				1999	Session ·	
				LRB or Bill No./	Adm. Rule No.	
	ORIGINAL,	UPDATED		SB 86, 99-2461	SB 86, 99-2461/1	
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/94)	CORRECTED	CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL		Amendment No. if Applicable		
Subject PECFA Revenue Obligations and Administ	ration and Peimbursemen	•				
Fiscal Effect	ration and Reinibursemen					
State: No State Fiscal Effect						
Check columns below only if bill make or affects a sum sufficient appropriati			Increase Costs Within Agency's	- May be possible	to Absorb Yes 💢 No	
Increase Existing Appropriation	Increase Exist	ing Revenues	VIIIIII 7 Igonoy 0	, Dadgo.		
Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation	Decrease Exis	ting Revenues	Decrease Costs		. •	
Local: X No local government cos	sts			***************************************		
1. Increase Costs	3. Increase Rev	renues	5. Types of Local Go	overnment Units At	ffected:	
Permissive Mandator			Towns	Villages	s Cities	
	4. Decrease Re	venues	Counties		Districts	
2. Decrease Costs			School Distric		Districts	
Permissive Mandator Fund Sources Affected	y Permiss		cted Ch. 20 Appropriations			
GPR FED PRO	PRS X SEG		0.370 (2) (dw)			
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estin			0.070 (2) (2.1)			
specifying information that must be submittalso requires both agencies to jointly: 1) det standards, and to determine whether natural least costly cleanup methods which achieve of cleanup for low and medium priority site of cleanup, 3) use a competitive bidding probid, until 6/30/01. Other bill provisions inc with enforcement standards, 2) creates a det water supply well, 4) limiting PECFA reimly with the enforcement standards, 5) changes Commerce quarterly, and 7) requires both a FISCAL ESTIMATE: This bill would require	termine the least costly me attenuation can be used for compliance with enforcer is, 2) to conduct an annual ocess for sites likely to costlude: 1) PECFA reimburse finition of natural attenuation bursement for costs incurrent the co-pay equation, but regencies to complete closurative approximately 2.75 ad	thod of cleanup for high or each cleanup, and 2) of ment standards. The bill review of low and medi- it over \$60,000, and 4) to ement is limited to the le- tion, 3) provides an exem- ed after the annual revie- etains the maximum ded re request reviews withing ditional FTE's, based on	a priority sites to achieve conduct annual reviews of also directs Commerce to um priority sites, including develop a schedule of us east costly method of clear aption from bidding certain to the least costly clean fuctible to \$7,500, 6) require 60 days of receipt.	high priority sites 1) determine the g determining the ual and customary up which will ach contaminated sit up method which ires consultants to	R 140 enforcement is to determine the least costly method least costly method y costs for sites not nieve compliance tes near a public achieves compliance to submit costs to	
1) .5 FTE (910 hours x \$25.00 = \$22,750), provisions. 2) 1.75 FTE (3,200 hours x \$25.00 = \$80,00 standards (bidding of sites > \$60,000), assureviews of high priority sites with Commerca 3) Approximately 200 hours per year for red 4) .5 FTE (910 hours x \$25.00 = \$22,750),	project position, to consult of the project position, to determine ming 800 sites per year, at the tenth of the least conviewing bid waivers at comproject position, to develo	t with Commerce on the ing the least costly meth 4 hours per site for bide ostly method of cleanup. ntaminated sites near put p training materials and	od of cleanup which achied ing consultations. This extra it is unclear what else the olic water supply wells. To properly train staff on it	eves compliance w stimate also cover is provision may c his work can be al mplementation of	with the enforcement rs conducting annual cover. bsorbed. The bill provisions.	
A total of \$125,500 (\$45,500 in one time conductions Long-Range Fiscal Implications Potential increased program costs due to chance allow for closure at sites contaminated with the contaminated wi	anges in the definition of i	natural attenuation. A m	nore restrictive definition	than that of the cu		
not anow for crosure at sites containmated						
Agency Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature		one No.	Date	
DND Joe Polosek	(608) 266-2794	On Dol	and . 160	08) 266-2794	03/23/1999	

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET			1999 Session			
		ED LRB or Bill No./A		Amendment No.		
DOA-2047 (R10/94)	CORRECTED USUPPL	EMENTAL SB 86, 99 2461/	1			
Subject PECFA Revenue Obligations and Administration and	Daimhurcament					
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for S		ent (do not include in an	nualized fiscal e	ffect):		
45,500 (Total of 1 FTE)				•		
		Annualized Fiscal	l impact on State	e funds from:		
II. Annualized Costs: A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	reased Costs				
	•	#00.000				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$80,000				
(FTE Position Changes)	1.75					
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Local / Addictarios						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL OLIVE Contains by Cottons		# 00,000				
TOTAL State Costs by Catego	гу	\$80,000 Increased Costs	Dec	reased Costs		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED .						
			-			
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S	SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues: Complete this only when prop	Increased Rev.	De	Decreased Rev.			
revenues (e.g., tax increase, c	decrease in license lee, etc.)					
GFIX TAXES		·				
GPR Earned						
FED	•					
			.			
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S	<i>)</i>					
320/323-3	**					
TOTAL State Revenues						
	NET ANNUALIZED I	MPACT				
	STATE		LOCAL			
<u>577112</u>						
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	00 \$0					

NET CHANGE IN REVENUES		<u> </u>	\$0	· .		
Agency Prepared By	Phone No. Authorized Si	gnature	Phone No.	Date		
, ,	(600) 266 2704	Polisch sed	(608) 266-2794 03/23/1999			
DNR Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	SAL	(300) 200 2177			