		ORIGINAL	LIDDATED		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
	OCCUPATION OF DATES				SB 154; LRB-3042/1
	<b>L ESTIMATE</b> 48 N(R10/94)	CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL		Amendment No. if Applicable
Subject					
PECFA A	Administration and Reimbursement ect	istration and Reimbursement			
	te: No State Fiscal Effect ck columns below only if bill makes a dir affects a sum sufficient appropriation.	rect appropriation		Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No  Decrease Costs	
<b>X</b>	Increase Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation	<del></del>	ting Revenues sting Revenues		
Local: No local government costs					
1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Revenues 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected:					
	Permissive Mandatory	Permiss	sive Mandatory	Towns	Villages Cities
2. De	ecrease Costs Permissive Mandatory	Decrease Re		Counties School Districts	WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations					
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS 🗶 SEG ☐ SEG-S 20.370(2)(dw)					
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate					
must be fo for high pr and 2) con The bill all and mediu and 4) to do to the least exemption to the least deductible 60 days of		nts for staff. The bi NR 140 enforcemer es to determine the least costly method the least costly method ary costs for sites no chieve compliance version and a public wate compliance with the bmit costs to Comm	Il also requires both agencie at standards, and to determine east costly cleanup method of of cleanup for low and mod of cleanup, 3) use a cont bid until 6/30/01. Other by the enforcement standards, or supply well, 4) limits PEC e enforcement standards, 5) erce quarterly, and 7) requires	es to jointly: 1) determine the whether natural attenuts which achieve compliant edium priority sites, 2) the process of the provisions include: 1) and the provisions include: 1) and the provisions include: 1) and the provisions include: 1) are the	e the least costly method of cleanup nation can be used for each cleanup, nce with enforcement standards. o conduct an annual review of low for sites likely to cost over \$60,000, PECFA reimbursement is limited f natural attenuation, 3) provides an osts incurred after the annual review ation, but retains the maximum
	STIMATE - This bill would require app (910 hours X \$25.00 = \$22,750), project				ation of rules regarding bill
2) 1.75 FT enforcement conducting 3) Approxis 4) .5 FTE (	E (3,200 hours X \$25.00 = \$80,000), and standards (bidding of sites > \$60,000) and annual reviews of high priority sites with the standards of hours per year for reviewing 100 hours X \$25.00 = \$22,750), projection	o), assuming 800 sites tith Commerce to de g bid waivers at con t position, to develo	s per year, at 4 hours per sit termine the least costly met taminated sites near public p training materials and to	e for bidding consultation hod of cleanup. water supply wells. This properly train staff on in	ns. This estimate also covers s work can be absorbed. splementation of the bill provisions.
A total of J	\$125,500 (\$45,500 in one time costs, an	ia 500,000 in annual	nzed cosis) cannot de adsor	ocu iii uie Department's	current appropriations.
Potential in	e Fiscal Implications ncreased program costs due to changes it for closure at sites contaminated with mo				that of the current NR 700 would
Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phon	e No. Date
-		*	IKM, V.	$\mathcal{L}$	
DNR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	illon the	(608)	266-2794 05/17/1999

1999 Session