Bill

1

Received: 05/	Received By: gibsom	
Wanted: As ti	Identical to LRB:	
For: Mark P	By/Representing:	
This file may	Drafter: gibsom	
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters:	
Subject:	Nat. Res forests and parks	Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Failure to pay for timber products

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Reauired
/1	gibsom 07/27/1999 r-kite 08/11/1999	gilfokm 08/03/1999	jfrantze 08/04/1999	9	lrb-docadmin 08/04/1999		S&L
12	gibsom 09/02/1999	gilfokm 09/03/1999	haugeca 09/03/1999)	lrb-docadmin 09/03/1999	lrbdocadmii 09/08/1999	nS&L
/3	gibsom 10/12/1999	gilfokm 10/12/1999	jfrantze 10/13/1999	9	lrb-docadmin 10/13/1999	lrb_docadmi 10/13/1999	nS&L

FE Sent For:

Bill

.

Received: 05/	Received By: gibsom	
Wanted: As t	Identical to LRB:	
For: Mark P	By/Representing:	
This file may	Drafter: gibsom	
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters:	
Subject:	Extra Copies:	

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Failure to pay for timber products

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	<u>Reviewed</u>	Typed	Proofed	<u>Submit</u> ted	Macketed / Reauired
/1	gibsom 07/27/1999 rkite 08/11/1999	gilfokm 08/03/1999	jfrantze 08/04/1999	9	lrb-docadmin 08/04/1999	S&L
/2	gibsom 09/02/1999	gilfokm 09/03/1999	haugeca 09/03/1 999	9	lrb-docadmin 09/03/1999	lrb_docadminS&L 09/08/1999
FE Sent I	For:	10/12 13 Kmg	610/13	- 76/mc <end></end>		

Bill

ď,

Received: 05/06/1999	Received By: gibsom	
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:	
For: Mark Pettis (608) 267-2365	By/Representing:	
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: gibsom	
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters:	
Subject: Nat. Res forests and parks	Extra Copies:	

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Failure to pay for timber products

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	<u>Reviewed</u>	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/1	gibsom 07/27/1999 rkite 08/11/1999	gilfokm 08/03/1999	jfrantze 08/04/1999	9	lrb-docadmin 08/04/1 999		S&L
/2	gibsom 09/02/1 999	gilfokm 09/03/1 999	haugeca 09/03/1999	9	lrb-docadmin 09/03/1999		S&L

FE Sent For:

<END>



Bill

Received: 05	Received By: gibsom	
Wanted: As t	Identical to LRB:	
For: Mark P	By/Representing:	
This file may	Drafter: gibsom	
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters:	
Subject:	Nat. Res forests and parks	Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Failure to pay for timber products

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:





LRB-3039

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 05/	Received By: gibsom	
Wanted: As ti	Identical to LRB:	
For: Mark P	By/Representing:	
This file may	Drafter: gibsom	
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters:	
Subject:	Extra Copies:	

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Failure to pay for timber products

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:



FE Sent For:

<END>

CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM-

Date: October 22, **1998**

File Ref:

To: -Bob Mather, Jim Miller ROBIN N. KLTE From: Phil Stromberg/MARK ? ETTIS

Subject: Request for inclusion to Legislative change, 26.05 (2)(b)

Enclosed is a proposal for creation of a new statute to protect forest landowners from loggers who fail to compensate for timber cut from lands, WITH CONSENT.

Presently; landowners only option to restore themselves in this situation is to handle through a civil action. In practice and experience, this rarely happens. The process is intimidating to most citizens and costs will likely exceed compensation owed. Consequently, unscrupulous loggers will? conduct business as usual knowing landowners have no practical recourse.

An action through consumer protection is also cumbersome and unlikely to cause results.

