Drugs in Big **Bend told police Adelman picked up a** petition containing **signatures** that **called** for a strong sentence against one of Adelman's **clients.The** clerk said she had a difficult time retrieving the petition.

Arnold Holtz, Adelman's client, has been convicted of poisoning two dogs in the town of Vernon. His sentencing is scheduled **for April.The** complaint against Adelman was filed by Jane **Schuster**-Kartes, the town of Vernon woman who owned the dogs Holtz poisoned.

Adelman said he had no intention of stealing the petition. He said he intended to take the paper into the pharmacy's bathroom where he planned to read it.

Vandals tear

, .		D.T.C.	DEME DOI	T C D		-		
	ident Report	BIG .	BEND POL		DEPARTMENT	<u> </u> '		<u>7-001298-I</u>
	ncident No l -001298-I 1		Time Repo	:40	JEROMES M		ctim Name	
_	curred From:	<u> </u>	ccurred To	:	<u>UEROMES M</u>	OBILE,	ctim Addr	966
	/07/97 18:15		07/97 18:		W230 S871			
	fense Descript			10	city	LJ CLIART	St	Zip
	EFT	J1011• 94.	3.20(1)(A)		! BIG BEND			53103-
	cident Locatio	າກ			Telepho	22.0		Telephone
	30 S8715 CLARE				(414) 662		, AIC	rerebuoue (
	nicipality	•	Distric	+		ole Plac	<u> </u>	
	G BEND. WISCO	NSTN	001	C	l	JIC IIAC	,	
	rrent Disposi		Disposed	OT E	Sex	Race	Ethnic	Birth
	TIVE INCIDENT		DIDPODU	2 10			UNKNOWN	
	sposition Date	ر ج	uveniles (Onlv?:				TTY Number:
	/ /	, 0	NO	J / V	NO	, ,		111 1101111001
Ph	otos? Cons	ent?	Affidavit:	?		al Date	Time	I
1	NO I NO	I	NO a				1	
Of:	ficer Assigne 38 Officer Jo	1 Offen	~ Kepech		Invest	igatin	g Office	er&& Lapur
26	38 Officer Jo	on Kopeci	ky ()		2638 Of	<u>ficer J</u>	on Kopeck	y · ーナ
	<u>Involvement !</u>	<u> Name/A</u>	<u>ladress/Ph</u>				cription	
P			NK, JILL M				10/29/66	FEMALE
E	• WITNESS		22950 EDGE	WOOD A			5′07″	WHITE
	را (1) (43.20(1) (A);				WI 53103	Wgt.		UNKNOWN
S	THEFT		<u> 562-0616</u>	<u>()</u>				Eves GREEN
0	•		JANE M				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FEMALE
N	REPORTED BY:		125620 HWY	. L		Hgt.	• ••	WHITE
S	943.20(1)(A)				WI 53103	Wgt.		UNKNOWN
	THEFT		562-0448	()		<u> Hair</u>		Eves
	·		CHRISTOP			1	03/05/70	MALE
	REPORTED BY		W25620 HWY	. L		Hgt.	•	WHITE
	:943.20(1)(A)			,	WI 53103	Wgt.		UNKNOWN
	<u>THEFT</u>		662-0448	<u>()</u>		<u>Ha'</u>		Sy <u>es</u>
	i Lumningo		SON, MICHE			•	06/01/66	FEMALE
	WITNESS		58890 CLAR	K STRE		Hgt.		WHITE
	'943.20(1)(A);			, ,	WI 53103	Wgt.		UNKNOWN
	EVEST .	(414)66		<u>()</u>		<u> Hair</u>	10/01/20	
	SUSPECT		I, LYNN S JANESVILLE	םת ק			10/01/39	MALE
	943.20(1)(A)			b DR.	WI 53149	Hgt.		WHITE :
	THEFT		562-3864	<i>i</i> \	MT 33T43	. wgc. . Hair	1	Eves .
			ED, JEFFE	RY		Born	/ /	MALE .
	OWNER		L6982 JANES		RD.	Hgt.	, , ,, ,	WHITE ;
	943.20(1)(A)			0 1 1 1 1 1	WI 53150	Wgt.		UNKNOWN :
	'THEFT		122-1040	<i>(</i>)	WI JJIJU	Hair	,	Eves .
	Description			Make	Model/Stat			Value :
Р	PETITION WITH			<u> </u>		- DCTT	<u> </u>	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
R	PETITION	COLO		i		Qty	= 1	
ô	!	0010	,,	EVIDE	ENCE	I ZCI	-	. 2
P	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		İ		
Ē				I		1		
R	- -			Ĭ		i		
T				1		-		
Ÿ	 			i		į		
	! !			<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	_i		
ĺ	! !							
	Ī							

