FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM		1999 Session		99 Session	
		RB# -3171/1			
☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UP	DATED	TRODUCTION	I# SB 190		
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SU	PPLEMENTAL AC	Admin. Rule #			
Subject					
Campaign Finance Re	PTOTM -				
State: No State Fiscal Effect					
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects sum sufficient appropriation			☐ Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No		
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues			vvicinii Agency 3 Baag	et [] Tes [] No	
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues					
□ Create New Appropriation			☐ Decrease Costs		
Local: No Local Government					
1. Increase Costs	3. Increase Rev		5. Types of Local Governme		
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandate	· —	Mandatory	☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities		
-			☐ Counties ☐ Others ☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts		
Permissive Mandate	ory Permissive	Mandatory	Appropriations	WICS DISTRICTS	
Fund Sources Affected	PRS ⊠ SEG □ SEG-S			\/ h \·	
M GEK TILED TILVO TI	FK9 ⊠ 3E9 □ 3E0-0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	; 20.566(1)(a); 20.855(4 a); and 20.855(4)(bb)	·)(D),	
Assumptions Used in Arriving at	Fiscal Estimate:	1 20.000(1)(00	.,, and <u>20.000(1)(0.0)</u>		
This bill would make changes to the current campaign finance law. It would eliminate the campaign fund checkoff and, instead, impose a 10% tax on lobbying expenditures reported to the Ethics Board.					
The net fiscal effect of repealing the current law checkoff and implementing the lobbying expenditure tax would be an estimated increase in the amount designated for campaign finance of \$1,788,000 annually.					
Campaign Fund Checkoff					
The Wisconsin election car filing a state income tax ret revenues be transferred to transfer. All moneys transfer candidates for those offices the fund may be used for a	urn (whether having a tax the campaign fund. On j erred to the campaign ful s may qualify for grants fr	k liability or refu oint returns, eac nd are placed in	nd) may designate that ch spouse may choose accounts for specified	\$1 of general fund to designate a \$1 state offices, and	
This bill would eliminate the tax returns for contributions eliminating the checkoff wo effective on the day after pro-	s to the election campaign ould decrease the amount	n fund. Therefo	re, if designations rema	in unchanged,	
(continued on page two)					
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:					
	•				
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & P	hone No.) Authorized	Signature/Telepho	ne No.	Date	
		- -			
Wisconsin Department of Revenu	ıe Yeang-Eng	ULA ULA	ngly Brann	6/9/99	
Pam Walgren, (608) 266-7817	(608) 266-2	700			

(DOR) (06/09/99)

Lobbying Expenditure Tax

The bill would change the name of the election campaign fund to the "clean government fund," and require revenue raised from a tax on lobbying expenditures to be deposited into the fund. A tax would be imposed on persons hiring lobbyists and would equal 10% of lobbying expenditures reported to the ethics board. The Secretary of the Department of Revenue would be required to certify annually the amount of taxes collected for the preceding 12 months ending on June 30 to the Secretary of the Department of Administration. This provision first applies to lobbying expenditures made during the six month period ending of December 31, 2000.

According to information from the Ethics Board, there were \$42 million in expenditures for lobbying during the 1997-98 legislative session, or \$21 million on an annualized basis. Therefore, the tax would increase the amount designated to the fund by \$2.1 million annually.

Administrative Costs

Information is not yet available on the amount of costs associated with this bill. Funding is not provided to cover costs associated with this bill.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	Detailed Estimate of Annu	Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect				
☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED	LRB# -3171/1		Admin. Rule #			
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTA	L INTRODUCTION # S	B 190				
Subject Campaign Finance Reform	•					
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for Stat	te and/or Local Government (do not includ	de in annualized fiscal e	fect):			
•						
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:					
A State Coate by Cotomony		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -				
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)			
State Operations-Other Costs	2,100,000	-312,000				
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-				
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$ 2,100,000	\$ - 312,000				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
GPR		\$ 2,100,000	\$ - 312,000			
FED			-			
PRO/PRS			-			
SEG/SEG-S		-				
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when prevenues (e.g., tax increase	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.				
(5.3)						
GPR Taxes		\$2,100,000	\$ -			
GPR Earned			-			
FED			-			
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S			- 312,000			
TOTAL State Revenues		\$2,100,000	\$ - 312,000			
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	STATE \$ 1,788,000	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$1,788,000	\$				
NE. ORNIGE MINEVENDES						
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone	e No.	Date			
Wisconsin Department of Revenue Yeang-Eng Braun			1.1.0			
Pam Walgren, (608) 266-7817	g by Brain	6/9/99				