	1999 Session		1004				
710041 707111475	1333 Session		LRB Number				
FISCAL ESTIMATE	ODICINAL CONTRACTOR	. <u></u>	-3611/1				
DOA-2048 N(R06/99)	ORIGINAL UPDAT	EMENTAL	Bill Number				
	CORRECTED SOFFE	EMENIAL	SB 237				
Subject			Amendment No. if Applicable				
		j	i .				
Criminal Code Reclassi		Administrative Rule Number					
Fiscal Effect							
State: No State Fiscal Effect							
Check columns below only if bill makes a di	rect appropriation	☐ Increase	Costs - May be possible to Absorb				
or affects a sum sufficient appropriat	• • •	Within Ag	Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No				
	☐ Increase Existing Revenues						
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation	☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	,					
☐ Create New Appropriation		☐ Decrease	· Costs				
Local: No local government costs							
	3.	5. Types	of Local Governmental Units Affected:				
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissive ☐ Man		☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities				
	4. Decrease Revenues	Cour	. <u> </u>				
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissive ☐ Man		ool Districts  WTCS Districts				
Fund Sources Affected		Affected Chapter 20 App					
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ P	RS □ SEG □ SEG-S	20.680(2)(a					
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estim							
Assumptions used in Arriving at Fiscal Estim	ato .						
mbi - bill implements	the meanmendatio	ng of the Cri	minal				
This bill implements			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Penalties Study Commi		_					
	fies all felony o						
misdemeanor offenses,							
sentencing practices	and sunsets on 12	/31/2004 and	requires the				
commission to adopt a			1				
judges for felonies of							
Judges for reformes e	Online Cood on or dr						
	ha Dimestan of Ct	ata Courta of	fice will				
It is expected that t							
incur additional cost							
advisory sentencing g	uidelines require	d under this	bill.				
The Criminal Penaltie	s Study Committee	, in preparin	g its report,				
requested from the Of							
information and analy							
infolmation and analy	a suidelines for	The apalyei	s required				
		ine anarysi	s required				
established sentencing guidelines for. The analysis required two weeks of staff time. It is anticipated that the committee							
or the commission will also request similar information and							
analysis to compile guidelines on the remaining 484 crimes.							
Court Operations esti	mates that a proj	ect position	will be				
needed for three years to comply with those requests. It is							
expected that such a							
Long-Range Fiscal Implications							
See Narrative							
Prepared by:	Telephone No.		Agency				
Sheryl Gervasi	266-69	984	Director of				
			State Courts				
Authorized-Signature:	Telephone No.		Date 22/99				
Shew Servan			3,22,33				
KIREN HELLEN	_		1				

Salary	\$40,000
Fringes	12,700
Supplies	1,200
Total	\$53,900
One-time Costs	3,000

To complete the other nine guidelines the committee requested additional data from the Circuit Court Automation Program (CCAP). Based on the amount of additional contract programmer time that was needed it is estimated that a contract programmer would be needed for one year to finish guidelines for the remaining crimes. The cost would be approximately \$135,200 (\$65/hr.).

It should also be noted that the report assumes that three non-CCAP counties will be reporting data to CCAP so that statewide data will be available. Those three counties are Outagamie, Portage and Walworth. Their information systems would have to be changed to comply with the new reporting requirements. It is expected that this would take two to three weeks of county staff time at \$50/hr. to complete the changes.

It should be noted that with respect to the circuit courts the sentencing form that must be filled out by the court at the disposition of the case is longer than the previous form that was used several years ago. It is presumed for purposes of this fiscal note that most of the data required on the form would be supplied by the presentence investigators.

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications

In light of the fact that the Criminal Penalties Study Committee states that CCAP for many years will be the primary source of sentencing information for the courts and that the sentencing commission reports should have a different substantive theme each year it is expected that additional demands will be made on CCAP that are not included in this estimate. These requests could include such things as graphic output reports on data relating to sentence lengths, by geographical area, race or crime class and information on plead and proved penalty enhancers. Although it is impossible to predict the specifics of what additional resources CCAP will need until the new sentencing commission is functional, it is anticipated that CCAP will not be able to provide it with additional reports or data

while operating from its current funding and staffing base. Nor is it possible to estimate how long it will take or what additional resources will be required to link with other criminal justice informational systems that would facilitate such things as a common defendant identification number. Such a linkage is several years in the future.

FISC	AL ESTIMATE W	ORKSHEET					1999 Se	ssio	
Deta	niled Estimate of Annua 2047 (R06/99)				LRB Number Amendment No. if Applica				
		RIGINAL ORRECTED	UPDATED SUPPLEMENTAL		Bill Number SB 237		Administrative Rule Nun	nber	
Sub	oject	0111120120							
	-	de Reclassi	fication						
I.	One-time Costs o	Revenue im	pacts for State and/or Loca	l Gover	nment (do not inc	lude in a	nnualized fiscal effec	;t):	
			•						
	STATE - \$13				NTY - \$12,000 - \$				
II.	Annualized Costs	nnualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal im Increased Costs	ecreased Costs			
A.	State Costs by Ca	tegory			mereased obsis				
	State Operation	ns - Salaries a	ind Fringes	\$	52,700	\$	•		
(FTE Position Changes)				(1 FTE)		(- FTE)			
	State Operation	ns - Other Cos	sts		1,200		-		
	Local Assistar	се							
	Aids to Individ	uals or Organi	zations			-			
	TOTAL S	ate Costs by C	Category	\$	53,900	\$	<u> </u>		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			s ·		Increased Costs		ecreased Costs	•	
	GPR	· ·		\$	53,900	\$	<u> </u>		
	FED	V							
	PRO/PRS						-		
	SEG/SEG-S								
	State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will ind decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increadecrease in license fee, etc.)		revenues (e.g., tax increase,	Increased Rev.			Decreased Rev.		
	GPR Taxes	decrease in lice	1156 166, 616.)	\$		\$	-		
	GPR Earned						-		
	FED						-		
	PRO/PRS						-		
	SEG/SEG-S						-		
	TOTAL S	ate Revenues		\$		\$	-		
-			NET ANNUALIZED FI		IPACT		OCAL		
NET	CHANGE IN COSTS	3	STATE \$		5 <u>3,900</u> \$		LOCAL		
	CHANGE IN REVEN		\$		\$				
Prepared by: Telephon			e No. 8-266-6984		Agency Director State Courts				
Aut	horized Signature:	Ser	Teleph	one No.	- ,		Date 9/22/99		