Cont	tinuation Pa	ge BIG BEND Date & Time Report 12/09/97 TUE te Type/VIN	POLICE	DEPARTMEN	NT	97-001298-x
Ind	cident No 🗜	Date & Time Repor	rted :	Compla	inant/Victim	Name
97	<u>-001298-I !</u>	12/09/97 TUE	<u> 18:40 !</u>	JEROMES	MOBILE.	
ġ	<u> Status/Pla</u>	te Type/VIN	Reg/E	id/Value	<u> Description</u>	
V						I I
E			1	I I		I •
H			1	I g		
I		 		I 		f 1
C		i		I I		I I
L		I				I
E		1		I I		I I
S		I I		I I		I I
I		I		I 		I
I						I I
I						I I
ļ						
į						
İ	•					
į						
I						I
ļ						I I
I I						I I
İ						1
I	· •					į
Ĭ						Ĭ I
į						î
I	·					Ī I
1	•					•
Ī						į
į į						į
İ						į
į						:
I						į
i I						į
İ						į
I I						•
I I						į
I I						•
į						· ·
į I						į
I I						•
I I						
į						
į						
I						
I I						į
į						į
I T						•
I						į
I						
I						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
i						:
į						:
I						
I I						
į						
į						;
į						į
I						:

PSR08B 1.5 Date Printed: 12/12/97 Page 2

'Incident No : Date & Time Reported : Complainant/Victim Name
97-001298-I ! 12/09/97 TUE 18:40 : JEROMES MOBILE.

N : WHILE ASSIGNED TO THE 4:00 PM TO MIDNIGHT PATROL SHIFT FOR THE

VILLAGE OF BIG BEND, COUNTY OF WAUKESHA ON TUESDAY DECEMBER 09, 1997, AT 6:40 PM, I TOOK A REPORT AT THE VILLAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT OF AN ATTEMPTED THEFT OF A PETITION SHEET FROM JEROME'S CORNER MARKET W230 S8715 CLARK STREET BIG BEND, WI.

AT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ON TUESDAY DECEMBER 09, 1997, AT 6:40 PM, I INTERVIEWED KARTES/JANE/M, F/W, DOB 06-03-60, RESIDING AT S107 W25620 COUNTY HIGHWAY L, BIG BEND, WI., 53103, TELEPHONE NUMBER 414 662-0448 AND HER HUSBAND KARTES/CHRISTOPHER/H, M/W, DOB 03-05-70, RESIDING AT THE COUNTY HIGHWAY L ADDRESS.

BOTH CHRISTOPHER AND JANE KARTES REPORTED TO ME, THAT ON SUNDAY DECEMBER 7, 1997, AT APPROXIMATELY 6:00 PM, A PARTY IDENTIFIED TO THEM AS ADELMAN/LYNN HAD ATTEMPTED TO TAKE A PETITION SHEET THAT THEY HAD LEFT AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET WITHOUT THERE PERMISSION.

THE KARTES'S HAVE PETITIONS AT SEVERAL LOCATIONS REQUESTING THAT A PARTY INVOLVED IN POISONING THREE DOGS BE. GIVEN THE MAXIMUM SENTENCE ALLOWED BY LAW AND NOT BE GRANTED LENIENCY.

I ASKED THE KARTES'S WHAT HAD OCCURRED ON SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997 AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET, JANE KARTES STATED THAT SHE WOULD LET HER HUSBAND CHRISTOPHER DESCRIBE THE INCIDENT, SINCE THE INCIDENT WAS REPORTED TO HIM BY THE CLERKS ON DUTY AT THE ESTABLISHMENT.

CHRISTOPHER KARTES STATED THAT ON SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997, AT APPROXIMATELY 6:15 PM HOURS, HE STOPPED AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET AND ASKED THE CLERKS IF ANY MORE PETITIONS WERE READY FOR PICK UP. ACCORDING TO KARTES BOTH CLERKS INFORMED HIM THAT LYNN ADELMAN HAD JUST LEFT THE STORE AND THAT ADELMAN HAD TRIED TO STEAL ONE OF THE PETITIONS.

ACCORDING TO KARTES HE ASKED THE TWO CLERKS WHAT HAD HAPPENED. THE TWO CLERKS INFORMED HIM, THAT LYNN ADELMAN HAD STOPPED IN THE STORE. AFTER ENTERING THE STORE, ADELMAN CAME UP TO THE CHECK OUT COUNTER AND PICKED UP THE PETITION. THAT AFTER PICKING UP THE PETITION, HE PUT THE PETITION INTO HIS POCKET AND WALKED INTO THE BATHROOM. AFTER A LITTLE WHILE, ADELMAN EXITED THE BATHROOM AND WALKED OUT OF THE BUILDING. KARTES THEN STATED THAT ONE OF THE STORE CLERKS AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET RAN OUT OF THE STORE AFTER ADELMAN AND TOLD ADELMAN "HEY, YOU TOOK THAT PETITION WE HAD ON THE COUNTER". ADELMAN THAN ALLEGEDLY STATED "OH, YOU WANT THAT BACK". AT THIS TIME ADELMAN HANDED THE PETITION BACK TO THE CLERK.

KARTES STATED THAT AFTER HEARING ABOUT THIS INCIDENT, HE LEFT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET AND RETURNED HOME TO TELL HIS WIFE ABOUT THE

AT THIS TIME, I REQUESTED'THAT CHRISTOPHER KARTES WRITE OUT A STATEMENT AS TO WHAT HE VERBALLY TOLD ME. KARTES COMPLIED WITH MY REQUEST.

