

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Joint Resolution**

Received: 11/19/98

Received By: **dykmapj**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Tony Staskunas (608) 266-0620**

By/Representing: **Mike TeRonde**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **dykmapj**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Memorials - Congress to**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Social Security to be based on dual-income families

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	dykmapj 11/30/98	gilfokm 12/8/98	martykr 12/8/98	_____	lrb_docadmin 12/8/98	lrb_docadmin 03/2/99	

FE Sent For:

<END>

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Joint Resolution**

Received: 11/19/98

Received By: **dykmapj**

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: **Tony Staskunas (608) 266-0620**

By/Representing: **Mike TeRonde**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **dykmapj**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Memorials - Congress to**

Extra Copies:

**Topic:**

Social Security to be based on dual-income families

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	dykmapj 11/30/98	gilfokm 12/8/98	martykr 12/8/98	_____	lrh_docadmin 12/8/98		

FE Sent For:

<END>

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Joint Resolution**

Received: 11/19/98

Received By: dykmapj

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Tony Staskunas (608) 266-0620

By/Representing: Mike TeRonde

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: dykmapj

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Memorials - Congress to

Extra Copies: *Steve*

**Topic:**

Social Security to be based on dual-income families

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	dykmapj	1-12/8 King	<i>Km 12/8</i>	<i>JF 12/8</i>			

FE Sent For:

<END>

**Miller, Steve**

---

**From:** TeRonde, Mike  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 11, 1998 11:37 AM  
**To:** Miller, Steve  
**Subject:** Social Security Joint Resolution

Rep. Staskunas would like to have this joint resolution drafted. He would appreciate it if you would assign it to the appropriate staff attorney for drafting. If there are any questions, please call me at 6-0620. Mike Te Ronde, Rep. Staskunas' office.



socsec.doc

Whereas, Social Security is an important source of income for many senior citizens, and

Whereas, Current federal law results in two income families cumulatively paying more in Social Security taxes than one income families earning the same amount of money, and further results in a smaller benefit to the surviving spouse; and

Whereas, it is clear that these inequities result from basing Social Security on a model of one breadwinner per family, which in many cases no longer reflects reality; and

Whereas, many current and future Social Security recipients – particularly women – are and will continue to be hurt by this policy if it is not changed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the assembly, the senate concurring, That the members of the Wisconsin Legislature urge the Congress of the United States to correct the dual income inequity without reducing the benefits of any current or future Social Security recipients.

*King*

# 1999 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION

*soon*

1 **Relating to:** memorializing Congress to correct the dual-income inequity without  
2 reducing the benefits of any current or future Social Security recipients.

3 Whereas, Social Security is an important source of income for many senior  
4 citizens and

5 Whereas, current federal law results in two-income families cumulatively  
6 paying more in Social Security taxes than one-income families earning the same  
7 amount of money, and further results in a smaller benefit to the surviving spouse;  
8 and

9 Whereas, it is clear that these inequities result from basing Social Security on  
10 a model of one breadwinner per family, which in many cases no longer reflects  
11 reality; and

12 Whereas, many current and future Social Security recipients particularly  
13 women are and will continue to be hurt by this policy if it is not changed; now,  
14 therefore, be it

A — A

A — A

1 **Resolved by the assembly, the senate concurring, That** the members of the

2 Wisconsin Legislature urge the Congress of the United States to correct the dual

3 income inequity without reducing the benefits of any current or future Social

4 Security recipients; and, *be it further*

5 ~~Be it further~~ **Resolved, That** the assembly chief clerk shall provide a copy of

6 this joint resolution to the president and secretary of the senate of the United States,

7 to the speaker and clerk of the house of representatives of the United States, and to

8 each member of the congressional delegation from this state attesting the adoption

9 of this joint resolution by the 1999 legislature of the state of Wisconsin.

10 (END)

**SUBMITTAL  
FORM**

**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU  
Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561  
5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street**

The attached draft is submitted for your inspection. Please check each part carefully, proofread each word, and sign on the appropriate line(s) below.

**Date:** 12/8/98

**To:** Representative Staskunas

**Relating to LRB drafting number:** LRB-0949

**Topic**

Social Security to be based on dual-income families

**Subject(s)**

Memorials - Congress to

1. **JACKET** the draft for introduction

in the **Senate** \_\_\_\_\_ or the **Assembly**  (check only one). Only the requester under whose name the drafting request is entered in the LRB's drafting records may authorize the draft to be submitted. Please allow one day for the preparation of the required copies.

2. **REDRAFT.** See the changes indicated or attached \_\_\_\_\_.

A revised draft will be submitted for your approval with changes incorporated.

3. Obtain **FISCAL ESTIMATE NOW**, prior to introduction \_\_\_\_\_.

If the analysis indicates that a fiscal estimate is required because the proposal makes an appropriation or increases or decreases existing appropriations or state or general local government fiscal liability or revenues, you have the option to request the fiscal estimate prior to introduction. If you choose to introduce the proposal without the fiscal estimate, the fiscal estimate will be requested automatically upon introduction. It takes about 10 days to obtain a fiscal estimate. Requesting the fiscal estimate prior to introduction retains your flexibility for possible redrafting of the proposal.

If you have any questions regarding the above procedures, please call 266-3561. If you have any questions relating to the attached draft, please feel free to call me.

Attorney Peter J. Dykman, Deputy Chief  
Telephone: (608) 266-7098