Forest Rangers get sucked into these situations regardless of whether or not we can produce results. Sometimes, the logger **messes up** in some other way and we can do something, ie. trespass or cutting notice violation, however this does nothing to restore the landowner that has not been compensated,

Classic scenario, of which I have dealt with 3 cases by the same logger in Burnett County in the past 2 years; (1) logger contracts to cut timber direct with the landowner with no benefit to **the landowner** of **any** competitive bidding. Result, landowner gets paid 50 - 75 cents on the dollar, relative to the fair market value. (2) Landowner is given an accounting of wood that is short of actual, (this is **only alleged)**. Now, if this is not insulting enough, and I accept that there is probably little we can do about it short of proving fraud, which is complex, (3), the landowner never receives full payment for what they believe to be owed. (Never mind that there are these other inequities already mentioned).

Now on top of all that, 2 of the'three are vulnerable widowed women on short, fixed incomes.

Because consent has been given, there is nothing we, as Forest Rangers can do. We need statutory language that gives us some remedy to curtail the rip off of vulnerable people and to help keep loggers more hone&.

Ken Kutz, Burnett County District Attorney is familiar with these cases and composed the language I have proposed to you. I hope this can be included in your present work and believe that, once understood by legislators, will be easily embraced. I hope our administrators will be comfortable with it. While, yes, it will mean more work for us, as I have said, we get sucked in anyway and isn't this the business we are in, to protect and serve the resource and the public?

ITS THE RIGHT THING TO DO!

26.05 (2)(b) is created to read:

Any person who cuts, removes or transports raw forest products or directs the cutting, removal or transportation of the same, with the owners consent, and subsequently fails to pay any and all compensation agreed upon, is guilty **of** theft under this section.



State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB_3039/ 1 MGG...:Kin

Could not prime by line #5

O Nota

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION



for the products of Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau Current law prohibits a person from cutting or removing raw forest products without the consent of the owner of the forest products. A person violating this provision is subject to a forfeiture or if the violation is intentional, the may be punished for theft.

Under this bill the same penalties apply to a person who cuts or removes raw forest products with the consent of the owner but who fails to pay the owner the total price agreed upon for the products by the owner and the person cutting the products.

For further information **see** the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 26.05 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

26.05 (2m) PAYMENT TO OWNER REQUIRED. No person who cuts, removes or transports raw forest products, or who directs the cutting, removal or transportation of raw forest products, with the consent of the owner of the raw forest products may fail to pay to the owner the total amount of any compensation for the raw forest plain

products that is agreed upon between the owner and the person doing the cutting, removal or transportation.

SECTION 2. 26.05 (3) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

26.05 (3) (c) In addition to any other penalty, a person who violates this section sub. (2) or a rule promulgated under this section sub. (2) is liable for the reasonable costs incurred to establish the volume and value of the raw forest products cut, removed or transported.

History: 1981 c. 67.

දේ දේ

2

(END)



DRAFTER'S NOTE FROMTHE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-3039/dn MGG...: 1m/

I amended s. 26.05 (3) (c) because it seemed inapplicable to the situations that are covered by s. 26.05 (2m).

Mary Gibson-Glass Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 2673215

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

· ·

August 3, 1999

I amended s. 26.05 (3) (c) because it seemed inapplicable to the situations that are covered by s. 26.05 (2m).

Mary Gibson-Glass Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 267-3215

LRB-3039/1 **1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE** MGG:kmg:jf **1999 BILL** entered into a contract with with an owner of raw forest products brotost Hen Cat ANACT to amend 26.05 (3) (c); and to create 26.05 (2m) of the statutes; relating 1 to: failure to pay owners of raw forest products. 2 Analysis by the Degislative Reference Bureau Current law prohibits a person from cutting or removing timber or other forest products without the consent of the owner of the forest products. A person violating this provision is subject to a forfeiture or, if the violation is intentional, may be timber donig the punished for theft. Under this bill, the same penalties apply to a person who cuts or removes tituber the raw 200 abor forest products with the consent of the owner but who fails to pay the owner the total price for the products as agreed upon by the owner and the person cutting or removing the products. removal & For further information see the state and local fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill. The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows: 3 **SECTION 1.** 26.05 (2m) of the statutes is created to read: 4 26.05 (2m) PAYMENT TO OWNER REQUIRED. NO PERSOn who cuts, removes or 5 transportsraw forest products, or who directs the cutting removal or transportation

1999 - 2000 Legislature

10

of raw forest products with the consent of the owner of the raw forest products may
fail to pay to the owner the total amount of any compensation for the raw forest
products as agreed upon by the owner and the person doing the cutting, removal or
transportation.

