• • 09/27/1999 04:19:32 PM Page 1 *LRB-3197* 

# **1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

#### Bill

Received: 06/0	)9/1999	Received By: nelsorpl		
Wanted: As ti	me permits	Identical to LRB:		
For: Mark G	undrum (608) 267-5158	By/Representing: Joleen		
This file may	be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: nelsorpl		
May Contact:		Alt. Drafters:	jarlow	
Subject:	Courts - civil procedure	Extra Copies:		

## Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:** 

Petitioning the supreme court for appellate review

#### **Instructions:**

See Attached

## **Drafting History:**

Vers.	Drafted	<u>Reviewed</u>	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	<u>Required</u>
/?	nelsorp 1 06/10/1999 jarlow 07/21/1999						
/1	nelsorp 1 07/21/1999	chanaman 07/21/1999	mclark 07/22/199	9	lwilliam 07/22/1999		
/2			haugeca <b>07/26/1</b> 99	9	lrb-docadmin 0712611999		
/3	nelsorp 1	j geller	jfrantze		lrb-docadmin	lrb-docadmi	in

LRB-3197

• • • 09/27/1999 04:19:33 PM Page 2

Vers.	<b>Drafted</b>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	<u>Required</u>
	08/12/1999	08/12/1999	08/13/199	9	08/13/1999	<b>09/27/</b> 1999	

FE Sent For:



*LRB-3197* 

# 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

## Bill

Received: 06/	)9/1999	Received By: nelsorpl		
Wanted: As ti	me permits	Identical to LRB:		
For: Mark G	undrum (608) 267-5158	By/Representing: Joleen		
This file may	be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: nelsorpl		
May Contact:		Ah. Drafters: <b>jarlow</b>		
Subject:	Courts - civil procedure	Extra Copies:		

# Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Petitioning the supreme court for appellate review

### Instructions:

See Attached

#### **Drafting History:**

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
Ι?	nelsorp 1 06/10/1999 jarlow 07/21/1999						
/1	nelsorp 1 07/21/1999	chanaman 07/21/1999	mclark 07/22/199	9	lwilliam 07/22/1999		
/2			haugeca <b>07/26/1</b> 99	9	lrb-docadmin 07/26/1999		
/3	nelsorp 1	j geller	jfrantze		lrb-docadmin		



Vers.	<b>Drafted</b>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Reauired
	08/12/1999	08/12/1999	08/13/199	9	08/13/1999		

FE Sent For:

## **1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

## Bill

Received: 06/	)9/1999	Received By: nelsorpl		
Wanted: As ti	me permits	Identical to LRB:		
For: Mark G	undrum (608) 2674158	By/Representing: Joleen		
This file may	be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: nelsorpl		
May Contact:		Alt. Drafters: <b>jarlow</b>		
Subject:	Courts - civil procedure	Extra Copies:		

#### Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

#### **Topic:**

Petitioning the supreme court for appellate review

#### **Instructions:**

See Attached

Drafting	; History:					Please	13 (Kip)
Vers.	<b>Drafted</b>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	nelsorp 1 06/10/1999 jarlow 07/21/1999						
/1	nelsorp 1 07/21/1999	chanaman 07/21/1999	mclark 07/22/1999	)	lwilliam 07/22/1999		
/2		12 \$/2 jg	haugeca 07/26/1999	)	lrb_docadmin 07/26/1999		
		,	8/13	H/mc 8/13			

FE Sent For:

4

*LRB-3197* 

# **1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

## Bill

Received: 06/9/99	Received By: nelsorpl		
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:		
For: Mark Gundrum (608) 267-5158	By/Representing: Joleen		
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: nelsorpl		
May Contact:	Alt. Drafters: <b>jarlow</b>		
Subject: Courts - civil procedure	Extra Copies:		

#### Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Petitioning the supreme court for appellate review

#### **Instructions:**

See Attached

#### **Drafting History:**

Vers.	<b>Drafted</b>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	<u>Submitted</u>	Jacketed	Required
/?	nelsorp 1 06/10/99 jarlow 07/21/99						
/1	nelsorp 1 07/21/99	chanaman 07/21/99 /2-Kmq	mclark 07/22/99 C	CH CV	lwilliam 07122199		
FE Sent F	For:		YV	י <end></end>			



*LRB-3197* 

# **1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

## Bill

Received: 06/	9/99	Received By: nelsorpl		
Wanted: As ti	ne permits	Identical to LRB:		
For: Mark G	undrum (608) 267-5158	By/Representing: Joleen		
This file may	be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: <b>nelsorpl</b>		
May Contact:		Alt. Drafters: jarlow		
Subject:	Courts - civil procedure	Extra Copies:		

# Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

# Topic:

Petitioning the supreme court for appellate review

### **Instructions:**

See Attached

# Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	<u>Reviewed</u>	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	nelsorp 1 06/10/99 jarlow 07/21/99 nelsorp 1	cmm 761 7,	MRC 7/22	<u>MRCJJF</u>			

