| FISCAL ESTIMATE | | r | | | | 9 Session | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| DOA-2048 N(R10/98) | ☑ ORIGINAL | □ UPD/ | ATED | LRB No. and Bill/ AB 758 | Adm. Rule No. | LRB:4286/2 | |
| | □ CORRECTED | . 🗆 cupr | PLEMENTAL | Amendment No. | if Applicable | LRD 4200/2 | |
| | - CORRECTED | — SUPI | | | | | |
| Subject | -10-46-4 | 04 | | | | | |
| Accreditation ar | id Certification | Standards Re | eview Council | | | | |
| State: | I Effect | | | | | | |
| Check columns below only if bill affects a sum certain appropriati | | _ | Costs - May be ncy's Budget | possible to Absorb] _{Yes} ☑ _{No} | | | |
| ☑ Increase Existing A | Appropriation | Increas | e Existing Revenues | | ncy's budget L | 11es 🗀 140 | |
| ☐ Decrease Existing | • • • | Decrea | se Existing Revenues | ☐ Decreas | e Costs | | |
| ☐ Create New Appropropropropropropropropropropropropro | | - | | | | | |
| 1. Increase Costs | 3. | === | | | | ntal Units Affected: | |
| ☐ Permissive ☐ 2. ☐ Decrease Costs | Mandatory 4. | | issive Mandatory | ☐ Counties | ☐Villages ☐ Others | ☐ Cities | |
| Permissive | Mandatory | | issive Mandatory | | | ☐ WTCS Districts | |
| Fund Sources Affected | | | Affected Ch. 20 Appropria | | | | |
| | ☑ _{PRS} ☑ _{SE} | | 20.115 (7)(s), 20.115 | (8)(km) | | | |
| Assumptions Used in Arrivir | _ | | | | | | |
| The Department of Agri engaged in public health | culture,Trade and | Consumer Pr | otection (DATCP) curr | ently certifies | Wisconsin lab water DATCP | oratories | |
| laboratories that test for | microbiological o | contaminants w | hile the Department of | of Natural Res | sources (DNR) | certifies | |
| laboratories that test for o | | | | | abs to test for | chemical | |
| contaminants, and would | nave DATCP cont | inue to certity is | abs for microbiological c | ontaminants. | | | |
| Certain local health depa | rtments and local | units of govern | ment operate certified | laboratories er | ngaged in the t | esting of | |
| water. Administrative rul Laboratory Accreditation I | es recently adopte | ed by DATCP i | n 1999 were written to | closely mirror | National Enviro | onmental | |
| already certified by DATC | P for microbiologi | requirements. cal analysis will | I need to make minimal | , if any, chang | es in their oper | ations to | |
| become accredited under | NELAP. So, the | bill would have | e no fiscal impact on D | ATCP or local | I government re | elated to | |
| DATCP lab certification f | for microbiological | I testing. How | vever, the bill would ha | ive a significa | nt fiscal impac | t on the | |
| DATCP groundwater lab | es by DNR. The D | program that it DATCP groundw | rater lab is certified to te | st for both che | mical contamin | ants and | |
| testing certification changes by DNR. The DATCP groundwater lab is certified to test for both chemical contaminants and microbiological contaminants. Under this bill, DNR would require specific National Environmental Laboratory Accreditaion Conference (NELAC) chemical testing methods. The NELAC chemical testing methods are different from | | | | | | | |
| Accreditaion Conference the chemical testing meth | (NELAC) chemica | I testing metho | ds. The NELAC chemi | cal testing met | thods are differ ese methods to | ent from | |
| by the DATCP groundwat | er lab for analytes | specified in DN | IR methodology, the DA | TCP groundwa | ater lab cost of | analysis | |
| could increase by approx | imately \$201,200 | annually. The | assumption is that eac | h sample wou | ld require two | separate | |
| testing methods where of specified in the DNR test | nly one testing me | ethod is used o | currently. (The DATCP | testing metho | ds capture all | analytes rabolites | |
| cyanazine metabolite. Ho | wever, the DATC | P lab does not u | use the NELAC testing | methods). The | re would be a | complete | |
