

State of Wisconsin



1999 Assembly Joint Resolution 12

ENROLLED JOINT RESOLUTION

Relating to: urging the members of the Wisconsin delegation to the U. S. Congress to support proposed federal legislation that would provide revenues to Wisconsin from the development of oil and natural gas resources on the outer continental shelf.

Whereas, each year the federal government receives billions of dollars in revenues from the development of oil and natural gas resources on the outer continental shelf (OCS), a capital asset of this nation; and

Whereas, during the 105th Congress, companion bills were introduced in the U.S. house of representatives (H.R. 4717, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act of 1998) and the U.S. senate (S. 2566, the Reinvestment and Environmental Act of 1998) that would provide additional revenue to Wisconsin for conservation purposes; and

Whereas, Wisconsin is a coastal state within the meaning of that term, as defined in the bills, because it borders Lake Superior and Lake Michigan; and

Whereas, these bills have the potential to provide coastal state impact assistance, benefit land-based recreation, support the state and federal sides of the Land and Water Conservation Fund and fund wildlife conservation and related recreation and education in Wisconsin at levels of at least \$19 million; and

Whereas, since the early 1970's the department of natural resources has, working through the International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (IAFWA), and more recently through the IAFWA-led Teaming With Wildlife Coalition, supported a permanent budget authority mechanism for nongame wildlife modeled after the proven Federal Aid in Wildlife (Pittman-Roberts) and Sport Fish Restoration Program (Dingell-Johnson); and



State of Wisconsin
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1125/en
PJD:...:hmh

1999 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION 12

1 **Relating to:** urging the members of the Wisconsin delegation to the U. S. Congress
2 to support proposed federal legislation that would provide revenues to
3 Wisconsin from the development of oil and natural gas resources on the outer
4 continental shelf.

5 Whereas, each year the federal government receives billions of dollars in
6 revenues from the development of oil and natural gas resources on the outer
7 continental shelf (OCS), a capital asset of this nation; and

8 Whereas, during the 105th Congress, companion bills were introduced in the
9 U.S. house of representatives (H.R. 4717, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act
10 of 1998) and the U.S. senate (S. 2566, the Reinvestment and Environmental Act of
11 1998) that would provide additional revenue to Wisconsin for conservation purposes;
12 and

13 Whereas, Wisconsin is a coastal state within the meaning of that term, as
14 defined in the bills, because it borders Lake Superior and Lake Michigan; and

1 Whereas, these bills have the potential to provide coastal state impact
2 assistance, benefit land-based recreation, support the state and federal sides of the
3 Land and Water Conservation Fund and fund wildlife conservation and related
4 recreation and education in Wisconsin at levels of at least \$19 million; and

5 Whereas, since the early 1970's the department of natural resources has,
6 working through the International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies
7 (IAFWA), and more recently through the IAFWA-led Teaming With Wildlife
8 Coalition, supported a permanent budget authority mechanism for nongame wildlife
9 modeled after the proven Federal Aid in Wildlife (Pittman-Roberts) and Sport Fish
10 Restoration Program (Dingell-Johnson); and

11 Whereas, with year 2000 oil and gas revenue estimates of \$4.59 billion, the
12 department of natural resources could get additional federal revenues equal to or
13 greater than the current combined Pittman-Roberts and Dingell-Johnson income
14 for a comprehensive wildlife program, and especially for nongame fish and wildlife,
15 allowing for the development of proactive, fully responsive conservation education
16 programs such as Watchable Wildlife; and

17 Whereas, both bills were introduced with bipartisan sponsorship and with the
18 support of more than 3,000 conservation organizations and related businesses; now,
19 therefore, be it

20 ***Resolved by the assembly, the senate concurring, That*** the members of the
21 Wisconsin legislature respectfully urge the members of the Wisconsin congressional
22 delegation to support and work to ensure passage of successor legislation that
23 secures similar resources for Wisconsin in the next Congress; and, be it further

BILL HISTORY FOR ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION 12 (LRB -1125)

Relating to: urging the members of the Wisconsin delegation to the U. S. Congress to support proposed federal legislation that would provide revenues to Wisconsin from the development of oil and natural gas resources on the outer continental shelf.

1999

01-21.	A.	Introduced by Representatives Johnsrud, Jensen, Musser, Hasenohrl, J. Lehman, Sykora, Porter, Spillner, Albers, Goetsch, Vrakas, Ward and Montgomery ; cosponsored by Senators Clausing, Schultz, Burke, Breske, Cowles and Decker .	
01-21.	A.	Read and referred to committee on Rules	34
01-21.	A.	Placed on calendar 1-26-99 by committee on Rules.	
01-26.	A.	Adopted	40
01-26.	A.	Ordered immediately messaged	40
01-27.	S.	Received from Assembly	26
01-27.	S.	Read and referred to committee on Agriculture, Environmental Resources and Campaign Finance Reform	27
02-10.	S.	Public hearing held.	
02-10.	S.	Executive action taken.	
02-16.	S.	Report introduction and adoption of Senate amendment 1 recommended by committee on Agriculture, Environmental Resources and Campaign Finance Reform, Ayes 5, Noes 0 (LRB a0069)	57
02-16.	S.	Report concurrence as amended recommended by committee on Agriculture, Environmental Resources and Campaign Finance Reform, Ayes 5, Noes 0	57
02-16.	S.	Available for scheduling.	
02-16.	S.	Senate amendment 1 adopted	63
02-16.	S.	Concurred in as amended	63
02-16.	A.	Received from Senate amended and concurred in as amended (Senate amendment 1 adopted)	70
02-16.	A.	Referred to committee on Rules	70
02-16.	S.	Ordered immediately messaged	63
02-17.	A.	Rules suspended to withdraw from committee on Rules and take up	76
02-17.	A.	Senate amendment 1 concurred in	77
02-17.	A.	Action ordered immediately messaged	77

