1999 Se	ssion	1	LRB Number					
FISCAL ESTIMATE	_	<u> -</u>	3961/2					
DOA-2048 N(R06/99) ORIGINAL CORRECTED	UPDATED SUPPLEMENTAL		Bill Number AB 691					
Subject Fiscal estimates for bills containing criminal penalty provisions Amendment No. if Applicable								
1 loods countained for bine containing or minar p		Administrative Rule Number						
Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation. Increase Existing Appropriation. Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation Create New Appropriation Increase Costs Local: No local government costs I. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Costs State: No State Fiscal Effect Within Agency's Budget Yes No Within Agency's Budget Yes No Decrease Costs State: No Increase Costs Decrease Costs State: No Increase Revenues Permissive Mandatory Decrease Costs State: No Increase Revenues Permissive Mandatory State: No Increase Revenues Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenues Permissive Mandatory School Districts WTCS Districts Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations State: No Increase Revenues School Districts State Under current law, the Department is not required to provide fiscal estimates for any bill containing penalty provisions if no other provision of the bill requires a fiscal estimate. This bill removes this exemption and requires the Department to prepare fiscal								
estimates for any bills that will increase the statewide	probation, parole, or extende	d supervision	population. The bill requires an					
estimate of both operating and capital costs over ten f required.	iscal years. If a specific esting	nate cannot be	e made, an estimated cost range is					
It is difficult to estimate how many bills are introduced each session that would be affected by this provision. DOC does not have sufficient IT, research or analyst staff to prepare a fiscal note on every bill which includes penalty provisions within the time limits established. In order to develop costs on many of these notes, research involving other jurisdictions where the criminal penalty exists would be required. In addition, significant data runs will be needed to predict costs over a ten-year period. DOC currently does not have a sophisticated forecast system that would allow scenarios for various penalties. It is estimated that in order to provide the information required in this bill, the Department would need 3.0 FTE, including 1.0 FTE Budget and Policy Analyst Senior, 1.0 FTE Research Analyst 6, and 1.0 IS Data Access Professional Senior (BTM). These 3.0 FTE would cost \$167,400 annually for salary, supplies and services and related costs. There would also be \$24,000 in one-time and start-up costs Long-Range Fiscal Implications								
Long-Kange Fiscal Implications								
Prepared by: Robert Nikolay	Telephone No. 267-0930		Agency Corrections					
Authorized Signature:	Telephone No.		Date					
Robert Margolies	266-2931		2/11/00					

4	CAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET					1999 Session	
	ailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect 2047 (R06/99)			LRB Number 3961/2	An	nendment No. if Applicable	
	☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL			Bill Number AB 691		Administrative Rule Number	
	oject				___		
FIS	cal Estimate for bills containing crimina	al penalty provisions	.				
I.	One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts	for State and/or Loca	al Govern	ment (do not inclu	de in annual	ized fiscal effect):	
II.	Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State			unds from:	
Α.	State Costs by Category			Increased Costs	Decrea	sed Costs	
	State Operations - Salaries and Fri	nges	\$	145,100	\$ -		
	(FTE Position Changes)			(3.0 FTE)	(-	FTE)	
	State Operations - Other Costs			22,300	**		
	Local Assistance				-		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations	:			-		
	TOTAL State Costs by Catego	ry	\$	167,400	\$ -		
B.	State Costs by Source of Funds			Increased Costs	Decrea	sed Costs	
	GPR		\$	167,400	\$ -		
	FED						
	PRO/PRS		Ì	·			
	SEG/SEG-S	•			-		
State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)			Increased Rev.	Decre	ased Rev.		
	GPR Taxes		\$		\$ -		
	GPR Earned				<u>-</u>		
	FED				•		
	PRO/PRS				-		
	SEG/SEG-S				-		
	TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$ -		
		NET ANNUALIZED F	ISCAL IM	PACT			
STATE				LOCAL			
NET	CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	167,400	<u> </u>			
NET	CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$	·	\$			
	pared by: bert Nikolay	Telepi 267-0	none No.)930		Agency Corrections		
Aut	Authorized Signature: North May 100		none No.			Date	
Robert Margolies 266-293		2931			2/11/00		