1999 Session

LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
SB 104, 99-2276/1
Amendment No. if Applicable

☒ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL

FISCAL ESTIMATE

DOA-2048 N(R10/94)

Subject

Penalty on Deer Baiting

Fiscal Effect

State: ☒ No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues
☐ Create New Appropriation

☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ Decrease Costs

Local: ☐ No local government costs

1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
3. ☐ Increase Revenues ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
4. ☐ Decrease Revenues ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected:
   ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities
   ☐ Counties ☐ WTCS Districts
   ☐ School Districts ☐ Others

Fund Sources Affected

☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☒ SEG ☐ SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

SUMMARY OF BILL - This proposal sets the minimum forfeiture for violations of hunting regulations with regard to types, amounts and locations of bait that may be used for hunting deer. Currently the minimum forfeiture is $0 and the maximum forfeiture is $1000. This proposal raises the minimum forfeiture to $160.

FISCAL IMPACT: This proposal mandates that courts impose a minimum forfeiture of $160. With added fees and assessments, this bill would double the penalty from the current presumptive penalty of $204 to $402. Doubling the fines may improve overall compliance and thereby reduce the number of calls a warden receives, but the effect is unknown. Law enforcement can administer this proposal within its current appropriation.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None

Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phone No.	Date
DNR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek/SH	(608) 266-2794	04/02/1999

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

1999 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect
DOA-2047 (R10/94)

☒ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.	Amendment No.
SB 104, 99-2276/1	

Subject

Penalty on Deer Baiting

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	**Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:**	
A. State Costs by Category	**Increased Costs**	**Decreased Costs**
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		
(FTE Position Changes)		
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
TOTAL State Costs by Category	$0	$0
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	**Increased Costs**	**Decreased Costs**
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
III. State Revenues: Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	**Increased Rev.**	**Decreased Rev.**
GPR Taxes		
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
TOTAL State Revenues	$0	$0

NET ANNUALIZED IMPACT

	STATE	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	$0	$0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	$0	$0

Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phone No.	Date
DNR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek /sdd	(608) 266-2794	04/02/1999