1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Receive	ed: 03/2/99		Received By: olsenje						
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:				
For: Fr	ed Risser (60	18) 266-1627		By/Representing: Glenn					
This file	e may be show	vn to any legislat	tor: NO		Drafter: olsenje Alt. Drafters:				
May Co	ontact:								
Subject: Correctional System - misc Legislature - miscellaneous					Extra Copies: PJD				
Pre To	pic:	an and an analysis of the second seco		:					
No spec	eific pre topic	given							
Topic:									
Prison i	mpact assessn	nents							
Instruc						,			
 Draftin	g History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/?	olsenje 03/8/99	chanaman 03/8/99					State		
/P1	olsenje 03/8/99		jfrantze 03/8/99		lrb_docadmin 03/8/99 lrb_docadmin 03/8/99		State		
/1	olsenje 04/6/99	chanaman 04/6/99	hhagen 04/6/99		lrb_docadmin 04/6/99	lrb_docadn 04/6/99	nin		

4/6/99 4:39:43 PM Page 2

FE Sent For: QQ

<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 03/2/99 Wanted: As time permits For: Mark Pocan (608) 266-8570				Received By: olsenje Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Glenn												
								This file may	be shown	to any legislat	or: NO		Drafter: olsenje			
								May Contact:					Alt. Drafters:			
Subject: Correctional System - misc Legislature - miscellaneous				Extra Copies: PJD												
Pre Topic:	,															
No specific pr	e topic gi	iven														
Topic:						······································										
Prison impact	assessme	ents														
Instructions:																
See Attached																
Drafting His	lory:			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,												
Vers. Dra	afted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>										
	enje 8/99	chanaman 03/8/99				State										
	enje 78/99	cmy 4/c	jfrantze 03/8/99		1rb_docadmin 03/8/99 1rb_docadmin 03/8/99											
FE Sent For:		')	BH 41ca	END>		Jacket "/1" for SENATE & Send Ho RISSER										

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 03/2/99 Received By: olsenje

Wanted: As time permits Identical to LRB:

For: Mark Pocan (608) 266-8570 By/Representing: Glenn

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: olsenje

May Contact: Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Correctional System - misc Extra Copies: PJD

Legislature - miscellaneous

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Prison impact assessments

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

/? olsenje CMH

FE Sent For:

<END>

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

BILL REQUEST FORM

Legal Section, 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton St. (608) 266-3561

2377

Use of this form is optional. It is often helpful to talk directly with the LRB attorney who will draft the bill. Use this form only for BILL drafts. Attach more pages if necessary.

Date of request:	Legislator or agency requesting this draft:
Name/phone number of person submitting request:	Clema > Hele 8570
Persons to contact for questions about this draft (names and phone numbers please):	Glenn
Describe the problem, including any helpful examples. How do you want to solve the problem? Part G Problem Pr	spect statement
If you know of any statute sections that might be affected, please list them or provide a marked (not re-typed) copy.	Hacheel
Please attach a copy of any correspondence or mater (not re-typed) copy of any LRB draft, or provide its nut	
Requests are confidential unless stated otherwise May we tell others that we are working on this for you'll yes, anyone who asks? YES NO NO NO NOTE ONLY the following the state of the st	? • YES • NO
Do you consider this urgent? YES D NO If y	res, please indicate why:
Is this request of higher priority than other pending recommendates and the YES INO If yes, please sign your name he	

Download this document in MS Word 97 format

H.266

Introduced by Representative Emmons of Springfield

Referred to Committee on

Date:

Subject: Crimes; legislative process; corrections

Statement of purpose: This bill proposes to require the joint fiscal office, after consultation with the department of corrections and the Vermont center for justice research, to prepare a prison impact statement for any bill that proposes to add a new crime to the Vermont statutes or to increase the penalty for an existing crime.

AN ACT RELATING TO A LEGISLATIVE PRISON IMPACT STATEMENT

It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:

Sec. 1. 2 V.S.A. § 407 is added to read:

§ 407. PRISON IMPACT STATEMENT

For each new legislative bill that proposes to add to the Vermont statutes a new crime or to increase the penalty for an existing crime, the joint fiscal office, in consultation with the department of corrections and the Vermont center for justice research, shall prepare a five-year projection of the number of inmates that will be added to the state prison system.