AT THIS TIME I INTERVIEWED CHRISTOPHER KARTES'S WIFE JANE AND ASKED HER IF SHE HAD ANYTHING TO ADD TO THE COMPLAINT.

JANE KARTES STATED THAT SHE WISHED TO HAVE CHARGES OF ATTEMPTED THEFT FILED AGAINST LYNN ADELMAN FOR ATTEMPTING TO STEAL ONE OF HER PETITIONS.

KARTES STATED THAT WHEN HER HUSBAND CHRIS RETURNED HOME ON SUNDAY NIGHT DECEMBER 07, 1997 AND INFORMED HER OF THE INCIDENT THAT HAD OCCURRED AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET, SHE WAS UPSET. HOWEVER, SHE WAS UNSURE WHAT SHE COULD DO ABOUT THE INCIDENT AND SINCE IT WAS A SUNDAY

Incident No : Date & Time Reported : Complainant/Victim Name 97-001298-I ! 12/09/97 TUE 18:40 : JEROMES MOBILE.

NIGHT, SHE AND HER HUSBAND JUST TALKED ABOUT THE INCIDENT AND WHO THEY COULD CALL TO REPORT THE INCIDENT TO. THEY DECIDED TO CALL WAUKESHA COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LINDA VANDEWATER WHO HAD PROSECUTED THE ANIMAL ABUSE CASE THAT KARTES WAS INVOLVED IN.

KARTES STATED THAT ON MONDAY MORNING DECEMBER 08, 1997, BETWEEN 9:00 AM AND 9:30 AM, SHE MADE TELEPHONE CONTACT WITH WAUKESHA COUNTY ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY LINDA VANDEWATER AND INFORMED HER OF THE INCIDENT WHICH OCCURRED AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET ON SUNDAY NIGHT INVOLVING LYNN ADELMAN AND ASKED HER IF ANYTHING COULD BE DONE.

KARTES INFORMED ME, THAT ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY VANDEWATER STATED THAT ATTEMPTED THEFT CHARGES COULD BE FILED.

KARTES THEN INFORMED ME THAT AFTER TALKING TO THE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY, SHE CONTACTED HER HUSBANDS ATTORNEY BARRY CYMERMAN AND INFORMED HIM OF THE INCIDENT ON SUNDAY NIGHT AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET INVOLVING LYNN ADELMAN. KARTES STATED THAT CYMERMAN ALSO INFORMED HER THAT CHARGES COULD BE FILED AGAINST ADELMAN.

KARTES THAN STATED THAT ON MONDAY NIGHT DECEMBER 08, 1997, SHE AND HER HUSBAND WENT TO JEROME'S CORNER MARKET TO SEE IF THEY COULD FIND OUT WHO THE CLERKS WERE ON SUNDAY NIGHT DECEMBER 07, 1997. OF THE CLERKS WHO WAS THERE ON MONDAY NIGHT 12-08-97, WAS ALSO ONE OF THE CLERKS AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET ON SUNDAY NIGHT 12-07-97. CLERK IDENTIFIED HERSELF AS MICHELE TORGERSON.

MY HUSBAND AND I ASKED TORGERSON IF SHE WOULD TALK TO POLICE · ABOUT THE ATTEMPTED THEFT IF WE REPORTED IT. TORGERSON STATED TO US, THAT SHE WOULD.

KARTES THEN STATED THAT AFTER TORGERSON GAVE US HER TELEPHONE NUMBER AND THE NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF THE OTHER CLERK ON DUTY SUNDAY NIGHT, SHE AND HER HUSBAND LEFT THE STORE.

I THEN ASKED JANE KARTES THE DATE THAT THEY FIRST DROPPED OFF THE PETITIONS AT-JEROME'S CORNER MARKET. KARTES STATED THAT IT WAS A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO THAT SHE DROPPED THE PETITIONS OFF.

I ASKED KARTES IF SHE HAD MADE CONTACT WITH ANYONE AT THE STORE, OR IF SHE JUST LEFT THE PETITIONS ON THE COUNTER AND LEFT. STATED THAT SHE HAD TALKED TO AN EMPLOYEE OF JEROME'S, AND THAT SHE BELIEVED THAT THE EMPLOYEES NAME WAS ELLI. KARTES STATED THAT SHE HAD ASKED ELLI IF SHE COULD LEAVE THE PETITION AT THE STORE FOR SIGNATURES AND THAT ELLI STATED THAT IT WAS OK TO LEAVE THE PETITION.

KARTES ALSO INFORMED ME THAT ON A DAILY BASES, EITHER SHE OR HER HUSBAND HAVE BEEN GOING BACK TO JEROME'S TO SEE IF THE STORE NEEDED ANY MORE PETITIONS. THAT IF JEROME'S NEEDED ANY MORE PETITIONS, THEY PHOTOCOPIED THEM ON THE PHOTOCOPY MACHINE AT THE STORE. KARTES ALSO STATED THAT IF ANY OF- THE PETITIONS WERE FILLED, THEY WOULD PICK THOSE

I ASKED KARTES IF SHE WISHED TO ADD ANYTHING ELSE. KARTES STATED THAT SHE WISHES TO HAVE THIS INCIDENT PROSECUTED. THAT SHE GAVE NO ONE, EXCEPT HER HUSBAND, PERMISSION TO PICK UP THE PETITIONS.

