FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM	1999 Session						
	LRB # -1513/1						
ORIGINAL UPDATED	INTRODUCTION # SB 200						
CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule #						
Subject Transferring a motor vehicle upon death of the owner to a beneficiary designated in the certificate of title							
Fiscal Effect	•						
State: No State Fiscal Effect	I M						
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.	│						
Increase Existing Appropriation	Existing Revenues						
	Existing Revenues Decrease Costs						
Create New Appropriation							
Local: No local government costs 1. Increase Costs 3. Incre	ase Revenues 5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:						
1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Revenues 3. Types of Local Governmental Onlis Affects Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Towns Villages Cities							
	ease Revenues Counties Others						
Permissive Mandatory Permi							
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SE	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations 20.395(5)(cq); 20.395(4)(aq)						
GPR FED PRO PRS SECOND	5 20.585(5)(64), 20.585(4)(84)						
•							
This bill allows a vehicle owner in certain circum	stances to designate a beneficiary to receive the vehicle on . DOT must notify the beneficiary of designation and						
revocation. The owner must pay a fee equal to D	OT's cost of designation; the DOT may charge a fee to the						
beneficiary to cover cost of confirming the benef	iciary name.						
From DMV records, we know that about 66% of all vehicles are owned by one individual (not businesses). While we have no data on which to conclude how many unmarried eligible individuals might exercise this option, this estimate assumes half of the one individual owners are unmarried, and that about 2% of those individuals would be interested in beneficiary designation (since current law already allows naming any person as a co-owner, or disposing by will of a vehicle). Thus, about .67% of 1.2 million annual title transactions represent the anticipated volume: about 7,900 transactions annually. Of these, about 4,700 would be at the time of a vehicle transfer; about 3,200 transactions would be solely designation/revocation.							
Significant data processing system modifications would be required, regardless of how many people might exercise this option. DP changes must be made in both the current DP system and in DMV's redesigned system, to the title processing, inquiry, title print, financial, and audit subsystems, to add data elements and synchronize system performance. DP work would require about 450 days of effort at a cost of \$259,700. In addition, \$8,300 is required for office cost of new position and \$10,000 for public information about this new option (based on information costs of similar program changes). Total one-time cost is \$278,000.							
Processing title transactions and responding to inquiries requires .5 FTE permanent positions, at an annual cost of \$15,800. Cost to notify beneficiaries is \$4,600, for total annual cost of \$20,400.							
The bill requires owners to pay a fee that equals DOT's cost. Annual cost plus one-time cost amortized over 4 years (standard used in other DMV programs) is \$89,900. Revenue thus would also be \$89,900 annually. Of this revenue, \$1500 is expected from the beneficiary fee, and the remainder equates to a fee of \$11.17 per designation or revocation transaction.							
Long-Range Fiscal Implications: This estimate assumes DOT could actually undertake DP work. However, DOT's DP staff is extremely							
limited; and some enacted legislation cannot currently be implemented. This project would redirect staff committed to DP system redesign and other proect areas, resulting in further delay in those other areas.							
	thorized Signature / Telephone No.						
Carson P. Frazier/266-7857/Transportation	Joine a Czeskinski) 7/13/99						

Session ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED	I DD # 4540/4				Admin. Rule #			
CORRECTE		LRB # -1513/1					iiii. Kule i		
INTRODUCTION # SB 200 Subject									
	motor vehicle upon d	eath of the owner	to a beneficiary de	esign	ated in the certifica	ite of	title		
l. One-time \$278,000	Costs or Revenue Imp	oacts for State and	l/or Local Governme	ent (d	lo not include in an	nualiz	ed fisca	l effect):	
II. Annualiz	ed Costs:				Annualized Fiscal impact or			າ State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringes			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs					
		\$	15,800	\$	-				
(FTE	Position Changes)				(.5 FTE)		(-	FTE)	
State Operations - Other Costs			4,600		-				
Local	Assistance						-	<u>-</u>	
Aids t	o Individuals or Orga	nizations					-		
Т	OTAL State Costs by	Category		\$	20,400	\$	•	•	
B. State Co	sts by Source of Fu	nds			Increased Costs		Decreas	ed Costs	
GPR				\$		\$	-		
FED							-		
PRO/	PRS						-		
SEG/	SEG-S				20,400		-		
State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)			Increased Rev.		Decreas	sed Rev.			
GPR	Taxes			\$		\$	-		
GPR	Earned							Andrew Control of the	
FED							**		
PRO/	PRS						-		
SEG/	SEG-S				89,900		-		
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	89,900	\$ -					
		NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCAL IMF STATE	PAC	Γ .	<u>LO</u> (CAL		
NET CHANGE	IN COSTS	\$89,900(include 1-time			t)_				
NET CHANGE	IN REVENUES	\$89	9,900		\$ _				
	/ Phone # / Agency N zier/266-7857Transp		Authorized Signature	e/Tele	Phone No. 266-2233		Date 7/13/99)	