Colland Jakos Gar

1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

ONote

1999 BILL

LRB-2081/1 JEO:cmh&jlg:hmh

2377/P1

resport.

AN ACT to create 13.0975 of the statutes; relating to: prison impact assessments

2 apply has king dry dry sty

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law prohibits legislative action on most legislative bills until a fiscal estimate is prepared by the appropriate state agency. However, current law exempts a bill containing penalty provisions from this procedure if no other provision of the bill requires a fiscal estimate.

This bill requires the director of state courts to provide a prison impact assessment for any bill or bill draft that creates a felony (crime punishable by imprisonment in the state prison system) or modifies the period of imprisonment for a felony. The director has 21 days in which to prepare the assessment, beginning with the date on which the director receives a copy of an introduced bill from the legislative reference bureau or the date on which the requester of the bill draft asks for the assessment, whichever occurs first. The assessment includes estimates of the population of prisoners, probationers, parolees and persons on extended supervision; a fiscal estimate regarding the impact on prison construction and operation; an analysis of the impact on prosecutors, the state public defender and the courts; and a description of the assumptions and methodologies that were used to prepare the assessment. The bill prohibits legislative action on the bill or bill draft until the assessment is prepared. In addition, the director must prepare a yearly total prison impact assessment. The department of corrections and the circuit courts must help the director by providing him or her with relevant information.

, the office of justice assistance in the department of administration

1

BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 13.0975 of the statutes is created to read:

13.0975 Prison impact assessments. (1) In this section, "prison" means a state prison described under s. 302.01.

- (2) The director of state courts shall prepare a prison impact assessment for any bill or, if requested, for any bill draft that creates a felony or modifies the period of imprisonment for a felony. Except as otherwise provided by the joint rules of the legislature, the director shall prepare the assessment within 21 calendar days after the date on which the director receives a copy of a bill under sub. (4) or the date on which the director receives a request to prepare the assessment from the requester of the bill draft, whichever occurs first. The assessment shall contain all of the following:
- (a) Projections of the impact on statewide populations of prisoners, probationers, parolees and persons on extended supervision.
- (b) An estimate of the fiscal impact of population changes under par. (a) on state expenditures, including expenditures for the construction and operation of state prisons for the current fiscal year and the 5 succeeding fiscal years.
- (c) An analysis of any significant factor, not covered in complying with pars. (a) and (b), affecting the cost of the bill or bill draft and the factor's impact on prosecutors, the state public defender and courts.
- (d) A statement of the methodologies and assumptions that the director used in preparing the assessment.

BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17)

18

19

20

21

22

23

(3) The legi	islature shall reproduce	e and distribute	assessments	under sub. ((2)
in the same man	ner as it reproduces an	d distributes an	nendments.		

- (4) A bill draft that requires an assessment by the director of state courts under this section shall have that requirement noted on its jacket when the jacket is prepared. When a bill that requires an assessment under this section is introduced, the legislative reference bureau shall submit a copy of the bill to the director.
- (5) No public hearing before a standing committee may be held and no committee vote may be taken regarding any bill or bill draft described in sub. (2) unless the assessment under sub. (2) has been prepared.
- (6) Annually, by March 1, the director of state courts shall submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a prison impact assessment reflecting the cumulative effect of all relevant changes in the statutes taking effect during the preceding calendar year.
- (7) The department of corrections shall provide the director of state courts with information on current and past admissions and on length of time served as needed by the director in order to prepare assessments under subs. (2) and (6).
- (8) The circuit courts shall provide the director of state courts with information to assist the director in preparing assessments under subs. (2) and (6).
- (9) This section applies to bills introduced or requests for assessments for bill drafts made on or after July 1, 2000.

SECTION 2. Nonstatutory provisions.

March of the the total of the property of the

(1) TRANSFER OF RECORDS. The department of administration shall transfer all records of the sentencing commission to the director of state courts as soon as possible after the effective date of this subsection.

