					1999 Session		
	•	ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.		
		CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL		AB 775, 99-4536/1		
	. ESTIMATE 18 N(R10/94)				Amendment No. if Applicable		
Subject High Capa	acity Well Approvals						
Fiscal Effe	ct						
State	e: No State Fiscal Effect						
	ck columns below only if bill makes a fects a sum sufficient appropriation.	direct appropriation		Increase Costs - M Within Agency's Bi	May be possible to Absorb Budget ☐ Yes ☑ No		
	Increase Existing Appropriation	☐ Increase Existing	g Revenues				
	Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation	Decrease Existin	g Revenues	Decrease Costs			
Loca	al: No local government costs						
1. 🔽 Inc	rease Costs	3. Increase Rever	iues	5. Types of Local Govern	ment Units Affected:		
\square	Permissive Mandatory	Permissive	Mandatory	☑ Towns	√ Villages √ Cities		
2. De	crease Costs	4. Decrease Reve	nues	Counties	WTCS Districts		
	Permissive Mandatory	Permissive	Mandatory	School Districts	Others		
	ces Affected		Affecto	ed Ch. 20 Appropriations			
	GPR FED PRO	PRS SEG	SEG-S 20.370	0 (4) (ma)	·		
Assumption	ns Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate	9					
SUMMAR	RY OF BILL -						
					f more than 100,000 gallons of water		
					finds that the proposed withdrawal		
	sely affect the water supply of a pu water utility will not be impaired.	ione water utility, DNK ii	iust disapprove the without	irawai or condition its app	brovar so that the water supply of		
-							
	rovides that if the DNR finds that at the withdrawal or condition its a				s in navigable waters, the DNR must		
FISCAL IN	МРАСТ -						
Thia bill w	rill increase costs to the Departm	ant by an actimated \$165	000 in salary and supplie	es related evnenditures as	sociated with 2 80 FTF. This		
	f fiscal impact includes costs associated from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs as a second from the fiscal impact includes costs and the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>-</u>			
2) assisting	g and guiding six high capacity pe	rmit applicantswhose pr	oposed wells were identi	fied as possibly adversely	affecting public rights in navigable		
				he six impact assessment	s prepared by high capacity permit		
applicants;	and 4) long term follow-up review	w of the actual impacts of	the high capacity wells.				
	Fig. 1 Insultantian						
Long-Range	e Fiscal Implications						
	T						
Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phon	e No. Date		
ONR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	I VAL I MA	1608	02/22/2000		

FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/94)

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

<u>Initial Review and Screening</u>: Based on prior years' experience with high capacity well approvals, the Department estimates that there will be approximately 200 high capacity well applications submitted to the DNR per year. In order to implement the requirements of this bill the Department estimates that water supply engineers will have to spend an additional four hours above the existing review time on each of these 200 applications. This additional time will be

200 x 4 hrs/application = 800 hours

800 hours x \$27.521/hour (Env. Engineer Advance) = \$22,017 + \$8,278 (37.60% fringe benefits) + \$2100 supplies and services = \$32,400.

used to review and screen the applications to determine which wells may adversely impact public rights in navigable waters.

Impact Assessment: Following the initial reviews and screening, the Department estimates that approximately six applications per year would be identified as possibly impacting public rights in navigable waters. The estimate of six applications per year is based on the number of wells identified this year--without official screening--as potentially impacting navigable waters (four), plus 50% to account for the additional applications identified through screening. Once this identification has been made, a detailed assessment of the possible impacts of the well would be required. The Department assumes that, based on an estimate given to *Perrier* by the U.S. Geological Survey, this assessment would cost approximately \$70,000 and take about 12 months to complete. The Department assumes that it can require that the cost of the study be borne by the applicant. The Department estimates that the annual cost to applicants for these studies would be \$420,000.

