FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM					1999 Session				
		LRB#	LRB #-3611/1						
☑ ORIGINAL	☐ UPDATED		INTRODUCTION # SB 237						
☐ CORRECTED	☐ SUPPLEMENTA	L Admin. F	Admin. Rule #						
Subject									
Criminal Penalties Study Comn	nittee legislative cha	anges to the cri	minal statu	ıtes					
Fiscal Effect									
State: ☐ No State Fiscal Effec				i -/					
Check columns below only if bill		Increase Costs - May b							
or affects a sum sufficient	Within Agency's Budge								
	(training costs can be abs	sorbed)							
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues				E Parrage Conta					
☐ Decrease Existing Appropria	☐ Decrease Costs								
☐ Create New Appropriation									
Local: No local government	1	se Revenues	-	5. Types of Local Gover	nmental Inits Affected:				
1. Increase Costs	T T T T T T T T T T		ndatory	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities					
☐ Permissive ☐ Mand 2. ☐ Decrease Costs	4. Decrea		luatory	☐ Counties ☐ Others ☐ Ot					
2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mand									
Fund Sources Affected	atory	<u> </u>		h. 20 Appropriations					
Na GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO	D □PRS □ SEG	☐ SEG-S							
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fis	scal Estimate:								
Department of Justice									
•									
Criminal Appeals: The departm	nent anticipates hea	ıvy appellate liti	gation of tl	he statutes and adminis	strative rules that				
will implement the Criminal Pen	alties Study Comm	ittee report. Lit	igation exp	oloring the parameters	of new statutory				
terms, testing the relationship of	of new statutory lang	guage to forme	statues a	nd case law, and fleshi	ng out the				
operational of new statutory pro	ocedures occurs wh	enever major s	tatutory ch	nanges are enacted. Ti	he department's				
appellate attorneys have litigate	ed those issues exte	ensively for Wis	consin's s	ex predator law, for exa	ample, which				
affected a much smaller number	er than the thousand	ds of individuals	subject to	truth-in-sentencing. A	Additional appellate				
attorneys were required to handle the sex predator litigation and the department has no additional capacity to staff									
truth-in-sentencing litigation. In additional to litigation, the department also anticipates that substantial amounts of									
attorney time will be required to train local prosecutors in connection with truth-in-sentencing implementation and									
assist local prosecutors handling	ng trial court truth-in	-sentencing cha	allenges.						
	4.14	a attarnav vill n	and to be	addad as wall as one li	agal secretary				
The department believes that a	it least one appellate	e audiney wiii i	ieed to be	added as well as one in	egai secretary.				
Local Government It is difficult to determine how this may affect prosecutors and the circuit court system. Those determinations are best									
left up to the State Courts and t	the State Prosecute	re offices. The	denartme	nt does however antic	inate that				
increased training conducted by		eary and heca	ise we hav	ve planned for this activ	vity we believe that				
the training conducted by	hed through existing	n resources	JOC WC HA	re planned for the doth	rity, tro bolloro tilat				
the training costs can be absorbed through existing resources.									
	,			•					
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:									
There may be long term fiscal effects that increase the amount of appeals and prisoner litigation but that									
determination is difficult to quantify at this time.									
	nov Nove	Authorized Cierre	turo / Toloni	hone No. 717 Kazal	Date				
Prepared By: / Phone # / Ager	ncy warne	Authorized Signa	Lure / Telepi	none No. 267-1933	Sept. 21, 1999				
JoAnna Richard/267-1932/DO	(Sama	M. KI	chard	ουρι. <i>ε</i> 1, 1000				
		(Comme	. C VC.						
		V							

	_	Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect								Admin. Rul		
Ĺ	ORIGINAL UPDATED LRB#-3611/1 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL INTERIOR # SP 237											
Subj	iect		INTRO	INTRODUCTION # SB 237								
,	,											
l.	One-time Costs or Reve	nue Impa	acts for Stat	e and/or Loca	d Government	t (do	not include	in annua	lizec	l fiscal e	ffect):	
II.	Annualized Costs:					Annualized Fiscal impact o				n State funds from Decreased Costs		
A.	State Costs by Categ State Operations -	ory Salaries	and Fringe	S		\$	116,305		\$	-		
	(FTE Position Cha	nges)					(2.0	FTE)		(-	F	
	State Operations -	Other C	osts							-		
	Local Assistance									-		
	Aids to Individuals	or Orgar	nizations							-		
	TOTAL State					\$	116,305		\$	-	-10	
В.	State Costs by Source	e of Fur	nds				Increased C	osts		Decrease	ed Cost	
	GPR					\$	116,305		\$	-		
	FED									-		
	PRO/PRS						·			-		
	SEG/SEG-S									-		
		olete this on lues (e.g., ta	ly when proposa ax increase, dec	al will increase or crease in license f	decrease state ee, etc.)	\$	Increased F	Rev.	\$	Decreas	sed Rev.	
	GPR Taxes					3			Ψ_			
	GPR Earned											
	FED									-		
	PRO/PRS									-		
	SEG/SEG-S									-		
	TOTAL State	Revenue	es			\$			\$	-		
			NET A	NNUALIZED	FISCAL IMP STATE	ACT	Γ		LO	CAL		
NE	T CHANGE IN COSTS			\$ 116,305	j		\$					
	T CHANGE IN REVENU			\$			\$			Dota		
Pr	repared By: / Phone # / Anna Richard/267-1932	Agency I	Name	⊢ Auth	orized Signatur	ertel	epņone No.	/	أممد	Date	4 400	