25)

24

justice assistance in the Defortment of alministration

A

BILL

(1) DIRECTOR OF STATE COURTS. In the schedule under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for the appropriation to the supreme court under section 20.680 (2) (a) of the statutes, as affected by the acts of 1999, the dollar amount is increased by \$126,700 for fiscal year 1999–00 and the dollar amount is increased by \$127,100 for fiscal year 2000–01 to increase the authorized FTE positions for the supreme court by 2.0 research analyst positions and 0.5 clerical position for services on behalf of the director of state courts and to provide property, services and supplies for the director of state courts.

SECTION 4. Effective date.

(1) This act takes effect on October 1, 1999, or on the day after publication, whichever is later.

12

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

(END)

Monday, February 8, 1999

LRB-2081/1dn JEO:cmh&jlg:hmh

)2377/PldL

» Glenn:

This is a redraft of 1997 Assembly Bill 692. I advanced the date in proposed s. 13.0975 (9) by two years, to July 1, 2000. I also by model the dates in the appropriation changes and in the effective date to take account of the next executive burget act. You may what to check the dollar arounds in the appropriation changes to see whether they need any adjustment. Product I made a few minor language changes for the sake of clarity and to take into account the changes made by the "truth in sentencing" act.

Jefren E. Olsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–8906

E-mail: Jefren.Olsen@legis.state.wi.us

I In accordance with our discussion, I removed the appropriation change provisions, when you know the appropriation changes that are really, the sport draft appropriation changes that are really, the sport of can be redrafted to include them or I can Iraft an anendment, to whichever is appropriate, an anendment, to whichever is appropriate,

I finally to include language requiring the office of justice assistance in DDA to be provide information to the state courts for purposes of preparing assessment.

Paring assessment.

LRB-2377/P1dn JEO:cmh&jlg:jf

March 8, 1999

Glenn:

This is a redraft of 1997 Assembly Bill 692. I advanced the date in proposed s. 13.0975 (9) by two years, to July 1, 2000. I also made a few minor language changes for the sake of clarity and to take into account the changes made by the "truth in sentencing" act.

In accordance with our discussion, I removed the appropriation change provisions. When you know the appropriation changes that are needed, the draft can be redrafted to include them or I can draft an amendment, whichever is appropriate.

Finally, I included language requiring the office of justice assistance in DOA to provide information to the state courts for purposes of preparing assessment.

Let me know if you have any questions or changes.

Jefren E. Olsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–8906

E-mail: Jefren.Olsen@legis.state.wi.us

FRED A. RISSER

President Wisconsin State Senate



Jeffren Olson

Legislative Reference Bureau

From: Senate President Fred Risser

Date: April 5, 1999

RE: LRB 2377



I have received a copy of the draft of LRB 2377 from Representative Pocan. After speaking with him, we decided to have it introduced as a Senate Bill, therefore I am interested in having it jacketed for introduction in the Senate.

Based on the drafting notes that were included, I feel that this bill is ready to be presented to the legislature and the appropriation changes can be made via amendment at a later date.

Please feel free to contact Sarah Bridgeman in my office should you have any questions. (266-1627)



State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE



D. Note

LRB-2377/11
JEO:cmh&ilg:jf

redeeff

notier run

PRELIMINARY DRAFT NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

present

AN ACT to create 13.0975 of the statutes; relating to: prison impact

2

1

assessments.

Current law prohibital egislative action on most legislative bills prohibital fiscal estimate is prepared by the appropriate state agency. However, current law exempts a bill containing penalty provisions from this procedure if no other provision of the bill requires a fiscal estimate.

This bill requires the director of state courts to provide a prison impact assessment for any bill or bill draft that creates a felony (crime punishable by imprisonment in the state prison system) or modifies the period of imprisonment for a felony. The director has 21 days in which to prepare the assessment, beginning with the date on which the director receives a copy of an introduced bill from the legislative reference bureau or the date on which the requester of the bill draft asks for the assessment, whichever occurs first. The assessment includes estimates of the population of prisoners, probationers, parolees and persons on extended supervision; a fiscal estimate regarding the impact on prison construction and operation; an analysis of the impact on prosecutors, the state public defender and the courts; and a description of the assumptions and methodologies that were used to prepare the assessment. The bill probabits legislative action on the bill or bill draft until the assessment is prepared. In addition, the director must prepare a yearly total prison impact assessment. The department of corrections, the office of justice assistance in the department of administration and the circuit courts must help the director by providing him or her with relevant information.