| doubling of sample testir | ng for the lab gro | undwater unit. | This increase in workl | oad could res | ult in the need | l for two | |
| additional staff persons, additional costs to DATCF | additional supplie | s and equipme | ent needs, and associa | ited overnead SFG appropria | costs at the intron 7(s) from v | ab. The vhich the | |
| lab recovers its costs th | rough PR-S billin | igs. The addit | ional costs would not | be incurred if | DATCP can | come to | |
| agreement with the DNR | Accreditation prog | ram to allow th | e use of alternative met | hodology for s | amples analyze | ed under | |
| the DATCP Groundwater April 2000. | program, or if the l | DATCP lab with | draws from the current | certification pro | ogram before th | e ena or | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| If DNR requires the NELA | | | | s of govenmer | it, there may be | a fiscal | |
| impact on local governme | nt costs due to the | DINK Changes | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Long-Range Fiscal Implications | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Dete | | |
| Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone Dept of Agriculture, Trade & Const | , , | uthorized Signature | e/Telephone No. | | Date / | 1 | |
| Don Akamatsu (608) 224-4747 | | Barba | 10 Knapo | | 2/22/ | 00 | |
| Terri Wenger (608) 224-4714 | | arbara Knapp | - 00 | | / | | |
| Steve Sobek (608) 267-3500 | l B | aivaia Niiäpp | (000) 224-4140 | | | | |

الوغ

| FISCAL | ESTIMATE WORKSHEET | | 1999 Session | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Detailed E | stimate of Annual Fiscal Effect | ORIGINAL - | UPDATED | LRB No. and BillAdm. Rule No. | Amendment No. | | | | |
| DOA-2047 | 7 (R10/98) | □ CORRECTED □ | SUPPLEMENTAL | LRB-4286/2 | | | | | |
| Subject Accreditation and Certification Standards Review Council | | | | | | | | | |
| I. One-time | Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or | Local Government (do no | ot include in annualize | ed fiscal effect): | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| II. Annualized Costs: | | | | Annualized Fiscal Impact on | Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from: | | | | |
| A. State Costs by Category | | | | Increased Costs | Decreased Costs | | | | |
| ; | State Operations - Salaries and Fri | nges | | \$106,900 | | | | | |
| | (FTE Position Changes) | | | 2.00 | | | | | |
| | State Operations - Other Costs | | \$295,500 | | | | | | |
| | Local Assistance | | | | | | | | |
| | Aids to Individuals and Organizatio | ns | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL State Costs by Catego | ry | | \$402,400 | | | | | |
| B. State | Costs by Source of Funds | | | Increased Costs | Decreased Costs | | | | |
| | GPR | | | | | | | | |
| | FED | | | | | | | | |
| | PRO/PRS | | | \$201,200 | | | | | |
| ; | SEG/SEG-S | | | \$201,200 | | | | | |
| III. State | Revenues Complete this only revenues (e.g., tax | when proposal will increase increase, decrease in licens | Increased Rev. | Decreased Rev. | | | | | |
| | GPR Taxes | | | | | | | | |
| | GPR Earned | | | | | | | | |
| | FED | | | | | | | | |
| | PRO/PRS | | | | | | | | |
| | SEG/SEG-S | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL State Revenues | | | | | | | | |
| NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>STATE</u> | | | | LOCAL | | | | | |
| NET CHA | ANGE IN COSTS | | \$402,400 | - | | | | | |
| NET CHA | ANGE IN REVENUES | | | - | | | | | |
| Dont of | Agricultura Trada & Consumer | Protection | Authorized Signa | ture/Telephone No. | Date | | | | |
| Don Akamatsu (608) 224-4747 | | | ina Trapp | 2/22/00 | | | | | |
| Terri Wenger (608) 224-4714 Steve Sobek 608/267-3500 Barbara Knap | | | p (608) 224-4746 | | | | | | |