# 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

-		1	٦
к	1		1
1,	ч	B	J

Received: 03/15/99		Received By: nilsepe						
Wanted: As time permits			Identical to LRB:					
For: Bria	an Burke (60	08) 266-8535			By/Representing: Drafter: nilsepe			
This file	may be show	n to any legislat	tor: <b>NO</b>					
May Cor	ntact:		•		Alt. Drafters:			
Subject:	Transp	portation - traf	fic laws		Extra Copies:	ISR, TNF	,	
Pre Top	ic:				·			
No speci	fic pre topic g	given						
Topic:								
Authority	y to reduce sp	eed limits in his	storical distric	ets				
Instruct	ions:							
See Attac	ched							
Drafting	g History:							
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?	nilsepe 03/16/99	jgeller 03/17/99						
/1			martykr 03/18/99	-	lrb_docadmin 03/18/99	lrb_docadm 03/29/99	nin	
FE Sent	For: Noxaded	_		<end></end>				

# 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

-		1
ĸ	Ť	Ш
D	ш	ш

Received: 03/15/99  Wanted: As time permits  For: Brian Burke (608) 266-8535			Received By: nilsepe					
			Identical to LRB:					
				By/Representing:				
This file	e may be show	n to any legislat	tor: NO		Drafter: nilsepe Alt. Drafters:			
May Co	ontact:							
Subject	Trans	portation - traf	fic laws		Extra Copies:	ISR, TNF	•	
Pre To	pic:				·		,	
No spec	ific pre topic g	given						
Topic:								
Authori	ty to reduce sp	eed limits in his	storical distric	ets				
Instruc	tions:				WI A I			
See Atta	ached							
 Draftin	g History:				. *			
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?	nilsepe 03/16/99	jgeller 03/17/99						
/1			martykr 03/18/99		lrb_docadmin 03/18/99			
FE Sent	For:			·				
				<b><end></end></b>				

#### 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: <b>03/15/99</b>	Received By: nilsepe

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Brian Rurke (608) 266-8535 By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **nilsepe** 

May Contact: Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - traffic laws Extra Copies: ISR, TNF

**Pre Topic:** 

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:** 

Authority to reduce speed limits in historical districts

**Instructions:** 

See Attached

**Drafting History:** 

Vers. <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

1? nilsepe 1 mjlg mis mis

FE Sent For:

<END>

# **LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

# **BILL REQUEST FORM**

Legal Section, 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton St. (608) 266-3561

- 2504

Use of this form is optional. It is often helpful to talk directly with the LRB attorney who will draft the bill. Use this form only for **BILL** drafts. Attach more pages if necessary.

Date of request: //. /	Legislator or agency requesting this draft:
Date of request: Warch 12, 1999	Senator Burke
Name/phone number of person submitting request:	ù 7611-8535
Persons to contact for questions about this draft	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
(names and phone numbers please): Www.lc	Natronsky (e-1504
Julie	(Sen Burkes office) 6-8535
Describe the problem, including any helpful	
examples. How do you want to solve the	
This bill would allo	m communities to
reduce speed limits, under	certain the reduction
a state registered histori	certain conditions is district. The reduction
would help to preserve historical character of the	and maintain the
historical character of the	e district.
San Wan a Stanford Les	g. Council draft for
Specifics.	J
If you know of any statute sections that might be	
affected, please list them or provide a marked	
(not re-typed) copy.	
Please attach a copy of any correspondence or mater	rial that may help us. You may also attach a marked
(not re-typed) copy of any LRB draft, or provide its nu	
Requests are confidential unless stated otherwise	
May we tell others that we are working on this for you	
If yes, anyone who asks? ☐ YES ☐ NO	<b>,</b>
Any legislator?   YES   NO   ONLY the following in the second of the sec	llowing persons:
Do you consider this urgent? TYES TO NO If	yes, please indicate why:
Is this request of higher priority than other pending re	equest(s) you have made?
TYES TO NO If yes, please sign your name he	
/\	

1	AN ACT to create 349.11 (1) (c) of the statutes; relating to: modification of speed
2	limits in historic districts.
	The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:
3	SECTION 1. 349.11 (1) (c) of the statutes is created to read:
4	349.11 (1) (c) The department with respect to the state trunk highway system and the
5	local authorities with respect to highways under their jurisdiction that determine on the basis
6	of an engineering and traffic investigation that any statutory speed limit is greater than is
7	repsonable many historic district minday is listed on the national register of historic places in
8	Wisconsin, the state register of historic places or a certified local register of historic property
9	(2) (2) (6)
10	highway system and the local authorities with respect to highways under their jurisdiction
11	may, subject to the limitations set forth in subs. (2) and (3), determine and declare a reasonable
12	and safe speed limit on the highway or part thereof in question in order to provide for the
13	preservation of the historical character of the distript!
14	When appropriate signs giving notice of the speed limit declared under speed have
15	been erected and are in place, the speed limit shall be effective at all times or at the times as
16	indicated by the signs
17	(END)
	or consistent with preserving the historical character of the district,



# State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

PEN...; ....