I THEN REQUESTED THAT KARTES WRITE A STATEMENT AS TO WHAT SHE VERBALLY TOLD ME. KARTES COMPLIED WITH THE REQUEST.

AT THIS TIME KARTES ASKED IF I WANTED THE PETITION THAT WAS INVOLVED IN THE ALLEGED ATTEMPTED THEFT. I INFORMED KARTES THAT I WOULD LIKE THAT PETITION SO I COULD PLACE THE PETITION INTO INVENTORY.

KARTES PROVIDED ME WITH A PETITION THAT SHE STATED WAS THE ONE

Incident No | Date & Time Reported | Complainant/Victim Name 97-001298-I ! 12/09/97 TUE 18:40 ! JEROMES MOBILE,

INVOLVED IN THE ALLEGED ATTEMPTED THEFT.

THE PETITION THAT KARTES PROVIDED ME WITH HAD 14 NAMES WRITTEN ON IT AND I ALSO OBSERVED FOLD MARKS ON THIS DOCUMENT. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY OFFICIAL MARKINGS ON THE PETITION, I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY NOTARY SEAL ON THIS DOCUMENT, NOR DID I OBSERVE ANY MARKINGS TO INDICATE THE PAGE NUMBER OF THE DOCUMENT.

UPON TAKING CUSTODY OF THE DOCUMENT I PHOTOCOPIED IT, SO THAT KARTES COULD HAVE A LIST OF THE PARTIES WHO HAD SIGNED THE PETITION. AT THIS TIME I INVENTORIED THE PETITION UNDER NUMBER 784. AT KARTES'S REQUEST, I PROVIDED HER WITH THE INCIDENT NUMBER THAT THIS COMPLAINT WAS TAKEN UNDER.

AT THIS TIME CHRISTOPHER AND JANE KARTES LEFT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

INVESTIGATION TO CONTINUE.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED ;

OFFICER JON KOPECKY 2638
BIG BEND POLICE DEPARTMENT

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT TO IR 97-1298

ON WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 10, 1997, AT **9:24** PM, I ARRIVED AT THE CHERWINK RESIDENCE LOCATED AT S86 W22950 EDGEWOOD AVE., BIG BEND, WI. 53103 TO INTERVIEW ONE OF THE WITNESSES IN THE ALLEGED ATTEMPTED THEFT COMPLAINT ON SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997, AT JEROME'S CORNER'MARKET.

UPON ARRIVAL AT THE CHERWINK RESIDENCE, I MADE CONTACT WITH A JILL M. CHERWINK, F/W, DOB 10-29-66, TELEPHONE NUMBER 414 662-0616. CHERWINK IS EMPLOYED BY JEROME'S CORNER MARKET AS A CLERK AND WAS ON DUTY AND DID WITNESS THE ALLEGED ATTEMPTED THEFT ON THE SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997.

I ASKED CHERWINK WHAT HAD OCCURRED ON SUNDAY NIGHT DECEMBER 07, 1997, WHILE SHE WAS AT WORK AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET. CHERWINK STATED THAT AT APPROXIMATELY 6:00 PM ON DECEMBER 07, 1997, SHE SAW LYNN ADELMAN COME INTO THE CORNER MARKET.

CHERWINK STATED THAT WHEN LYNN ADELMAN CAME INTO THE STORE, HE PROCEEDED BACK TO THE VIDEO MOVIE SECTION OF THE STORE. AFTER A SHORT TIME, ADELMAN BROUGHT A VIDEO BOX UP TO THE CASH REGISTER ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE COUNTER. CHERWINK STATED THAT AT THIS TIME SHE WAS AT THE MAIN CASH REGISTER LOCATED BY THE EAST END OF THE COUNTER. CHERWINK STATED THAT FROM HER LOCATION SHE COULD SEE THE OTHER CASH REGISTER.

CHERWINK STATED THAT WHEN ADELMAN WENT UP TO THE COUNTER BY THE NORTH CASH REGISTER STATION, A PETITION WAS LYING ON THE COUNTER NEXT TO THIS CASH REGISTER.

CHERWINK STATED THAT AFTER ADELMAN PUT THE VIDEO BOX DOWN ON THE COUNTER BY THE NORTH CASH REGISTER, SHE HEARD A SOUND COME FROM THE AREA OF THE NORTH CASH REGISTER. THE SOUND WAS LIKE PAPER BEING MOVED.