DNR staff would be involved during development of the study by providing assistance and guidelines to the applicant and responding to questions from the applicant, its consultant, and other interested parties. Because technical studies of this type are not common and consultants will likely have many questions, the Department estimates that DNR staff involvement during the study development would require approximately 160 hours of an advanced hydrogeologist's time per study.

160 hours x 6 applications = 960 hours

960 hours x 20.220/hour(Hydrogeologist Advance) = 19,411 + 7,299 (37.60% fringe benefits) + 2500 supplies and services = 29,200.

Note, the Department's estimate of six high capacity well permit applications per year requiring a detailed study assumes that a quantitative standard for screening applications can be developed through DNR administrative rules. That is, the Department assumes that it will be able to set—by administrative rule—a minimum threshold for an adverse effect on public rights in navigable waters. If the Department is not able to do so, the time and cost estimates in this fiscal note will increase considerably.

Review of Impact Assessment: Following completion and submittal of the above study, the Department estimates that approximately 400 hours of DNR staff time would be required to review the study, recommend an action (approval or disapproval) and any required conditions of an approval, and provide the public with an opportunity to comment on the action. This estimated time is based on the amount of time currently spent by Department staff conducting a completeness review of a landfill feasibility study, which is a comparably complex study.

400 hours x 6 applications = 2,400 hours

 $2,400 \text{ hours } \times \$20.220/\text{hour}(\text{Hydrogeologist Advance}) = \$48,528 + \$18,247 (37.60\% \text{ fringe benefits}) + \$6200 \text{ supplies and services} = \$73,000.$

Follow-up Review: Follow-up review is required to assess the actual impacts of the high capacity wells that were identified as possibly impacting the public rights in navigable waters, and that were permitted--or conditionally permitted--by the Department. This follow-up review would involve reviewing monitoring data, reporting on impacts and preparing summary documents for the Legislature and other interested parties. The Department estimates that approximately 500 hours of staff time the first year and 1000 hours per year thereafter would be required for follow-up review of these potentially impacting high capacity wells. This assumes that the impact of a well would stabilize within two years and no longer require follow-up.

 $1,000 \times 20.220$ /hour (Hydrogeologist Advance) = $20.220 + 7,603 \times 37.60$ % fringe benefits) + 2600×30.220 supplies and services = 30,400.

The Department assumes that this bill would increase costs to local government units only if those units were the permit applicant.

FISC	AL ESTIMATE WORKSHE	1999 Session						
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect					LRB or Bill No./Adm. F		Amendment No.	
DOA-2047 (R10/94)				TENTAL	AB 775, 99-4536/1			
	capacity Well Approvals							
l. O	ne-Time Costs or Revenue Impact	s for State and/or L	ocal Governme	nt (do no	ot include in annua	lized fisca	l effect):	
\$14,00	0 for computers and other startup expens	ses.						
II.	Annualized Costs:				Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:			
A. S	tate Costs by Category			Inc	reased Costs	De	creased Costs	
	State Operations - Salaries and F	ringes			\$151,600			
	(FTE Position Changes)				2.80			
	State Operations - Other Costs				\$13,400			
	Local Assistance							
	Aids to Individuals or Organization	ns				<u> </u>		
	TOTAL State Costs by Ca	ategory			\$165,000			
B. Si	tate Costs by Source of Funds			Inci	reased Costs	De	creased Costs	
	GPR				\$165,000			
	FED							
	PRO/PRS							
	SEG/SEG-S						•	
II. St	revenues (e.g., tax incr	en proposal will increase or ease, decrease in license fe		Inc	reased Rev.	De	ecreased Rev.	
	GPR Taxes							
	GPR Earned							
	FED			,				
	PRO/PRS							
	SEG/SEG-S							
	TOTAL State Revenues							
		NET AN	INUALIZED IMP	ACT				
			STATE		LO	CAL		
NET C	HANGE IN COSTS		\$165,000)				
NET C	HANGE IN REVENUES							
gency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Autholized Signat	ure//	a Phor	e No.	Date	
DNR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	UW	1 M	ance (608) 266-2794	02/22/2000	