#### FE Sent For:

5004 State of Misconsin LRB-3197/2 **1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE** RPNJjeh PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTROD AN ACT (...; relating to:) supreme court reles-governing petitions for review. 1 Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau fegueres that This accordingent adds a sub-section to the section governing the rules for petition for review The mondment requires that the supreme court make available to the public a record of justices' votes on acceptances and denials of petitions for review. The amendment would provide the the court key a record of the votes to be made available to members of the public upon request.  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows: SECTION 1. 809.62 (6m) of the statutes is created to read: 2 )809. 62 (6m) The supreme court shall keep a voting record of Justices' decisions on 3 petition for review. The record shall indicate the decision of each judge on each  $\frac{1}{4} \in \frac{1}{2}$  petition that comes before if The record shall be made available to members of the 4 5 6 public upon request. SECTION 2. Initial applicability. 7

(1) This act first applies to petitions submitted on the effective date of this  $\sqrt{2}$ 1 subsection. 2

3

(END)



State of Wisconsin

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

100 NORTH HAMILTON STREET P. O. BOX 2037 MADISON, WI 53701-2037

LEGAL SECTION: (608) 266-3561 LEGAL FAX: (606) 264-6522 REFERENCE SECTION: (606) 266-0341 REFERENCE FAX: (606) 266-5646

June 3, 1999

# MEMORANDUM

To:	Representative Mark Gundrum
From:	Robert Nelson, Senior Legislative Attorney
Subject:	Decisions of supreme court to accept or deny petitions for review.

Enclosed is a copy of the internal operating procedures of the supreme court regarding how a decision is made to accept or deny an appellate review. See page 437. Section 809.62 of the statutes also discusses the procedure for petitioning the supreme court for review. Neither of these require the name of those who vote for or against a petition.

I could draft an amendment to s. 809.62 requiring the supreme court to publish the vote for and against a petition. However, the court may consider that a violation of the separation of powers requirement of the constitution. Please let me know if you would like a bill drafted.

Joleen called. And stats. to require publishing of votes & by Sup. CY. te accept is done petitions for appellate veries

STEPHEN R. MILLER CHIEF



state of Misconsin LRB-3197/1 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE delete 1999 ue 8 a.m. Monday Joing a 2 Caroline Caroline: **AN ACT** to create 809.62 1 blic access to e AUM this through 2 supreme court decisions I Analysis by t This bill requires that the **ublic** a record of the justices' votes on accep iew. The bill requires that a record of the vc e public upon request.

# **The people** of **the state** of **Wisconsin**, **represented in senate and assembly**, **do enact as follows**:

- **SECTION 1.** 809.62 (6m) of the statutes is created to read:
- 4 809.62 (6m) The supreme court shall keep a voting record of the justices'
- 5 decisions on petitions for review. The record shall indicate the decision of each judge
- 6 on each petition that comes before the court. The record shall be made available to
- 7 members of the public upon request.
- 8 SECTION 2. Initial applicability.



AN ACT to create 809.62 (6m) of the statutes; relating to: public. access to

1

2

8

supreme court decisions regarding petitions for review.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill requires that the supreme court make available to the public a record of the justices' votes on acceptances and denials of petitions for review. The bill requires that a record of the votes be made available to members of the public upon request.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

3 **SECTION 1. 809.62** (6m) of the statutes is created to read:

4 809.62 (6m) The supreme court shall keep a voting record of the justices'

- 5 decisions on petitions for review. The record shall indicate the decision of each judge
- 6 on each petition that comes before the court, The record shall be made available to
- 7 members of the public upon request.
  - SECTION 2. Initial applicability.

1999 - 2000 Legislature

- 1 (1) This act first applies to petitions submitted on the effective date of this
- 2 subsection.

BILL

(END)

3



Segen State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

D-Note



**1999 BILL** 



AN ACT to create 809.62 (6m) of the statutes; relating to: public access to

supreme court decisions regarding petitions for review.

#### Insert anal **Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau**

∧ <sup>#</sup>This bill requires that the supreme court make available to the public a record of the justices' votes on acceptances and denials of petitions for review. The bill requires that a record of the votes be made available to members of the public upon request.

#### The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

3 **SECTION 1.** 809.62 (6m) of the statutes is created to read:

4

1

2

809.62 (6m) The supreme court shall keep a voting record of the justices'

decisions on petitions for review. The record shall indicate the decision of each judge 5 For move and petition that comes before the court. The record shall be made available to 6 members of the public upon request. 7

SECTION 2. Initial applicability. 8

in favor of or opposed to the petition

1999 - 2000 Legislature

BILL

-2-

LRB-3197/2 RPN:cmh:ch SECTION 2

(1) This act if stapplies to petitions submitted on the effective date of this
subsection.

3

(END)

D-Note

RI expanded the analysis to explain current law regarding petitions for review.

PN

. .

199943000 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

y .....

LRB-3 197/3ins RPN:cmh:ch

INSERT ANL

**P insert and** Under current law, a party to an action may file a petition with the supreme court asking the court to review an adverse decision of the court of appeals. Review by the supreme court is a matter of court discretion, not of right and is granted under current law only when special and important reasons are presented. Current law includes criteria that the court considers when deciding to grant the petition. Currently, the vote of individual justices to grant or deny a petition is not made public.

### DRAFTER'S NOTE FROMTHE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

August 13, 1999

I expanded the analysis to explain current law regarding petitions for review.

Robert P. Nelson Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 267-75 11