DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-4015/1dn GMM:wlj:mrc

December 3, 1999

Analogous case law suggests that the fair employment law already applies to decisions by DOT not to issue a driving school instructor license to an individual who has suffered an amputation. Specifically, in *Bothun v. DOT*, 134 Wis. 2d 378, (Ct. App. 1986), the court struck down a DOT rule that prohibited, without exception and without reference to the fair employment law, the issuance of a school bus operator's license to persons who use hypoglycemic medication to control their diabetes because that rule conflicted with the fair employment law, which requires a case–by–case evaluation of the relationship between a person's disability and the responsibilities of a particular job. The same principle arguably applies to DOT's flat prohibition against issuing a driving school instructor license to an individual who has suffered an amputation because such a flat prohibition would similarly conflict with the case–by–case evaluation requirement of the fair employment law.

Gordon M. Malaise Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–9738

E-mail: Gordon.Malaise@legis.state.wi.us