CHERWINK STATED THAT SOON AFTER HEARING THIS SOUND, SHE OBSERVED ADELMAN WALK FROM THE COUNTER AREA. WHEN CHERWINK LOOKED BACK AT THE COUNTER AREA BY THE NORTH CASH REGISTER AREA, SHE NOTICED THAT THE PETITION THAT HAD BEEN LYING ON THE COUNTER BY THIS CASH REGISTER WAS

<Incident No | Date & Time Reported | Complainant/Victim Name 97-001298-I ! 12/09/97 TUE 18:40 ! JEROMES MOBILE,

GONE. BUT THE VIDEO THAT ADELMAN HAD BROUGHT UP TO THE COUNTER WAS STILL THERE.

CHERWINK STATED THAT SHE OBSERVED ADELMAN WALK INTO THE PUBLIC BATHROOM IN THE STORE AND SHE DID NOT SEE THE PETITION IN ADELMAN'S

CHERWINK STATED THAT A SHORT TIME LATTER, SHE OBSERVED ADELMAN WALK OUT OF THE PUBLIC BATHROOM AND THAT HE STARTED TO WALK TOWARDS THE COUNTER.

CHERWINK THEN STATED THAT SHE WALKED FROM BEHIND THE-COUNTER AND ASKED ADELMAN IF HE HAD THE PETITION. PER CHERWINK, ADELMAN STATED "YES, HE DID" AND TOOK A LITTLE FOLDED UP PIECE OF PAPER OUT OF HIS POCKET OF HIS LIGHT BROWN JACKET.

ACCORDING TO CHERWINK ADELMAN STATED "OH, YOU WANTED THIS". CHERWINK STATES YES. CHERWINK STATED THEN THAT SHE BELIEVED THAT ADELMAN UNFOLDED THE PIECE OF PAPER.

CHERWINK THEN STATED THAT ADELMAN HANDED THE PAPER BACK TO HER AND THAT SHE RECOGNIZED THE PAPER AS THE PETITION FROM THE COUNTER AREA BY THE NORTH CASH REGISTER.

CHERWINK STATED THAT AFTER ADELMAN GAVE THE PETITION BACK TO HER, HE LEFT THE STORE.

I ASKED CHERWINK HOW SHE KNEW THAT THIS PERSON THAT SHE ENCOUNTERED WAS LYNN ADELMAN. CHERWINK STATED THAT SHE KNOWS ADELMAN BECAUSE, SHE HAS HAD PRIOR CONTACT WITH ADELMAN AT JEROME'S CORNER *MARKET WHEN SHE HAS RENTED HIM VIDEOS, CHECKED HIM OUT AT THE CASH REGISTER AND WHEN SHE HAS CASHED A PERSONAL CHECK OF HIS. CHERWINK ALSO STATED THAT SHE RECOGNIZED ADELMAN FROM WHEN HE STOPPED AT HER HOME TO ASK CHERWINK FOR HER VOTE IN A PAST ELECTION.

AT THIS TIME I ASKED CHERWINK IF SHE WOULD WRITE OUT A STATEMENT AS TO WHAT SHE VERBALLY TOLD ME. CHERWINK STATED THAT SHE WOULD.

AT 10:20 PM ON WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 10, 1997, I ENDED MY INTERVIEW WITH CHERWINK.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED; OFFICER JON KOPECKY 2638 BIG BEND POLICE DEPARTMENT

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT TO IR 97-1298

ON THURSDAY DECEMBER 11, 1997, AT 4:29 PM, I ARRIVED AT W229 S8890 CLARK STREET, BIG BEND, WI., THE TORGERSON RESIDENCE TO INTERVIEW THE SECOND CLERK WHO WAS WORKING AT JEROME'S CORNERMARKET ON SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997, WHEN THE ALLEGED ATTEMPTED THEFT OCCURRED.

UPON ARRIVAL AT THE TORGERSON RESIDENCE, I INTERVIEWED THE SECOND CLERK WHO WAS IDENTIFIED AS; TORGERSON/MICHELE/M, F/W, DOB 06-01-66, TELEPHONE NUMBER 414 662-3948.

I ASKED TORGERSON WHAT HAD OCCURRED AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET ON SUNDAY NIGHT DECEMBER 07, 1997. TORGERSON STATED THAT AT APPROXIMATELY 6:00 PM, ON SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997, LYNN ADELMAN CAME INTO JEROME'S CORNER MARKET AND WENT BACK TO THE VIDEO SECTION OF THE A SHORT WHILE LATTER, ADELMAN BROUGHT A VIDEO BOX UP TO MY CASH REGISTER STATION LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE COUNTER, BY THE NORTH SET OF DOORS.

Continuation Page BIG BEND POLICE DEPARTMENT
Incident No | Date & Time Reported | Complainant/Victim Name 97-001298-I ! 12/09/97 TUE 18:40 ! JEROMES MOBILE.

TORGERSON STATED THAT ADELMAN PLACED THE VIDEO BOX DOWN ON THE COUNTER NEXT TO THE PETITION.

TORGERSON STATED THAT AT THIS TIME, SHE TURNED HER BACK ON ADELMAN TO GET THE VIDEO FOR HIM AND HIS RECEIPT. TORGERSON STATED THAT WHEN SHE FOUND THE VIDEO AND PRINTED THE RECEIPT, SHE THEN PLACED THE VIDEO DOWN ON THE COUNTER AND HAD ADELMAN SIGN THE RECEIPT FOR THE VIDEO.

