FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM **1999 Session**

☒ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED

☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB # -2199/1

INTRODUCTION # AB 699

Admin. Rule #

Subject

Possession of body armor by persons convicted of or adjudicated delinquent for a felony offense and providing a penalty.

Fiscal Effect

State: ☒ No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues

☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues

☐ Create New Appropriation

☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Decrease Costs

Local: ☒ No local government costs

1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
3. ☐ Increase Revenues ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
4. ☐ Decrease Revenues ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
   ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities
   ☐ Counties ☐ Others _____
   ☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected

☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

AB 699 prohibits a felony offender from possessing body armor. AB 699 also allows a felony offender to request a court for an exemption from the prohibition against possessing body armor under certain conditions.

Costs to local governments are indeterminable but the department believes that the use by felons of body armor would be very rare and costs to local law enforcement would be insignificant.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name	**Authorized Signature / Telephone No.**	**Date**
Mike Roberts/266-7052/DOJ	JoAnne Richard 267-1932	February 9, 2000