1999

Joint Resolution Passed by Both Houses

ENROLL JOINT RES

99en A JR 12

ADOPTED DOCUMENTS:

Orig SubAmdt

99 - 1125, 2

Amendments to above (if none, write "NONE"): SAI

Corrections - show date (if none, write "NONE"): none

Topic: Wisc Provide revenues to Wisconsin

for development of oil and gas resources on the outer continental shelf

2/18/99
Date

[Signature]
Drafter

ELECTRONIC PROCEDURE:

Follow automatic or manual enrolling procedures in *TEXT2000 Reference Guide, Document Specific Procedures, Ch. 20, Engrossing and Enrolling*

Make 24 copies of ENROLLED JOINT RESOLUTION and distribute copies.

DISTRIBUTION:

LRB:

- Drafting file 1 copy
- Legal section editors 1 copy each
- WPO file 1 copy
- Index librarian 1 copy

REVISOR OF STATUTES:

- 1 copy

HOUSE OF ORGIN:

- Secretary of State's envelope containing 4 copies plus newspaper notice
- Original and all remaining copies plus bill jacket

1999 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION 12

January 21, 1999 - Introduced by Representatives JOHNSRUD, JENSEN, MUSSER, HASENOHRL, J. LEHMAN, SYKORA, PORTER, SPILLNER, ALBERS, GOETSCH, VRAKAS, WARD and MONTGOMERY, cosponsored by Senators CLAUSING, SCHULTZ, BURKE, BRESKE, COWLES and DECKER. Referred to Committee on Rules.

during the 105th Congress

1 **Relating to:** urging the members of the Wisconsin delegation to the U. S. Congress
2 to support proposed federal legislation that would provide revenues to
3 Wisconsin from the development of oil and natural gas resources on the outer
4 continental shelf.

1-10

5 Whereas, each year the federal government receives billions of dollars in
6 revenues from the development of oil and natural gas resources on the outer
7 continental shelf (OCS), a capital asset of this nation; and

8 Whereas, on ~~October 7, 1998~~ *10/7/99*, companion bills were introduced in the U.S. house
9 of representatives (H.R. 4717, the Conservation and Reinvestment Act of 1998) and
10 the U.S. senate (S. 2566, the Reinvestment and Environmental Act of ~~1998~~ *1999*); and

11 Whereas, Wisconsin is a coastal state within the meaning of that term, as
12 defined in the bills, because it borders Lake Superior and Lake Michigan; and

13 ~~Whereas, in their Title I Coastal Impact Assistance sections both bills dedicate~~
14 ~~27% or \$1.24 billion of annual OCS revenues toward impact assistance that benefits~~

1 fish and wildlife, and this would amount to \$6.8 million for Wisconsin from either bill,
2 and

3 Whereas, in their Title II Land-based Recreation sections both bills dedicate
4 additional percentages of OCS revenues that would benefit land-based recreation
5 as well as the state and federal sides of the Land and Water Conservation Fund for
6 Wisconsin in the amount of \$6.2 million (S. 2566) and \$6.9 million (H.R. 4717); and

7 Whereas, in their Title III Wildlife Conservation and Restoration sections both
8 bills dedicate amounts (\$6.3 million or 10% of OCS revenues in H.R. 4717 and \$9
9 million or 7% of OCS revenues in S. 2566) that would be used to fund wildlife
10 conservation and related recreation and education in Wisconsin; and

2-10
11 Whereas, since the early 1970's the department of natural resources has,
12 working through the International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies
13 (IAFWA), and more recently through the IAFWA-led Teaming With Wildlife
14 Coalition, supported a permanent budget authority mechanism for nongame wildlife
15 modeled after the proven Federal Aid in Wildlife (Pittman-Roberts) and Sport Fish
16 Restoration Program (Dingell-Johnson); and

17 Whereas, with year 2000 oil and gas revenue estimates of \$4.59 billion, the
18 department of natural resources could get additional federal revenues equal to or
19 greater than the current combined Pittman-Roberts and Dingell-Johnson income
20 for a comprehensive wildlife program, and especially for nongame fish and wildlife,
21 allowing for the development of proactive, fully responsive conservation education
22 programs such as Watchable Wildlife; and

23 Whereas, both bills were introduced with bipartisan sponsorship and with the
24 support of more than 3,000 conservation organizations and related businesses; now,
25 therefore, be it

**SENATE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION 12**

February 16, 1999 – Offered by COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, ENVIRONMENTAL
RESOURCES AND CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM.

1 At the locations indicated, amend the joint resolution as follows:

2 **1.** Page 1, line 8: delete “on October 7, 1998” and substitute “during the 105th
3 Congress”.

4 **2.** Page 1, line 10: delete “1998)” and substitute “1998) that would provide
5 additional revenue to Wisconsin for conservation purposes”.

6 **3.** Page 1, line 13: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with
7 on page 2, line 10, and substitute:

8 “Whereas, these bills have the potential to provide coastal state impact
9 assistance, benefit land-based recreation, support the state and federal sides of the
10 Land and Water Conservation Fund and fund wildlife conservation and related
11 recreation and education in Wisconsin at levels of at least \$19 million; and”

12 **4.** Page 3, line 3: delete the material beginning with “to H.R.” and ending with
13 “Congress” on line 4.

