DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

February 29, 2000

Jeff:

I drafted this amendment as allowing, rather than requiring, an insurer to require an insured to pay the difference in cost. Some insurers may choose to charge a higher premium, use original manufacturer replacement parts and not bother with the notice and authorization. (Under the bill, an insurer may not require the use of nonoriginal manufacturer replacement parts unless the notice and authorization form is given to the insured and the insured authorizes the use.) I also provided that the insured may be required to pay the difference only if the original part is actually more expensive, which I assume it probably would always be. I didn't think that you would want the insured to have to pay the difference if the nonoriginal part was actually more expensive. Let me know if you have any questions or want any changes.

> Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682 E-mail: Pam.Kahler@legis.state.wi.us