

LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.  
 SB 55 (-1563/1)  
 Amendment No. if Applicable

**FISCAL ESTIMATE**  
 DOA-2048 N(R10/94)

ORIGINAL       UPDATED  
 CORRECTED       SUPPLEMENTAL

**Subject**  
 The Effect on Class I Trout Streams of Dams, Ditches, and Drains Used in Cranberry Cultivation

**Fiscal Effect**

**State:**  No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

- Increase Existing Appropriation       Increase Existing Revenues
- Decrease Existing Appropriation       Decrease Existing Revenues
- Create New Appropriation

- Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget  Yes  No
- Decrease Costs

**Local:**  No local government costs

- 1.  Increase Costs  
 Permissive       Mandatory
- 2.  Decrease Costs  
 Permissive       Mandatory

- 3.  Increase Revenues  
 Permissive       Mandatory
- 4.  Decrease Revenues  
 Permissive       Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
- Towns       Villages       Cities
  - Counties       Others \_\_\_\_\_
  - School Districts       WTCS Districts

**Fund Sources Affected**

- GPR     FED     PRO     PRS     SEG     SEG-S

**Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations**

**Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

**SUMMARY OF BILL** - This bill would eliminate the existing exemption from Wis. Stat. Chs. 30 and 31 relating to the construction and maintenance of dams, ditches, and drains used in the cultivation of cranberries that will affect Class I trout streams as defined by the Department. Presently, activities conducted by the cranberry industry under Wis. Stat. s. 94.26 are reviewed in part by the Department when a federal section 404 (Discharge and Fill) permit is required (dams, ditches, and cranberry beds included). This review is primarily limited to an analysis of impacts associated with wetlands and minimum in-stream flow needs.

Dams constructed for the cultivation of cranberries are not presently reviewed by the Department to ensure compliance with NR 330 (Warning Signs and Portages for Dams) or NR 333 (Dam Design and Construction Standards).

**FISCAL IMPACT** - No fiscal impact is anticipated. Many cranberry activities--e.g. maintenance and expansion--are limited to existing cranberry operations. Although the expansion of existing operations often necessitates the need for larger quantities of water, the additional water supply is often obtained by the construction of large reservoir ditches or by increasing the storage capacity of existing dams. Because most of these activities are related to existing cranberry operations, the fiscal effect of SB 55 is totally dependent on the future supply and demand for cranberries. If substantial new development and bed expansion were to occur, the fiscal impact would increase accordingly.

Representatives of the Wisconsin State Cranberry Growers Association have repeatedly stated that they would not construct dams, ditches or cranberry beds in areas that would affect trout streams. In addition, new projects affecting trout streams would most likely not be allowed under the existing federal regulatory programs and associated state water quality certification.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

Although the cranberry industry is expected to expand substantially, most impacts will be related to wetlands, not Class I trout streams. Therefore, no long-range fiscal implications are anticipated.

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)

Joe Polasek, 266-2794

*DNR*

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

*Joe Polasek*

266-2794

Date

3-4-99

# FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

1999 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect  
DOA-2047 (R10/94)

ORIGINAL     UPDATED  
 CORRECTED     SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. SB 55	Amendment No.
--	---------------

**Subject**  
The Effect on Class I Trout Streams of Dams, Ditches, and Drains Used in Cranberry Cultivation

**I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):**  
None.

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>		
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$
(FTE Position Changes)	( FTE)	( - FTE)
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>		
GPR	\$	\$
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
<b>III. State Revenues:</b> Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	<b>Increased Rev.</b>	<b>Decreased Rev.</b>
GPR Taxes	\$	\$
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	\$ 0	\$ 0

### NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	STATE	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ 0	\$ 0

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)

Joe Polasek, 266-2794

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

*Joe Polasek* 266-2794

Date

3-4-94