							(99-322	0/1) 199 9	Session	
							LRB or Bill No		No.	
	ORIGINAL UPDATED				TED	S.B. 231				
FISCALESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/98)		CORRECTED		SUPPI	LEMENTAL		Amendment N	o. if Applica	ble	
Subject Relating to: intradistrict transfer aid, bonding for construction of and capital improvements to schools in Milwaukee Public Schools and making an appropriation										
-	LII II.	LIWAUKEE PUL		DCIIO	ors and	making a	r aphrohi	Lacion		
Fiscal Effect										
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation										
or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.						ncy's Budget	-	□No		
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues										
Decrease Existing Appropriation		Decrease Exis	sung Kev	enues		Decrease C	Losts			
☐ Create New Appropriation Local: ☐ No local government costs										
1. Increase Costs					1	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:				
					datory	☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities				
2. Decrease Costs	Costs 4. Decrease Revenues					Counties	Others			
Permissive Mandato	гу	Permissi	ve	☐ Man		School Dist. 20 Appropria		CS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected			.a. a			65 (3) (6				
	PRS	SEG SE	G-S		3. 20.7	03 (3) (-,		***	
Assumptions Used in Arriving at 1	riscal	Estimate								
								•	4	
1. The Bill requests the Jo	1. The Bill requests the Joint Legislative Council (JLC) to study the special transfer program									
under subch. VI of Ch. 121		•	•	•	•	•	-	-		
recommendations to the Legislature by January 1, 2000.										
2 If the .II C accedes to th	e ren	uest, it is proi	ected	that :	a committ	tee consist	ing of 10 I	_egisla-		
If the JLC accedes to the request, it is projected that a committee consisting of 10 Legisla- tors and 12 public members would be appointed to conduct the study. The committee would										
meet a minimum of seven t										
kee metropolitan area. Put	olic m	embers, most	t of wh	nom v	would con	ne from the	e Milwauke	ee met-		
	ropolitan area, would incur reimbursable travel expenses in attending these meetings, which									
would be held predominately in Madison. Travel expenses of Legislator members, if any,										
would be absorbed by the Senate and Assembly.										
3. The study would require the research services of 2.0 staff members for 4 months, on an										
FTE basis, plus associated administrative services and supplies and services costs. It is										
anticipated that the Legislative Fiscal Bureau would participate and provide fiscal data										
required by the study.										
4. All costs associated with the study would be absorbed within existing budgets.										
5. The recommendations of the JLC, if the study is undertaken, would be ready to be reported to the 2001-02 Legislature no earlier than February 2001.										
, special to the 200 see 200 s										
Long-Range Fiscal Implications							,			
None known										
None known								•		
Annay (Drangers hya (Noma & Dhana Na		1 A	uthorize	d Signa	ture/Telephor	ne N/6.		Date		
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No Legislative Council State	ff		7	* A	\wedge	141	-	Sent	15, 1999	
David Stute/Pat Coakley		1 7	Tan	ul	11.1	(JULY		55pc.	,	

266-2984 266-0217

David J. State 266-2984

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET						1999 Session							
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect		☑ ORIGINAL	UPDATED	LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.				Amendment No.					
	4–2047 (R10/94)	CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	<u></u>	.B. 23								
	ect Relating to: intradistrict provements to schools in Milwa		id, bonding for Schols and mak					capital					
I.	One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for	State and/or Loc	al Government (do r	10t in	clude in	ı annualized	fisca	effect):					
	\$41,200												
II.	Annualized Costs:		Annual	ized Fiscal im	pact o	ct on State funds from:							
					Increas	sed Costs		Decreas	ed Costs				
A.	State Costs by Category			\$			\$	-					
	State Operations – Salaries and Fringes			<u> </u>									
	(FTE Position Changes)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(FTE)		(-	FTE)				
	State Operations – Other Costs				,			_					
	Local Assistance							-					
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations							_					
	TOTAL State Costs by Catego	ory		\$	0		\$	- >					
В.	State Costs by Source of Funds				Increas	sed Costs		Decrease	ed Costs				
	GPR			\$,	\$	-					
	FED												
	PRO/PRS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·							
	SEG/SEG-S							_ >					
III.	State Revenues - Complete this only who				Increa	sed Rev.		Decreas	ed Rev.				
	revenues (e.g., tax incre GPR Taxes	ease, decrease in ficen	se ree, etc.)	\$		·····	\$. —					
	GPR Earned							-	·				
	FED							_					
	PRO/PRS						_	. —					
	SEG/SEG-S		·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			_					
	TOTAL State Revenues		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	0		\$	_					
	NE NE	T ANNUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMP. STATE	ACT	•	LO	CAL						
NET	CHANCE IN COSTS	\$			\$								
NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$ NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$				-	\$_ \$_								
-	ncy/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	I C44-	Authorized Signature/T	elepho	one yo.	.10		Date	15 1000				
	gislative Council Staff/David		David I State	7/	<u>vų</u>	6 100%		sept.	15, 1999				