1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/30/98					Received By: shoveme			
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB: 99-0868			
For: Brian Burke (608) 266-8535 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					By/Representing: Barry Drafter: shoveme			
Subject:	Tax - p	property			Extra Copies:			
Topic:								
Property	tax exemptio	n for wetlands						
Instruct		: 1997 SB 519 (LRB -5139/1	1).				
Drafting	History:							
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	shoveme 01/4/99	jgeller 01/4/99					S&L Tax	
/1			hhagen 01/5/99		lrb_docadmin 01/5/99	lrb_docadn 01/11/99	nin	
FE Sent I	For: 2/24/9	9		<end></end>				

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

T	•	1
к	1	п
v	Д.	u

	Received: 12/30/98							
ermits	Identical to LRB: 99-0868 By/Representing: Barry							
(608) 266-8535								
own to any legisla	Drafter: shoveme							
May Contact:			Alt. Drafters:	jkreye				
Subject: Tax - property				Extra Copies:				
tion for wetlands								
raft 1997 SB 519 (LRB -5139/1	1).						
				· · · · ·				
Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required			
igeller 01/4/99					S&L Tax			
	hhagen 01/5/99		lrb_docadmin 01/5/99					
	(608) 266-8535 own to any legisla the property tion for wetlands raft 1997 SB 519 (Reviewed geller	cown to any legislator: NO reproperty raft 1997 SB 519 (LRB -5139/1 Reviewed Typed rigeller 01/4/99 hhagen	cown to any legislator: NO a-property ction for wetlands raft 1997 SB 519 (LRB -5139/1). Reviewed Typed Proofed a-jgeller 01/4/99 hhagen	By/Representing Own to any legislator: NO Drafter: shovement Alt. Drafters: Extra Copies: Attion for wetlands Reviewed Typed Proofed Submitted igeller 01/4/99 hhagen lrb_docadmin	By/Representing: Barry own to any legislator: NO Drafter: shoveme Alt. Drafters: jkreye Extra Copies: tion for wetlands raft 1997 SB 519 (LRB -5139/1). Reviewed Typed Proofed Submitted Jacketed igeller 01/4/99 hhagen hrb_docadmin			

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/30/98

Received By: shoveme

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB: 99-0868

For: Brian Burke (608) 266-8535

By/Representing: Barry

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: shoveme

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

jkreye

Subject:

Tax - property

Extra Copies:

Topic:

Property tax exemption for wetlands

Instructions:

See Attached. Redraft 1997 SB 519 (LRB -5139/1).

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

shoveme

FE Sent For:

<END>

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

Legal Section, 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton St. (608) 266-3561

BILL REQUEST FORM



Use of this form is optional. It is often helpful to talk directly with the LRB attorney who will draft the bill. Use this form only for **BILL** drafts. Attach more pages if necessary.

Date of request: 12 - 28 - 98 Legislator or agency requesting this draft: 55N ATOR BUPKE
Name/phone number of person submitting request: BARRY, 6-8535
Persons to contact for questions about this draft (names and phone numbers please): ### ### ############################
Describe the problem, including any helpful examples. How do you want to solve the problem?
Please radiaft 1997 5B 519, LAB 5139/1 a hish is attached.
If you know of any statute sections that might be
affected, please list them or provide a marked (not re-typed) copy.
Please attach a copy of any correspondence or material that may help us. You may also attach a marked (not re-typed) copy of any LRB draft, or provide its number (e.g., 1997 LRB-2345/1 or 1995 AB-67):
Requests are confidential unless stated otherwise. May we tell others that we are working on this for you? YES NO If yes, anyone who asks? YES NO Any legislator? YES NO ONLY the following persons:
Do you consider this urgent? YES NO If yes, please indicate why:
Is this request of higher priority than other pending request(s) you have made? YES D NO If yes, please sign your name here:



2

3

State of Misconsin 1997 - 1998 LEGISLATURE

LRB-5139/1 JS:jlg:km

1997 SENATE BILL 519

March 19, 1998 – Introduced by Senator Burke, cosponsored by Representative Bock. Referred to Joint survey committee on Tax Exemptions.

