## 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

## **Assembly Joint Resolution**

Received: 01/27/2000  Wanted: Soon  For: Jeff Stone (608) 266-8590				Received By: dykmapj  Identical to LRB: 99-4405/1  By/Representing: Mike Price											
								This file may be shown to any legislator: NO				Drafter: dykmapj			
								May Contact:					Alt. Drafters:		
Subject: Memorials - Congress to			Extra Copies:												
Pre Topi	lc:														
No specif	lic pre topic gi	ven													
Topic:	-														
Moratorii	um on taxation	of electronic co	ommerce												
Instructi	ions:														
See Attac	hed														
——————————————————————————————————————	History:						****								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required								
/1	dykmapj 01/27/2000	jgeller 01/27/2000	hhagen 01/27/200	00	1rb_docadmin 01/28/2000	lrb_docadm 01/28/2000	nin								

FE Sent For:

<END>

FE Sent For:

## 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

# **Assembly Joint Resolution**

Received: 01/27/2000  Wanted: Soon  For: Jeff Stone (608) 266-8590  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO				Received By: dykmapj  Identical to LRB: 99-4405/1				
				Drafter: dykmapj				
				May Con	tact:		· .	
Subject: Memorials - Congress to			Extra Copies:					
Pre Top	ic:							
No specific pre topic given								
Topic:								
Moratori	um on taxation	of electronic c	ommerce					
Instruct	ions:							
See Attac	hed							
——————————————————————————————————————	History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/1	dykmapj 01/27/2000	jgeller 01/27/2000	hhagen 01/27/200	00	lrb_docadmin 01/28/2000			

<END>

#### 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

#### **Assembly Joint Resolution**

Received:	01/27/2000	
Received.	U 1 / Z / / ZUUU	

Wanted: Soon

For: Jeff Stone (608) 266-8590

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

May Contact:

Subject:

**Memorials - Congress to** 

Received By: dykmapj

Identical to LRB: 99-4405/1

By/Representing: Mike Price

Drafter: dykmapj

Alt. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Moratorium on taxation of electronic commerce

**Instructions:** 

See Attached

**Drafting History:** 

Vers.

/?

**Drafted** 

dykmapj

Submitted

**Jacketed** 

Required

FE Sent For:

<END>



# State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-4440/1 PJD lgjijs



# 1999 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION

1	<b>Relating to:</b> urging a study of whether electronic commerce should be taxed and the
2	extension of the federal moratorium on the taxation.
3	Whereas, America's current unprecedented economic expansion is being
4	driven, in large part, by the explosive growth of Internet companies and electronic
5	commerce; and
6	Whereas, the robust development of electronic commerce has attracted the
7	attention of government officials committed to establishing tax authority over
8	Internet transactions; and
9	Whereas, in 1998 Congress, in a move to protect the further development of this
10	emerging technology and marketplace, instituted a 3-year moratorium on Internet
11	taxation; and
12	Whereas, as the moratorium draws to a close, state and local officials continue
13	to push for taxation authority on the grounds that federal restriction constitutes a
14	violation of states' rights, and

1	Whereas, arguments for taxing electronic commerce ignore legal precedents
2	based firmly in the U.S. Constitution and, according to rulings by the U.S. Supreme
3	Court, attempts to impose state and local taxes on out-of-state Internet companies
4	may represent a violation of the Commerce Clause; and
5	Whereas, electronic commerce is considered an engine for future economic
6	prosperity; and
7	Whereas, electronic commerce provides entrepreneurs and small businesses
8	the ability to expand their markets and reach out to customers across the globe; and
9	Whereas, current tax policy could subject electronic commerce transactions to
10	multiple taxation from multiple jurisdictions; and
11	Whereas, the U.S. Supreme Court has consistently ruled that the U.S.
12	Constitution places strict limits on the ability of state and local governments to
13	impose tax burdens on interstate commerce; and
14	Whereas, efforts by state and local governments to apply existing tax policy to
15	electronic commerce would violate constitutional limits on their taxing authority;
16	and
17	Whereas, absent these constitutional limitations, the ability of entrepreneurs
18	and small businesses to compete in the global marketplace would be severely limited;
19	and
20	Whereas, the vast majority of electronic commerce transactions would be
21	exempt under traditional existing sales tax policy, such as transactions for services
22	or business-to-business transactions; and
23	Whereas, state and local governments are currently experiencing a period of
24	strong revenue growth and record budget surpluses, and