TORGERSON THEN STATED THAT AFTER ADELMAN SIGNED THE RECEIPT AND PAID FOR THE VIDEO, ADELMAN WALKED AWAY FROM THE COUNTER AREA AND WENT INTO THE BATHROOM. SHE THEN STATED THAT SHE NOTICED THAT THE PETITION THAT WAS ON THE COUNTER BY THE VIDEO WAS GONE. ACCORDING TO TORGERSON, ADELMAN WAS THE ONLY CUSTOMER IN THE STORE AT THIS TIME.

TORGERSON THEN STATED THAT AFTER SHE NOTICED THAT THE PETITION WAS GONE, SHE WENT OVER TO CHERWINK AND SAID, I THINK ADELMAN TOOK THE PETITION FROM THE COUNTER.

TORGERSON THEN STATED THAT A SHORT TIME LATTER, ADELMAN CAME OUT OF THE BATHROOM AND WALKED'TOWARDS THE COUNTER. SHE THEN HEARD CHERWINK STATE "DO YOU HAVE THAT PETITION" OR SOME PHRASE LIKE. SHE HEARD ADELMAN STATE "YES, DO YOU WANT IT". TORGERSON THEN STATED THAT SHE HEARD CHERWINK STATE YES, WE WANT THE PETITION BACK.

TORGERSON ALSO STATED TO ME THAT SHE DID NOT SEE THIS EXCHANGE BETWEEN CHERWINK AND ADELMAN BECAUSE HER BACK WAS TURNED TO THEM. TORGERSON STATED THAT SHE THEN HEARD CHERWINK STATE THAT SHE

(CHERWINK) OWNS THREE DOGS HERSELF.

AT THIS TIME I ASKED TORGERSON IF SHE WOULD WRITE OUT A STATEMENT AS TO WHAT SHE VERBALLY TOLD ME. TORGERSON STATED THAT SHE WOULD.

AT 5:09 PM ON THURSDAY DECEMBER 11, 1997, I CONCLUDED MY INTERVIEW WITH TORGERSON.

AT 5:11 PM ON THURSDAY DECEMBER 11, 1997, I MADE CONTACT WITH JEFFERY GOTTFRIED WHO IS ONE OF THE FAMILY BUSINESS OWNERS OF JEROME'S CORNER MARKET W230 S8715 CLARK STREET, BIG BEND, WI. 53103.

MR. GOTTFRIED STATED THAT THE ALLEGED ATTEMPTED THEFT OF THE PETITION IS NOT AN ISSUE WITH THE STORE. THAT THE PETITION WAS NOT AT THE STORE WITH THE APPROVAL OF JEROME'S DRUGS. THAT AN EMPLOYEE ON HER OWN GAVE PERMISSION FOR THE PETITION TO BE LEFT AT THE STORE.

MR. GOTTFRIED STATED THAT PRIOR TO SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997, HE REMOVED THE PETITION FROM THE FRONT COUNTER OF THE STORE. THAT PLACED THE PETITION BEHIND THE COUNTER, THIS WAS DONE, SO THAT WHEN THE OWNER OF THE PETITION CAME, THE PETITION COULD BE GIVEN BACK TO THEM.

MR. GOTTFRIED STATED THAT WHEN HE ARRIVED BACK AT THE STORE ON MONDAY MORNING DECEMBER 08, 1997, THE PETITION THAT HE PLACED BEHIND THE COUNTER WAS BACK ON TOP OF THE COUNTER. HOWEVER, MR. GOTTFRIED DID NOT REMOVE THE PETITION FROM THE COUNTER WHEN HE SAW IT ON MONDAY MORNING.

MR. GOTTFRIED STATED THAT AS PART OWNER OF THE FAMILY BUSINESS, HE DOES NOT WISH TO PURSUE THE ATTEMPTED THEFT OF THE PETITION.

AT 5:44 PM ON MONDAY DECEMBER 08, 1997, I CONCLUDED MY INTERVIEW WITH JEFFERY GOTTFRIED.

respectfully submitted;

PSR08B 1.5 Date Printed: 12/12/97 Page 7

Incident No Date & Time Reported Complainant/Victim Name 97-001298-T ! **12/09/97** TUE 18:40 ! JEROMES MOBILE

OFFICER JON KOPECKY 2638 BIG BEND POLICE DEPARTMENT

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT TO IR 97-1298.

ON FRIDAY DECEMBER 12, 1997, AT 5:10 PM, I CONDUCTED AN INTERVIEW WITH THE SUSPECT IN THE ALLEGED ATTEMPTED THEFT WHICH OCCURRED AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET ON SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997. THE INTERVIEW WAS HELD AT THE VILLAGE OF BIG BEND POLICE DEPARTMENT IN THE MAIN OFFICE AREA.

THE SUSPECT IN THE ALLEGED THEFT WAS IDENTIFIED AS; ADELMAN/LYNN/S, M/W, DOB 10-01-39, RESIDING AT 33725 JANESVILLE DR., MUKWONAGO, WI., TELEPHONE NUMBER 414 662-3864.