provides that no

for a b

provides

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 13.0975 of the statutes is created to read:

13.0975 Prison impact assessments. (1) In this section, "prison" means a state prison described under s. 302.01.

- (2) The director of state courts shall prepare a prison impact assessment for any bill or, if requested, for any bill draft that creates a felony or modifies the period of imprisonment for a felony. Except as otherwise provided by the joint rules of the legislature, the director shall prepare the assessment within 21 calendar days after the date on which the director receives a copy of a bill under sub. (4) or the date on which the director receives a request to prepare the assessment from the requester of the bill draft, whichever occurs first. The assessment shall contain all of the following:
- (a) Projections of the impact on statewide populations of prisoners, probationers, parolees and persons on extended supervision.
- (b) An estimate of the fiscal impact of population changes under par. (a) on state expenditures, including expenditures for the construction and operation of state prisons for the current fiscal year and the 5 succeeding fiscal years.
- (c) An analysis of any significant factor, not covered in complying with pars. (a) and (b), affecting the cost of the bill or bill draft and the factor's impact on prosecutors, the state public defender and courts.
- (d) A statement of the methodologies and assumptions that the director used in preparing the assessment.

drafts made on or after July 1, 2000.

Section 2. Nonstatutory provisions.

21

22

(3) The legislature shall reproduce and distribute assessments under sub. (2) 1 2 in the same manner as it reproduces and distributes amendments. 3 (4) A bill draft that requires an assessment by the director of state courts under 4 this section shall have that requirement noted on its jacket when the jacket is 5 prepared. When a bill that requires an assessment under this section is introduced. 6 the legislative reference bureau shall submit a copy of the bill to the director. 7 (5) No public hearing before a standing committee may be held and no 8 committee vote may be taken regarding any bill or bill draft described in sub. (2) 9 unless the assessment under sub. (2) has been prepared. (6) Annually, by March 1, the director of state courts shall submit to the 10 legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a prison impact assessment reflecting the cumulative 11 effect of all relevant changes in the statutes taking effect during the preceding 12 calendar year. 13 (7) The department of corrections shall provide the director of state courts with 14 information on current and past admissions and on length of time served as needed 15 by the director in order to prepare assessments under subs. (2) and (6). 16 (8) The circuit courts and the office of justice assistance in the department of 17 administration shall provide the director of state courts with information to assist 18 the director in preparing assessments under subs. (2) and (6). 19 (9) This section applies to bills introduced or requests for assessments for bill 20

1	(1) Transfer of records. The department of administration shall transfer a	ı11
2	records of the sentencing commission to the director of state courts as soon a	ıs
3	possible after the effective date of this subsection.	

4

1

(END)

LRB-2377/1dn JEO:...:...

Con

Proposed s. 13.0975 (5) provides that no public hearing may be held and no committee vote taken on a bill requiring a prison impact assessment until the assessment is prepared. This provision creates a rule of procedure under article IV, section 8, of the constitution. The supreme court has held that the remedy for noncompliance with this type of provision lies exclusively within the legislative branch. See State ex rel. La Follette v. Stitt, 114 Wis. 2d 358, 363–369 (1983). In other words, while this type of provision may be effective to govern internal legislative procedure, the courts will not enforce the provision. In addition, if legislation is enacted using a procedure that contravenes the provision, the validity of the enactment is not affected.

Jefren E. Olsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–8906

E-mail: Jefren.Olsen@legis.state.wi.us

LRB-2377/1dn JEO:cmh:hmh

April 6, 1999

Proposed s. 13.0975 (5) provides that no public hearing may be held and no committee vote taken on a bill requiring a prison impact assessment until the assessment is prepared. This provision creates a rule of procedure under article IV, section 8, of the constitution. The supreme court has held that the remedy for noncompliance with this type of provision lies exclusively within the legislative branch. See *State ex rel. La Follette v. Stitt*, 114 Wis. 2d 358, 363–369 (1983). In other words, while this type of provision may be effective to govern internal legislative procedure, the courts will not enforce the provision. In addition, if legislation is enacted using a procedure that contravenes the provision, the validity of the enactment is not affected.

Jefren E. Olsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–8906

E-mail: Jefren.Olsen@legis.state.wi.us