FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM					1999 Session			
		LRB#	99-39	61/2				
✓ ORIGINAL E] UPDATED	INTRO	DUCTI	ON # 1999 AB-	·691			
☐ CORRECTED ☐	SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. F	lule #					
Subject Fiscal estimate on bills containing penalt	Subject Fiscal estimate on bills containing penalty provisions and correctional fiscal estimates on bills containing criminal penalties							
Fiscal Effect								
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes or affects a sum sufficient approp	✓ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ✓ Yes □ No							
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Create New Appropriation				☐ Decrease Costs				
Local: No local government costs	I							
□ Increase Costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory	3. ☐ Increase P		daton	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities				
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease			☐ Counties ☐ Other				
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permiss	sive 🗆 Man		☐ School Districts	☐ WTCS Districts			
Fund Sources Affected	ве Песе П	SEG-S		th. 20 Appropriations				
✓ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ P Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Est		3EU-3	20.765 (1)	(d), (3) (b)				
AB-691 would eliminate the exception from the fiscal estimate requirement for bills containing penalty provisions. The bill would also require an additional fiscal estimate (a correctional fiscal estimate) for bills that contain criminal penalty provisions. A sampling of the roughly 192 bills that contained the phrase "providing a penalty" or "providing penalties" indicates that roughly 40% required a fiscal estimate already for a reason other than the provision of a penalty; the remaining 60% did not. Also during the 1997-99 regular session it appears that roughly 40 bills provided a criminal penalty. As a result, we can expect that, over a biennium, roughly 115 introduced bills that do not currently require a fiscal estimate will require fiscal estimates for the 4B-691 is enacted and approximately 40 will require correctional fiscal estimates. In addition to introduced bills, in a small number of cases, fiscal estimates are requested for unintroduced bills. We estimate that an additional 60 to 70 bills annually will require fiscal estimates if AB-691 is enacted. The Legislative Reference Bureau is likely to incur two main types of additional costs for these additional bills: additional printing costs (from s. 20.765 (1) (d)) and additional costs in processing the fiscal estimates (from s. 20.765 (3) (d)). Printing. DOA charges the LRB roughly \$.02 per side for printing. Although fiscal estimates vary in size, a two-page fiscal estimate is standard. We generally print 318 copies of each fiscal estimate (25 for the LRB, 43 for the Senate, 105 for the Assembly and 145 for the legislative documents room). For each additional fiscal estimate that is printed, we estimate our printing costs to be \$12.72 (318 x 2 x \$.02). While we are unable to predict exactly which agencies DOA will select to prepare fiscal estimates for "penalty bills", we expect that DOA may request fiscal estimates from the department of corrections, the courts, the district attorneys, the public defender board and the department of justice. We								
Long-Range Fiscal Implications: If the number of bills introduced in a legislative session continues to grow over time, it could be expected that the costs of AB-691 would also increase gradually over time								
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Nar	ne Aut	horized Signati	- //	one No.	Date			
Steve Miller, Chief/ 267-2175/LRB		SRU	ull	267-2175	2-8-2000			

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect 1999 Session ✓ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED LRB # 99-3961/2 Admin. Rule # ☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL **INTRODUCTION # 1999 AB-691** Subject Fiscal estimate on bills containing penalty provisions and correctional fiscal estimates on bills containing criminal penalties One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): II. **Annualized Costs:** Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from: **Increased Costs Decreased Costs State Costs by Category** State Operations - Salaries and Fringes 2,096 \$ (FTE Position Changes) FTE) (-FTE) 5,406 State Operations - Other Costs Local Assistance Aids to Individuals or Organizations TOTAL State Costs by Category \$ \$ **Increased Costs** State Costs by Source of Funds **Decreased Costs** В. \$ 7,502 **GPR FED** PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S Increased Rev. Decreased Rev. State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) \$ \$ **GPR Taxes GPR Earned FED** PRO/PRS SEG/SEG-S \$ **TOTAL State Revenues**

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

STATE

LOCAL

NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ <u>7,502</u>	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ 	\$

ĺ	Prepared By: /	Phone # /	Agency Name	
I				

Steve Miller, Chief / 267-2175 / LRB

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

Date

267-2175

2-8-2000