MR. ADELMAN'S ATTORNEY ROBERT J. LERNER OF PERRY, LERNER AND QUINDEL, 823 NORTH CASS STREET WAS PRESENT DURING THE INTERVIEW WITH MR. ADELMAN AND WAS ACTING AS MR. ADELMAN'S COUNSEL.

AT 5:10 PM, I ADVISED MR. ADELMAN OF HIS MIRANDA RIGHTS. RIGHTS WERE VERBALLY GIVEN TO MR. ADELMAN FROM A DEPARTMENTAL MIRANDA CARD. I ASKED MR. ADELMAN IF HE UNDERSTOOD HIS RIGHTS. MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT HE DID. I ASKED MR. ADELMAN THAT KNOWING THAT HE HAS THESE RIGHTS, DOES HE WISH TO MAKE A STATEMENT, MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT HE WOULD GIVE A STATEMENT. I THEN HAD MR. ADELMAN SIGN THE MIRANDA CARD INDICATING THAT HE WISHED WAIVE HIS RIGHTS AND MAKE A STATEMENT.

MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT ON SUNDAY DECEMBER 07, 1997, AT APPROXIMATELY 6:00 PM OR 6:30 PM, HE STOPPED AT JEROME'S CORNER MARKET. MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT HE WENT IN TO GET A VIDEO.

MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT AFTER HE PICKED OUT A VIDEO, HE WENT TO THE COUNTER. AT THE COUNTER HE SAW A PAPER ON THE COUNTER THAT HAD A REFERENCE TO HIS CLIENT MR. HOLZ.

MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT HE WAS CURIOUS ABOUT THE PAPER SO HE PICKED IT UP. AFTER HE PICKED UP THE PAPER, HE HAD TO GO TO THE BATHROOM, SO HE TOOK THE PAPER WITH HIM TO THE BATHROOM.

ONCE IN THE BATHROOM, HE PUT THE PAPER IN HIS POCKET SO IT WOULD NOT GET MESSED UP OR DIRTY. HE THEN WENT TO THE BATHROOM.

MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT AFTER LEAVING THE BATHROOM HE WENT BACK TO THE FRONT COUNTER. MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT WHEN HE GOT BACK TO THE FRONT COUNTER, THE CLERK ON HIS RIGHT ASKED IF HE HAD THE PETITION. MR. ADELMAN STATED "YES, DO YOU WANT IT". MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT AT THIS TIME HE GAVE THE PETITION TO THE CLERK ON HIS RIGHT.

MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT WHEN HE ASKED THE CLERK "DO YOU WANT IT", MEANING THE PETITION, HE WAS ASKING THE CLERK IF SHE PHYSICALLY WANTED THE PETITION, INSTEAD OF HIM PUTTING THE PETITION BACK DOWN ON THE COUNTER.

MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT AFTER HE GAVE! THE PETITION TO THE CLERK ON HIS RIGHT, THE OTHER CLERK IN THE STORE, WHICH WAS BEHIND THE COUNTER TO HIS LEFT STATED SOMETHING SIMILAR TO; I SUPPORT THE PETITION, BECAUSE I HAVE THREE DOGS MYSELF.

MR. ADELMAN STATED THAT AT THIS TIME HE LEFT THE STORE AND DID NOT RESPOND TO THE COMMENT.

MR. ADELMAN ALSO STATED THAT HE DID NOT HAVE A CHANCE TO PUT THE PETITION BACK ON THE COUNTER, PRIOR TO THE CLERK ON HIS RIGHT ASKING HIM FOR THE PETITION.

PSR08B 1.5 Date Printed: 12/12/97

97-001298-X

AT THIS TIME, I ASKED MR. ADELMAN IF HE WOULD GIVE ME A WRITTEN STATEMENT ON WHAT HE VERBALLY TOLD ME. MR. ADELMAN'S ATTORNEY, ROBERT J. LERNER SPOKE UP AND STATED THAT SINCE MR. ADELMAN HAD ALREADY GIVEN A VERBAL STATEMENT AND THAT SINCE I (OFFICER JON KOPECKY) HAD TAKEN NOTES, THAT THERE WAS NO NEED FOR MR. ADELMAN TO WRITE OUT A STATEMENT.

AT THIS TIME I THANKED BOTH PARTIES FOR COMING IN AND CONCLUDED THE INTERVIEW AT 5:25 PM.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

OFFICER JON KOPECKY 2638

PSR08B 1.5

BIG BEND POLICE DEPARTMENT

Date Printed: 12/12/97 Page 9



State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

1999 - 2000 LEGISLATUR

JEO:...:... CMM

DNote

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

w.P.O please TED's make to changes to sheet

NACT (Palating

AN ACT ...; relating to: unauthorized removal, concealment, defacement or

destruction of a petition and providing a penalty.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, no person may fraudulently deface or destroy a recall petition or a petition for a referendum. This bill creates a new crime relating to the removal, concealment, defacement or destruction of petitions. Under the bill, a person may not remove a petition from a place where it is displayed or conceal, deface or destroy a petition for the purpose of interfering with the collection of signatures. The bill applies both to recall and referendum petitions and to other types of petitions, but it does not apply to petitions displayed on property without the consent of the owner or occupant or to petitions drafted for use in administrative, judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings. A person violating this prohibition may be fined up to \$10,000 or imprisoned up to nine months or both.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 942.09 of the statutes is created to read:

942.09 Interfering with petition process. (1) Whoever, with intent to interfere with the collection of signatures, conceals, defaces or destroys a petition or

3

1

2

J

4

5

10

LRB-2227/P1 JEO:...:... SECTION 1

1	removes a petition from the place where it is being displayed is guilty of a Class A
2	misdemeanor.
3	(2) This section does not apply to any of the following:
4	(a) An owner or occupant of property who removes, conceals, defaces or destroys
(5)	a petition which has been displayed on the property without the consent of the owner
6	or occupant.
7	(b) A petition in a form which has been approved by statute or by a court or
8	administrative order, rule or decision for submission in connection with an
9	administrative, judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding.

(END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROMTHE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

JEO:...:...

May 7, 1999

Jolene:

, e .

Please review this draft carefully to ensure that it is consistent with your intent. In particular, please note the following:

- 1. The prohibition contained in the **draft** will not apply to petitions of the form regularly used in legal proceedings (such as a petition for appointment of a guardian). Is this okay?
- 2. Under *In re Stolen*, 193 Wis. 602 (1927), a person who is not a party to a legal proceeding does not have a constitutionally protected right to petition a court in an effort to influence the court's decision in that proceeding. See *also State ex rel. Baker v. County Court*, 29 Wis. 2d 1, 10–11 (1965) (holding constitutional right to petition the government not applicable to presentation of petitions in probate proceeding by non-lawyer executor). Although courts regularly accept briefs from persons who are not parties to a case but who seek to influence its outcome (as *amicus curiae*, or a "friend of the court"), *Stolen* and *Baker* have never been overruled or limited on this issue. The draft, however, does not require that the petition be constitutionally protected or be in a certain form. In other words, even though a court may not ultimately have to accept a petition like the one that was being circulated in Big Bend, unauthorized removal, concealment, defacement or destruction of such a petition would still be prohibited under this draft.

Jefren E. Olsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 2664906

E-mail: Jefren.Olsen@legis.state.wi.us

D Note

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2227/P1dn JEO:cmh:km

May 14, 1999

Jolene:

Please review this draft carefully to ensure that it is consistent with your intent. In particular, please note the following:

- 1. The prohibition contained in the draft will not apply to petitions of the form regularly used in legal proceedings (such as a petition for appointment of a guardian). Is this okay?
- 2. Under *In re Stolen*, 193 Wis. 602 (19271, a person who is not a party to a legal proceeding does not have a constitutionally protected right to petition a court in an effort to influence the court's decision in that proceeding. *See also State ex rel. Baker v. County Court, 29* Wis. 2d 1, 10–11 (1965) (holding constitutional right to petition the government not applicable to presentation of petitions in probate proceeding by non-lawyer executor). Although courts regularly accept briefs from persons who are not parties to a case but who seek to influence its outcome (as *amicus curiae*, or a "friend of the court"), *Stolen* and *Baker* have never been overruled or limited on this issue. The draft, however, does not require that the petition be constitutionally protected or be in a certain form. In other words, even though a court may not ultimately have to accept a petition like the one that was being circulated in Big Bend, unauthorized removal, concealment, defacement or destruction of such a petition would still be prohibited under this draft.

Jefren E. Olsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–8906

E-mail: Jefren.Olsen@legis.state.wi.us



1

2

3

4

5

State af Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-2227(P1) JEO:cmh:km

RMR

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

500 M

AN ACT to create 942.09 of the statutes; relating to: unauthorized removal,

concealment, defacement or destruction of a petition and providing a penalty.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, no person may fraudulently deface or destroy a recall petition or a petition for a referendum. This bill creates a new crime relating to the removal, concealment, defacement or destruction of petitions. Under the bill, a person may not remove a petition from a place where it is displayed or conceal, deface or destroy a petition for the purpose of interfering with the collection of signatures. The bill applies both to recall and referendum petitions and to other types of petitions, but it does not apply to petitions displayed on property without the consent of the owner or occupant or to petitions drafted for use in administrative, judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings. A person violating this prohibition may be fined up to \$10,000 or imprisoned up to nine months or both.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 942.09 of the statutes is created to read:

942.09 Interfering with petition process. (1) Whoever, with intent to interfere with the collection of signatures, conceals, defaces or destroys a petition or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

lawfully

- removes a petition from the place where it is being displayed is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor.
 - (2) This section does not apply to any of the following:
 - (a) An owner or occupant of property who removes, conceals, defaces or destroys a petition that has been displayed on the property without the consent of the owner or occupant.
 - (b) A petition in a form that has been approved by statute or by a court or administrative order, rule or decision for submission in connection with an administrative, judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding.

10 **(END)**