FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM				1999 Session						
•		LRB # -1	LRB # _1906/3							
⊠ ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED	INTRODU	INTRODUCTION # SB-228							
☐ CORRECTED	□ SUPPLEMENTA	AL Admin. Rule	Admin. Rule #							
Subject Town board approval of certain annexations and prohibition on town islands										
Fiscal Effect										
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill m or affects a sum sufficient ap		☑ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☑ No								
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Create New Appropriation	☐ Decrease Costs	☐ Decrease Costs								
Local: ☐ No local government of 1. ☒ Increase Costs	osts │3. □ Increas	se Revenues	5 Types of Loca	I Governmental I Inits Affected:						
☑ Permissive ☐ Mandate		missive Mandate	I	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: ☑ Towns ☑ Villages ☑ Cities						
2.	4. ☐ Decrea		i							
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandate	ory □ Peri	missive		☐ WTCS Districts						
⊠ GPR □ FED □ PRO	□PRS □ SEG		fected Ch. 20 Appropriation .505 (1)(a)	S						
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fisca	al Estimate:	•								
This bill places a number of limits and conditions on the authority of a city or village to annex town land. Under the bill, no annexation of certain land in the town may occur without the approval of the town board. Also under the bill, if a city or village annexes land that is adjacent to a town highway, the annexation must extend to the center of the highway. In addition, the bill limits the right of a municipality to extend sewer and water lines through the property of another municipality without that municipality's approval.										
The municipal boundary review (MBR) unit within DOA reviews annexation and incorporation petitions and cooperative plans as defined in Chapter 66, Wis. Stats.										
State Impact This bill would reduce annexations (by 15-20%) from towns where multiple cities and villages exist in close proximity to one another because of the redefinition and resulting prohibition on the creation of town islands. The bill would have less effect on annexation practices pursued by isolated cities and villages.										
Because the bill requires town approval before utilities, certain types of annexations, and other actions occur, MBR would see substantially more cooperative boundary agreement projects, which are more complex and time-consuming. MBR estimates that it will need 2 additional program and planning analysts to meet the increased workload. (\$9,200 one-time cost, \$104,500 annually for salary and fringe, \$31,500 annually for rent, phones, training, supplies, etc.)										
Local Impact This bill will have a major impact on villages and cities by increasing the amount of time spent working out problems with neighboring towns and by slowing annexation petitions.										
In addition, annexation petitioners will likely incur additional costs because they will have to include unwilling owners in the annexation so as not to create town islands.										
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:		\overline{A}								
Unknown										
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Tara Brunner/266-0016/Administr		Authorized Signature Charles McDowell	1/16/16/16/16/16/16/16/16/16/16/16/16/16	Date Sept 21, 1999						

	ATE WORKSHEET		nate of Annual Fiscal E	ffect					ession	
□ ORIGINAL □ UPDATED □ SUPPLEMENTAL □ SUPPLEM							Adm	in. Rule		
Subject INTRODUCTION # SB-2										
-	approval of certai	n annexations	and prohibition	on to	own is	lands				
	osts or Revenue Impostations, software and		or Local Governme	nt (do	not inc	lude in annua	alize	d fiscal e	ffect):	
II. Annualized Costs:					Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:					
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringes			Increased Costs				Decreased Costs			
			\$	104,	500	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)					(2.0 FTE)		(-	FTE)	
State Operations - Other Costs					31,10	00		-		
Local Assistance								om .		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations								-		
то	TOTAL State Costs by Category				135,6	300	\$	-		
B. State Cost	s by Source of Fun	ds			Increas	sed Costs		Decrease	ed Costs	
GPR				\$	135,6	300	\$	-		
FED								-		
PRO/P	RS							-		
SEG/S	EG-S						:	-		
State Reve	State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state				Increa	sed Rev.		Decreas	ed Rev.	
revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) GPR Taxes				\$			\$	-		
GPR E	arned			<u>.</u>				-		
FED		ngerine gang e		_				-		
PRO/P	PRO/PRS							-		
SEG/SEG-S								-		
TOTAL State Revenues				\$	0		\$	•		
		NET ANNUAL	LIZED FISCAL IMI STATE	PACT	•	-	LO	CAL		
NET CHANGE II	N COSTS	\$	135,600	Unkr			nkno	nown		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES		\$	0			\$	0	ı		
Prepared By: / Tara Brunner/26	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Charles McDowell/267-3836					Date Sept 21, 1999				