5 SECTION 2. 26.05 (3) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

6 26.05 (3) (c) In addition to any other penalty, a person who violate s this section
 7 <u>sub. (2)</u> or a rule promulgated under this section sub. (2) is liable for the reasonable
 8 costs incurred to establish the volume and value of the raw forest products cut,
 9 removed or transported.

(END)

under which the owner good the person to are received the owners consent to cut, remove or and transport the raw forest products

SUBMITTAL FORM

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street

The attached draft is submitted for your inspection. Please check each part carefully, proofread each word, and **sign** on the appropriate line(s) below.

Date: 09/03/1999

To: Representative Pettis

Relating to LRB drafting number: LRB-3039

Topic

Failure to pay for timber products

Subject(s)

Nat. Res. - forests and parks

- 1. JACKET the draft for introduction M_{M} L_{L} P_{M} P_{M} L_{L} P_{M} L_{L} P_{M} P_{M} L_{L} P_{M} L_{L} P_{M} P_{M} L_{L} P_{M} P_{M} L_{L} P_{M} P_{M}
- 2. REDRAFT. See the changes indicated or attached ______

A revised draft will be submitted for your approval with changes incorporated.

3. Obtain FISCAL ESTIMATE NOW, prior to introduction _____

If the analysis indicates that a fiscal estimate is required because the proposal makes an appropriation or increases or decreases existing appropriations or state or general local government fiscal liability or revenues, you have the option to request the fiscal estimate prior to introduction. If you choose to introduce the proposal without the fiscal estimate, the fiscal estimate will be requested automatically upon introduction. It takes about 10 days to obtain a fiscal estimate. Requesting the fiscal estimate prior to introduction retains your flexibility for possible redrafting of the proposal.

If you have any questions regarding the above procedures, please call 266-3561. If you have any questions relating to the attached draft, please feel free to call me.

Mary Gibson-Glass, Senior Legislative Attorney Telephone: (608) 267-32 15



3RMR LRB-3039/& MGG:kmq:ch



to: failure to pay owners of raw forest products.

ĉ

2

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law prohibits a person from cutting or removing timber or other forest products without the consent of the owner of the forest products. A person violating this provision is subject to **a** forfeiture or, if the violation is intentional, may be punished for theft.

Under this bill, the same penalties apply to a person who enters into a contract with an owner of raw forest products to cut or remove the raw forest products but who fails to pay the owner the total price for the forest products as agreed upon by the owner and the person doing the cutting or removely to the Contract.

For further information *see* the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of *Wisconsin*, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- 3 **SECTION 1.** 26.05 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:
- 4 26.05 (2m) PAYMENT TO QWNER REQUIRED. No person who entered into a contract
- 5 with the owner of raw forest products under which the person received the owner's

1999 - 2000 Legislature

BILL

· • •

1 consent to cut, remove or transport the raw forest products may fail to pay to the 2 owner the total amount of any compensation for the raw forest products as agreed upon by the owner and the person foing the cutting, removal or transportation. 3 SECTION 2. 26.05 (3) (c) of the statutes is amended to read: 4 26.05 (3) (c) In addition to any other penalty, a person who violates this section 5 <u>sub. (2)</u> or a rule promulgated under this section sub. (2) is liable for the reasonable 6 costs incurred to establish the volume and value of the raw forest products cut, 7 removed or transported. 8 9 (END)

under the contract.