1 AN ACT to create 70.11 (39) and 74.485 of the statutes; relating to: creating a

property tax exemption for wetlands.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill creates a property tax exemption for lands zoned as wetlands by a county and not used for agricultural purposes. If that land becomes taxable, except as a result of remapping by the department of natural resources, the owner is required to pay the equivalent of the property taxes that were saved on the lands, based on an indexing of the most recent assessment before the wetlands became exempt, plus interest at the rate of 6% per year for each year's taxes.

This bill will be referred to the joint survey committee on tax exemptions for a detailed analysis, which will be printed as an appendix to the bill.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- **SECTION 1.** 70.11 (39) of the statutes is created to read:
- 4 70.11 (39) Wetlands, as defined in s. 23.32 (1), that are subject to
- an ordinance under s. 59.692 (1m) and that are not used for agricultural purposes,
- 6 as defined in s. 23.33 (1) (ag).

SENATE BILL 519

7

1	January 10, the municipality shall notify the department of revenue if a payment is
2	required under sub. (1).
3	(4) Any amount due under sub. (1) that is not timely paid is subject to interest
4	at the rate of 0.5% per month or part of a month.
5	Section 3. Effective date.
6	(1) This act takes effect on the January 1 after publication.

(END)



LEGAL SECTION:

State of Misconsin

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

REFERENCE SECTION: FAX:

100 NORTH HAMILTON STREET P. O. BOX 2037 MADISON, WI 53701-2037

ATTY. PETER J. DYKMAN ACTING CHIEF

March 30, 1998

MEMORANDUM

To:

Senator Brian Burke

From:

Jack Stark, Assistant Chief Counsel, (608) 266–7291

Subject:

Technical Memorandum to 1997 SB 519 (LRB 97–5139/1)

We received the attached technical memorandum relating to your bill. This copy is for your information and your file. If you wish to discuss this memorandum or the necessity of revising your bill or preparing an amendment, please contact me.

Work John John for the formation of the

MEMORANDUM

March 27, 1998

TO:

Jack Stark

Legislative Reference Bureau

FROM:

Yeang-Eng Braun 468

Department of Revenue

SUBJECT:

Technical Memorandum on SB 519 - Property Tax Exemption for Lands Zoned

as Wetlands

We have a number of technical and administrative comments regarding the bill:

- 1. Assuming the January 1 on page 2, line 10 is the January 1 before the effective date of the Act, this date is the base for the penalty calculation. However, some wetlands may become eligible after the effective date. For such property, the base for calculating the penalty would be the January 1 before the effective date, rather than the January 1 before the property became exempt. Is this the intent of the author?
- 2. Under proposed s. 74.485(2), penalties collected on land which loses its exemption are distributed to other taxing jurisdictions as part of the next tax settlement. We presume this to mean that each taxing jurisdiction's share of the penalty is equal to the jurisdiction's share of that year's settlement and that the shares of the penalty do not need to be calculated for each year the penalty is calculated.
- 3. Given that the exemption applies only to wetlands in areas under shoreland zoning of unincorporated areas, we are not sure that the reference to settlement "under subch. IX"—i.e. settlement in first class cities—is necessary (page 2, line 24).

If you have questions regarding this technical memorandum, please contact Rebecca Boldt at 266-6785.