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

D-Note)

gen cat

1

2

AN ACT ...; relating to: authority to modify speed limits within historic districts.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, the department of transportation (DOT) and local highway authorities may increase or decrease the maximum speed limit applicable to highways under their respective jurisdiction if DOT or the local highway authorities determine that the current maximum speed limit in effect is not reasonable or safe or that the current actual speed of traffic is not reasonable or prudent.

This bill allows DOT and local highway authorities to reduce a maximum speed limit for a highway within a designated historic district to a speed limit that is consistent with preserving the district's historical character. The speed limit may be reduced only if DOT or the local highway authorities determine, based on an engineering and traffic investigation, that the current speed limit is not reasonable for the preservation of the historical character of the district.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 349.11 (1) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

349.11 (1) (c) 1. In this section, "historic district" means an area that is listed

on the national register of historic places in Wisconsin, the trat register of historic

places or a certified local register of historic property, as defined in s. 101.121 (2) (a).

#### SECTION 1

2. Whenever the department with respect to the state trunk highway system or local authorities with respect to highways under their jurisdiction determines upon the basis of an engineering and traffic investigation that any statutory speed limit applicable to a highway within or adjoining a historic district is greater than is reasonable for the preservation of the historical character of the district, the department with respect to the state trunk highway system or the local authorities with respect to highways under their jurisdiction may, subject to the limitations set forth in subs. (2) and (3), determine and declare a reasonable and safe speed limit on the highway or part thereof in question. When appropriate signs giving notice of the speed limit declared under this subdivision have been erected and are in place, such speed limit shall be effective at all times.

## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

March 16, 1999



Sen. Burke:

Because current law already allows the reduction of a speed limit that is found to be unreasonable or unsafe, I restructured the proposed draft a little to allow for the reduction of a speed limit that is reasonable and safe, but that is inconsistent with the goal of preserving the historic character of a historical district. OK?

Paul E. Nilsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261–6926

## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2504/1dn PEN:jlg:km

March 16, 1999

Sen. Burke:

Because current law already allows the reduction of a speed limit that is found to be unreasonable or unsafe, I restructured the proposed draft a little to allow for the reduction of a speed limit that is reasonable and safe, but that is inconsistent with the goal of preserving the historic character of a historical district. OK?

Paul E. Nilsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261–6926

# SUBMITTAL FORM

# LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street

The attached draft is submitted for your inspection. Please check each part carefully, proofread each word, and sign on the appropriate line(s) below.

Date: 3/18/99	To: Senator Burke
	Relating to LRB drafting number: LRB-2504
Topic Authority to reduce speed limits in historical districts	
Subject(s) Transportation - traffic laws	
in the Senate or the Assembly (check of	
in the Senate or the Assembly (check of	only one). Only the requester under whose name the
drafting request is entered in the LRB's drafting re	cords may authorize the draft to be submitted. Please
allow one day for the preparation of the required co	opies.
2. <b>REDRAFT.</b> See the changes indicated or attached	i
A revised draft will be submitted for your approva	l with changes incorporated.
3. Obtain FISCAL ESTIMATE NOW, prior to intr	oduction
If the analysis indicates that a fiscal estimate is req	uired because the proposal makes an appropriation or
increases or decreases existing appropriations or st	ate or general local government fiscal liability or
revenues, you have the option to request the fiscal	estimate prior to introduction. If you choose to
introduce the proposal without the fiscal estimate,	the fiscal estimate will be requested automatically upon
introduction. It takes about 10 days to obtain a fisc	al estimate. Requesting the fiscal estimate prior to
introduction retains your flexibility for possible red	drafting of the proposal.
If you have any questions regarding the above proced	lures, please call 266-3561. If you have any questions
relating to the attached draft, please feel free to call n	ne.

Paul E. Nilsen, Legislative Attorney Telephone: (608) 261-6926