			(99–1	801/7)	j 1	999 Session		
•			,		LRB or Bill No./Adm.			
	ORIGINAL		UPDATED		1999 Senate l			
FISCAL ESTIMATE	☐ CORRECTE	ED []	SUPPLEMENTAL		Amendment No. if Ap	plicable		
DOA-2048 N(R10/98)								
Subject								
Changes in campaign	finance law				<u> </u>			
Fiscal Effect					;			
State: No State Fiscal Effect				1 may 2	- Green - Mary ha massift	lo to About		
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.								
or affects a sum sufficient at	эргоргианон.			771111111111111111111111111111111111111				
▲ Increase Existing Appropriation								
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues				Decrease Costs				
Create New Appropriation								
Local: No local government		creace Devenues		5. Types o	f Local Governmental U	nits Affected:		
<u> </u>	Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Increase Revenues Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory Increase Revenues Mandatory Mandato		☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities					
2. Decrease Costs	. —	ecrease Revenues	s	Counties	Others			
Permissive Mand	iatory Pe	rmissive [Mandatory	School Dis		icts		
Fund Sources Affected	1.00		1	. 20 Appropria	itions			
XX GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO	PRS XX SEG	SEG-S	20.5	10				
Assumptions Used in Arriving a	at Fiscal Estimate							
•								
	•							
P	PLEASE SEE ATTA	ACHED						
	•							
			•					
·				•				
						`		
					•			
Long-Range Fiscal Implications								
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED								
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone	No.)	Authorized	Signature/Telephon	ie No.	Date			
Kevin J. Kennedy	266-8087	1/1	11/2	266–8 ر	087 Mav	4, 1999		
State Elections Board		1 //eum	W/Umn!		<u>.</u>	-,		

1999 Senate Bill 111

Assumptions:

This legislation provides for increased public funding for state campaigns and creates public funding for certain political parties. The legislation makes an annual GPR appropriation of at least \$3,000,000 plus funds generated from the elimination of a tax deduction for lobbying expenses and a tax on certain candidate committee earnings.

Raising the amount that can be designated by a taxpayer on the campaign fund income tax checkoff increases the amount of segregated funds available. The checkoff is modified to permit a designation to a specified political party or to a general account for candidate campaigns.

For the purpose of this fiscal estimate it is assumed that the amount available from the increased checkoff will be proportionate to the amount available under the current checkoff because the percentage of individuals making a designation has remained steady over the last few years. The amount available to candidates from the checkoff will increase to \$1,500,000. No estimate is made on how much would be designated for political parties, because Wisconsin has no experience with this provision.

No provision is made for the amount of money available from increased tax revenues based on the elimination of the deduction for lobbying expenses and the tax on candidate committee earnings. The amount is too speculative.

The amount needed to fund legislative candidate campaigns is projected based on past candidate participation and past candidates' ability to raise qualifying contributions. It is projected that 13 senate candidates and 90 assembly candidates would qualify for a maximum grant. \$2,900,000 would be distributed each election cycle to legislative candidates.

It is assumed that two candidates for all statewide partisan offices except secretary of state or state treasurer would qualify for a maximum grant. In one of those races only one candidate would qualify for a grant. \$5,525,000 would be distributed over the four-vear election cycle.

Two candidates for state superintendent and four candidates for justice would qualify for a maximum grant in a four-year cycle. \$1,150,000 would be distributed, but only \$720,000 would be available under the apportionment of 12% of the available funds over a four-year period, assuming 3 races for justice and one for superintendent.

The Elections Board will incur one time costs for printing and distribution of new manuals (\$9,200) and forms (\$3,000). An LTE to assist in the design and development of manuals, forms and informational bulletins for registrants will cost \$15,600 for 6 months

work at \$15 an hour. One additional financial specialist (campaign auditor) will be needed to monitor the complex allocation of grant funds.

Long-Range:

Full funding will not be available for the 2000 or 2002 election cycles because the increased checkoff will not be in effect for the full two and four year cycles. At least \$4,500,000 will be available annually once the \$5 checkoff is in place. This will generate \$18,000,000 over a 4-year cycle. It is projected that \$12,475,000 will be required for regularly scheduled partisan (\$11,325,000) and nonpartisan (1,150,000) elections. Special elections, first time candidate supplements and political party distributions are not included in the estimate.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	1999 Session											
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect	A ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED	LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.		lo. Ame	Amendment No.						
DOA-2047 (R10/94) CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL			1999 Sen	ate Bill	111							
Subject												
Changes in campaign finance law												
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):												
\$27,800 to design, print and distribute forms, manuals and informational bulletins Annualized Costs: Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:												
II. Annualized Costs:	Increased Costs Decreased Costs											
A State Costs by Cotogowy				ea Costs		creased Costs						
A. State Costs by Category State Operations – Salaries and Fringes			\$		\$ -	•						
State Operations – Salaries and Filliges					ļ. <u> </u>							
(FTE Position Changes)			(FTE)	(-	FTE)						
State Operations – Other Costs					_							
State Operations - Other Costs												
Local Assistance					-							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			4,500,	000		·						
TOTAL State Costs by Categ	ory		\$ 4,500,	000	\$ -							
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			Increas	ed Costs	De	creased Costs						
			\$		\$ -							
GPR			4,500,	000		<u>.</u>						
FED												
PRO/PRS		·			_							
SEG/SEG-S		-			_							
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wh	Increas	sed Rev.	De	ecreased Rev.								
revenues (e.g., tax inc	\$ Unknow	m.	\$ -									
GPR Taxes												
GPR Earned				_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
FED					_							
PRO/PRS					-							
SEG/SEG-S	,				_							
TOTAL State Revenues			\$ Unknow	7n	\$ -							
	ET ANNUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMP	ACT									
- · ·		LOCAL										
NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$ 4,500,000			\$									
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	- '-	\$ \$										
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$_Unknown \$												
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Kevin J. Kennedy 266-8087 Authorized Signature/Telephone No.												
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Kevin J. Kennedy State Elections Board	May	4, 1999										