

<b>1999 Session</b>		LRB Number <b>LRB-3901/1</b>
<b>FISCAL ESTIMATE</b> DOA-2048 N(R06/99)		Bill Number <b>Assembly Bill 659</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> UPDATED <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL		
<b>Subject</b>  <b>Prohibition on using federal money, including ICE money, or state operating assistance authorized under s.85.20 for anything related to light rail transit.</b>		Amendment No. if Applicable  Administrative Rule Number
<b>Fiscal Effect</b> State: <input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriation		<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
Local: <input type="checkbox"/> No local government costs		
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Villages <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others _____ <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b> <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S		<b>Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations</b>
<b>Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate</b>  <p>AB 659 makes permanent the prohibition on using federal funds or state operating assistance for light rail transit included in 1999 Wisconsin Act 9, by deleting the expiration dates. The statutory language excludes the Kenosha Downtown Circulator, any service tied to the Highway 12 Agreement and Amtrak. Since there are no systems that have reached the preliminary design phase, except the Kenosha Downtown Circulator, the prohibition on using state operating assistance funds for light rail transit would have no fiscal impact for at least five years. Extending the prohibition on the use of federal funds for design and construction of light rail, however, could have a fiscal impact within the next 2-3 years. Based on the agreement reached last year between Governor Thompson, Milwaukee County Executive Ament and Milwaukee Mayor Norquist, approximately \$90 million in ICE funds were to be spent on the locally preferred transit option, which could in fact be light rail transit. Enactment of this bill would be in violation of the terms of this agreement, and would jeopardize the \$90 million in ICE funds.</p>		
<b>Long-Range Fiscal Implications</b> In the long term, enactment of this bill would probably prevent any light rail transit system from being built in this state. Milwaukee County, or possibly even Madison could decide to implement a light rail transit system, but the inability to use federal or state transit dollars for construction and operation of such a system, would be enough of a disincentive to discourage the implementation of such a system.		
Prepared by: <b>Richard A. Martin</b>	Telephone No. <b>(608) 266-6812</b>	Agency <b>WISDOT</b>
Authorized Signature: <i>Sandra K. Bergin</i>	Telephone No. <i>266-7575</i>	Date <i>2/7/00</i>

**FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET**

1999 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect  
DOA-2047 (R06/99)

LRB Number <b>LRB-3901/1</b>	Amendment No. if Applicable
Bill Number <b>Assembly Bill 659</b>	Administrative Rule Number

- ORIGINAL                       UPDATED  
 CORRECTED                       SUPPLEMENTAL

**Subject**

**Prohibition of using federal funds or state operating assistance for light rail transit**

**I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):**

**Potential loss of from \$90 million - ? in federal capital funds (exact amount indeterminable)**

II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$ 0	\$ - 0
(FTE Position Changes)		(0 FTE)	(-0 FTE)
State Operations - Other Costs		0	-0
Local Assistance		0	-0
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		0	-0
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>		<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ -0</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>		<b>Increased Costs</b>	<b>Decreased Costs</b>
GPR		\$ 0	\$ -0
FED		0	-0
PRO/PRS		0	-0
SEG/SEG-S		0	-0
<b>III. State Revenues</b>	Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	<b>Increased Rev.</b>	<b>Decreased Rev.</b>
GPR Taxes		\$ 0	\$ -0
GPR Earned		0	-0
FED		0	-0
PRO/PRS		0	-0
SEG/SEG-S		0	-0
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>		<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ -0</b>

**NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT**

	STATE	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ 0	\$ 0

Prepared by: <b>Richard A. Martin</b>	Telephone No. <b>(608) 266-6812</b>	Agency <b>WISDOT</b>
Authorized Signature: <i>Sandra K. Beaupre</i>	Telephone No. <b>75254</b>	Date <b>2/7/00</b>