YEB:RAB:dls t:\fsn97-98\sb519.tec.doc

			198	7 Session
			LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.	
•			SB 519 (-513	9)
FISCAL ESTIMATE	⊠ ORIGINAL	UPDATED	Amendment No. if Applicable	
DOA-2048 N(R10/94)	☐ CORRECTED	☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	·	
Subject Property Tax Exemption	for Wetlands			
Fiscal Effect				<u> </u>
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill r appropriation	makes a direct appropriatio	n or affects a sum sufficient	Increase Costs - May be if Within Agency's Budget	
Increase Existing Appropriation	Increase Existing Reve	nues	within Agency's budget	ZJ TES LJ NO
Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation	Decrease Existing Rev	enues		
Cieate (sea Obbiobiation)			Decrease Costs	
Local: No Local Government Costs	see text	of fiscal note	1	
1. A Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory	3. Increase R		5. Types of Local Government	al Units Affected:
-		,	☐ Towns ☐ Villages	
2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory	4. Decrease I	_	Counties U Others	
			School Districts WI	CS Districts
GPR FED PRO PRS Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estudies Under the bill, wetlands in areas	stimate subject to an ordin	ance under s. 59.692	(1m) (county zoning of s	horelands in
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal E	stimate subject to an ordine ch are not used for a subject to a charge en taxable plus inter Natural Resources f which 25% to 30% would be exempt from enue estimates, the acre was \$2.84, of wately \$2.805 million ion acres).	agricultural purposes, back penalty equal to est for all years the compared to	are exempt from property the property taxes that urrent owner owned the proximately 4 million acredinance under s. 59.692(der the bill. Acre of swamp and wasted the forestry tax. It is estimom owners of wetlands the property tax.	ty taxes. Prowould have be property. s of wetlands 1m). It is asset in 1996 was nated that the
Under the bill, wetlands in areas unincorporated areas), and which that becomes taxable would be allevied on the property had it bee According to the Department of private ownership in the state, of that 1 million acres of wetlands where the According to Department of Rev \$176, and the average tax per all would result in a shift of approximation owners (\$2.805 per acre x 1 millions.)	stimate subject to an ordine ch are not used for a subject to a charge en taxable plus inter Natural Resources f which 25% to 30% would be exempt from enue estimates, the acre was \$2.84, of wately \$2.805 million ion acres).	agricultural purposes, back penalty equal to rest for all years the company of the subject to an order property taxes under average value per a which \$.035 is the state on in property taxes from the subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property ta	are exempt from property taxes that the property taxes that the urrent owner owned the proximately 4 million acredinance under s. 59.692 (der the bill. Acre of swamp and wasted the forestry tax. It is estimom owners of wetlands the company of th	ty taxes. Prowould have be property. s of wetlands 1m). It is asset in 1996 was nated that the
Under the bill, wetlands in areas unincorporated areas), and which that becomes taxable would be allevied on the property had it bee According to the Department of private ownership in the state, of that 1 million acres of wetlands where the According to Department of Rev \$176, and the average tax per all would result in a shift of approximation owners (\$2.805 per acre x 1 millions.)	stimate subject to an ordine ch are not used for a subject to a charge en taxable plus inter Natural Resources f which 25% to 30% would be exempt from enue estimates, the acre was \$2.84, of wately \$2.805 million ion acres).	agricultural purposes, back penalty equal to rest for all years the company of the subject to an order property taxes under average value per a which \$.035 is the state on in property taxes from the subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property ta	are exempt from property the property taxes that urrent owner owned the proximately 4 million acredinance under s. 59.692(der the bill. Acre of swamp and wasted the forestry tax. It is estimom owners of wetlands the property tax.	ty taxes. Prowould have be property. s of wetlands 1m). It is asset in 1996 was nated that the
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Education Under the bill, wetlands in areas unincorporated areas), and which that becomes taxable would be allevied on the property had it been according to the Department of private ownership in the state, of that 1 million acres of wetlands we according to Department of Rev \$176, and the average tax per allevield would result in a shift of approximation owners (\$2.805 per acre x 1 millions SEG revenues from the state for	stimate subject to an ordine ch are not used for a subject to a charge en taxable plus inter Natural Resources f which 25% to 30% would be exempt from enue estimates, the acre was \$2.84, of wately \$2.805 million ion acres).	agricultural purposes, back penalty equal to rest for all years the company of the subject to an order property taxes under average value per a which \$.035 is the state on in property taxes from the subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property ta	are exempt from property taxes that the property taxes that the urrent owner owned the proximately 4 million acredinance under s. 59.692 (der the bill. Acre of swamp and wasted the forestry tax. It is estimom owners of wetlands the company of th	ty taxes. Prowould have be property. s of wetlands 1m). It is asset in 1996 was nated that the
Under the bill, wetlands in areas unincorporated areas), and which that becomes taxable would be allevied on the property had it bee According to the Department of private ownership in the state, of that 1 million acres of wetlands where According to Department of Rev \$176, and the average tax per allevied result in a shift of approximation owners (\$2.805 per acre x 1 millions SEG revenues from the state for Long-Range Fiscal Implications	stimate subject to an ording the are not used for a subject to a charge on taxable plus inter Natural Resources f which 25% to 30% would be exempt from the exempt from the exempt from the exempt \$2.84, of which acres \$2.84, of which acres. The exempt from the exempt \$2.805 million acres. The exempt from the exempt from the exempt \$2.805 million acres.	agricultural purposes, back penalty equal to rest for all years the company of the subject to an order property taxes under average value per a which \$.035 is the state on in property taxes from the subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes are subject to a property taxes and the subject to a property taxes are subject to a property ta	are exempt from property taxes that the property taxes that the urrent owner owned the proximately 4 million acredinance under s. 59.692 (der the bill. Acre of swamp and wasted the forestry tax. It is estimom owners of wetlands the company of th	ty taxes. Prowould have be property. s of wetlands 1m). It is asset in 1996 was nated that the
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Establishment Under the bill, wetlands in areas unincorporated areas), and which that becomes taxable would be slevied on the property had it been according to the Department of private ownership in the state, of that 1 million acres of wetlands where the state is a summary of the state of	stimate subject to an ordinate are not used for a subject to a charge on taxable plus inter Natural Resources f which 25% to 30% would be exempt from the exempt from the exempt from the exempt \$2.84, of which acre was \$2.84, of which acres. The exempt from the exempt	agricultural purposes, back penalty equal to rest for all years the control (DNR), there are apply are subject to an order property taxes under average value per a which \$.035 is the state on in property taxes from the crease by \$35,000 (\$	are exempt from proper of the property taxes that urrent owner owned the proximately 4 million acredinance under s. 59.692 (der the bill. Acre of swamp and wasted the forestry tax. It is estimated on owners of wetlands the control of the control	by taxes. Prowould have by property. s of wetlands 1m). It is asset in 1996 was nated that the oother property.
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estander the bill, wetlands in areas unincorporated areas), and which hat becomes taxable would be sevied on the property had it bee According to the Department of private ownership in the state, or that 1 million acres of wetlands where the According to Department of Revistrate, and the average tax per awould result in a shift of approximation of the SEG revenues from the state for Long-Range Fiscal Implications	stimate subject to an ording the are not used for a subject to a charge on taxable plus inter Natural Resources f which 25% to 30% would be exempt from the exempt from the exempt from the exempt \$2.84, of which acres \$2.84, of which acres. The exempt from the exempt \$2.805 million acres. The exempt from the exempt from the exempt \$2.805 million acres.	agricultural purposes, back penalty equal to rest for all years the control (DNR), there are apply are subject to an order property taxes under average value per a which \$.035 is the state on in property taxes from the property taxes from the control (control (con	are exempt from proper of the property taxes that urrent owner owned the proximately 4 million acredinance under s. 59.692 (der the bill. Acre of swamp and wasted the forestry tax. It is estimated on owners of wetlands the control of the control	ty taxes. Prowould have property. s of wetland 1m). It is as in 1996 was attended that the oother prop