1	Whereas, businesses operating in the global electronic marketplace are
2	currently subject to a number of other state and local taxes; and
3	Whereas, independent studies have concluded that the current revenue loss to
4	state governments from the nontaxation of the Internet is less than one-half of $1\%$ ;
5	and
6	Whereas, the average working American family already faces the highest tax
7	burden in our nation's history, paying close to 40% of its income in local, state and
8	federal taxes; and
9	Whereas, the current federal moratorium on Internet taxation has laid the
10	foundation for the explosive and revolutionary growth of a vital sector of the
11	economy; and
12	Whereas, the current federal moratorium on Internet taxation will expire in
13	2001; and
14	Whereas, Congress has empaneled the Advisory Commission on Electronic
15	Commerce to study all aspects of electronic commerce and the Internet; now,
16	therefore, be it
17	Resolved by the assembly, the senate concurring, That the current federal
18	moratorium on Internet taxation should be extended to allow a thorough
19	examination of all aspects of electronic commerce; and, be it further
20	Resolved, That the members of the senate and assembly believe the Advisory
21	Commission on Electronic Commerce should examine the question of "whether" the
22	Internet should be taxed, and not just "how" to tax the Internet; and, be it further
23	Resolved, That members of the senate and assembly believe that unless there
24	is a fundamental reform of existing tax policy within the constitutional limitations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

placed on state and local governments' taxing authority, the federal moratorium on Internet taxation should be extended indefinitely; and, be it further

Resolved, That all state governments refrain from taxing electronic commerce and allow it to continue to grow in an unfettered environment; and, be it further

Resolved, That the assembly chief clerk shall provide a copy of this joint resolution to the president and secretary of the U.S. senate, to the speaker and clerk of the U.S. house of representatives, to each member of the congressional delegation from this state, to the chief clerk of each state legislative body in this country and to governor of each state attesting the adoption of this joint resolution by the 1999 legislature of the state of Wisconsin.

(END)

## SUBMITTAL FORM

# LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street

The attached draft is submitted for your inspection. Please check each part carefully, proofread each word, and sign on the appropriate line(s) below.

To: Representative Stone Date: 01/28/2000 Relating to LRB drafting number: LRB-4440 Topic Moratorium on taxation of electronic commerce Subject(s) Memorials - Congress to 1. JACKET the draft for introduction in the Senate \_\_\_\_ or the Assembly X (check only one). Only the requester under whose name the drafting request is entered in the LRB's drafting records may authorize the draft to be submitted. Please allow one day for the preparation of the required copies. 2. **REDRAFT.** See the changes indicated or attached A revised draft will be submitted for your approval with changes incorporated. 3. Obtain FISCAL ESTIMATE NOW, prior to introduction If the analysis indicates that a fiscal estimate is required because the proposal makes an appropriation or increases or decreases existing appropriations or state or general local government fiscal liability or revenues, you have the option to request the fiscal estimate prior to introduction. If you choose to introduce the proposal without the fiscal estimate, the fiscal estimate will be requested automatically upon introduction. It takes about 10 days to obtain a fiscal estimate. Requesting the fiscal estimate prior to introduction retains your flexibility for possible redrafting of the proposal. If you have any questions regarding the above procedures, please call 266-3561. If you have any questions relating to the attached draft, please feel free to call me.

Attorney Peter J. Dykman, General Counsel Telephone: (608) 266-7098