1997 Session

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect	☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED ☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL		LRB OR Bill No.VAd	m. Rule No.	Amendment No.
DOA-2047(R10/94)	☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEM	ENTAL	SB 519		
Subject					
Property Tax Exemption for Wet	lands	·····			
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for Sta	ite and/or Local Government (do not includ	e in annu	alized fiscal effect):		
II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal Imp	pact on State F	unds from:
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe		S Inc	creased Costs	Decre	ased Costs
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(FT	E)
State Operations-Other Costs				•	
Local Assistance				•	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				•	
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		S -	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds GPR		ine \$	creased Costs	Decre \$ -	ased Costs
FED				~	
PRO/PRS				•	
SEG/SEG-S			,	•	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wind state revenues (e.g.,	nen proposal will increase or decrease tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	In	creased Rev.	Decre	ased Rev.
GPR Taxes		\$		\$ -	
GPR Earned					
FED				•	
PRO/PRS				•	
SEG/SEG-S	State Forestry Tax			- 35,000	
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$ - 35,000	
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPA	СТ		LOCAL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	<u>-</u>	\$ see text o	f fiscal note	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ - 35,000	-	\$		
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.			7	Date
Wisconsin Department Of Revenue	Yeang-Eng Braun (608) 266-2700	LBu	au.	15	27/98
Rebecca Boldt, (608) 266-6785	(608) 266-2700	7 /		<u> </u>	

1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB 20868/T MES:wlj&jlg:lp

RMWA

Stays

1999 BILL

AN ACT to create 70.11 (40) and 74.485 of the statutes; relating to: creating a

property tax exemption for wetlands.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill creates a property tax exemption for lands zoned as wetlands by a county and not used for agricultural purposes. If that land becomes taxable, except as a result of remapping by the department of natural resources, the owner is required to pay the equivalent of the property taxes that were saved on the lands, based on an indexing of the most recent assessment before the wetlands became exempt, plus interest at the rate of 6% per year for each year's taxes.

This bill will be referred to the joint survey committee on tax exemptions for a detailed analysis, which will be printed as an appendix to the bill.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- **SECTION 1.** 70.11 (40) of the statutes is created to read:
- 4 70.11 (40) Wetlands, as defined in s. 23.32 (1), that are subject to
- an ordinance under s. 59.692 (1m) and that are not used for agricultural purposes,
- 6 as defined in s. 23.33 (1) (ag).

1

2

3

BILL

SECTION 2. 74.485 of the statutes is created to read:

71.185 Interest and penalty on rezoned wetlands. (1) If property that is exempt under s. 70.11 (40) becomes taxable, except as a result of remapping by the department of natural resources, the current owner, on or before January 15, shall pay to the taxation district in which the wetlands are located an amount calculated as follows:

Except for preferty described under para (b)

(a) Increase the assessed value of the property, as determined as of the January 1 before the effective date of this paragraph [revisor inserts date], by the increase in the consumer price index, all items, U.S. city average, as determined by the U.S. department of labor, for the year beginning on January 1 and determine the property taxes that would have been due on the property if it had been assessed at that amount and if it had been taxable.

determined for the property for the previous year by the increase during the previous year in the consumer price index, all items, U.S. city average, as determined by the U.S. department of labor, and determine the property taxes that would have been due on the property if it had been assessed at that amount and if it had been taxable.

add interest at the rate of 6% per year beginning with the year for which the amount is calculated under par. (a) and ending with the year during which the property is no longer exempt.

(e) Add the amounts determined under pars. (a) to me for all of the years during which the current owner owned the property.

(2) As part of the next settlement of taxes under s. 74.25 or 74.30 (1) or under

BILL

7

8

1	it collects property taxes its share of the amount collected under sub. (1). On or before
2	January 10, the taxation district shall notify the department of revenue if a payment
3	is required under sub. (1).
4	(3) Any amount due under sub. (1) that is not timely paid is subject to interest
5	at the rate of 0.5% per month or part of a month.
6	Section 3. Effective date.
7	(1) This act takes effect on the first January 1 after publication.

(END)

STATE OF WISCONSIN – LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU – LEGAL SECTION (608–256–3561)

January I before ween taxable.

SUBMITTAL FORM

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street

The attached draft is submitted for your inspection. Please check each part carefully, proofread each word, and sign on the appropriate line(s) below.

Date: 1/5/99 To: Senator Burke Relating to LRB drafting number: LRB-1525 Topic Property tax exemption for wetlands Subject(s) Tax - property 1. JACKET the draft for introduction in the Senate X or the Assembly ____ (check only one). Only the requester under whose name the drafting request is entered in the LRB's drafting records may authorize the draft to be submitted. Please allow one day for the preparation of the required copies. 2. **REDRAFT.** See the changes indicated or attached A revised draft will be submitted for your approval with changes incorporated. 3. Obtain FISCAL ESTIMATE NOW, prior to introduction ______ If the analysis indicates that a fiscal estimate is required because the proposal makes an appropriation or increases or decreases existing appropriations or state or general local government fiscal liability or revenues, you have the option to request the fiscal estimate prior to introduction. If you choose to introduce the proposal without the fiscal estimate, the fiscal estimate will be requested automatically upon introduction. It takes about 10 days to obtain a fiscal estimate. Requesting the fiscal estimate prior to introduction retains your flexibility for possible redrafting of the proposal. If you have any questions regarding the above procedures, please call 266-3561. If you have any questions relating to the attached draft, please feel free to call me.

Marc E. Shovers, Senior Legislative Attorney Telephone: (608) 266-0129