FIGURE FORWARD		LRB Number 99-3149/1											
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R06/99)		ORIGINAL CORRECTED		ATED PLEMENTAL		Bill Number SB 259							
Subject						Amendment No. if Applicable							
Consumer Privacy Act	•	Administrative Rule Number											
Fiscal Effect													
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes or affects a sum sufficient appro Increase Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation	s es	<ul> <li>☑ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb</li> <li>Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No</li> <li>☐ Decrease Costs</li> </ul>											
Local: No local government costs	- 1				F Times	of Legal Covernmental Unite Affected:							
Increase Costs     □ Permissive	3.	. ☐ Increase Rever ☐ Permissive		andatory		Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:     Towns							
2. Decrease Costs	4	. Decrease Reve		andutory	⊠ Coui								
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory		☐ Permissive		andatory	☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations													
	□PR:		EG-S	1									
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal E	stimat	te											
This bill creates a consumer privacy advocate in the department of justice to represent the consumer's interest in issues concerning consumer priacy. The advocate may intervene in civil actions and has the authority to appeal from administrative rulings to the courts.  It is impossible to predict the amount of consumer privacy litigation that will result for the circuit courts under this bill. In light of the fact that the department of administration is required to assist the advocate in investigations and reports and that other state agencies must cooperate with the advocate it is expected that much of this litigation will be filed in Dane County circuit court. It is impossible to predict the actual increase in costs or if existing court personnel will be able to absorb the additional workload. Additional litigation increases both state and county costs for judge, court reporter, staff and juror time.													
Long-Range Fiscal Implications													
Prepared by: Sheryl Gervasi	Telephone No. 608-266-6984			6984		Agency Director of State Courts							
Authorized Signature:	ar		one No.			Date 11/9/99							
o													

	ailed Estimate of Annua -2047 (R06/99)	al Fiscal Effect				LRB Nun 99-31			Amer	ndment No. if Applicable	
	<b>⊠</b> ○	RIGINAL ORRECTED	UPDATED SUPPLEMENT	AL		Bill Numl	er		Admi	inistrative Rule Number	
Sub	oject										
		Privacy Advoc									
I.	One-time Costs o	r Revenue Impa	acts for State and	or Local (	3overn	ment (do	not inclu	ıde in a	nnuali	zed fiscal effect):	
II.	. Annualized Costs:					Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:  Increased Costs  Decreased Costs					
A. State Costs by Category					IIICIEdseu Costs				ecicase	eu Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes				\$ -							
(FTE Position Changes)				( FTE) (- FTE)			FTE)				
State Operations - Other Costs									-		
	Local Assistar	ice							-		
	Aids to Individ	uals or Organiza	ations								
	TOTAL S	tate Costs by Ca	tegory		\$			\$	-		
В.	State Costs by So	ource of Funds			Ir	ncreased C	osts	D	ecrease	ed Costs	
	GPR	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$			\$	-		
	FED								-		
	PRO/PRS								_		
	SEG/SEG-S								-		
State Revenues  Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)					Increased Rev.				Decreas	ed Rev.	
	GPR Taxes				\$			\$	-		
	GPR Earned								•		
	FED								-		
	PRO/PRS								_		
	SEG/SEG-S								-		
	TOTAL S	tate Revenues			\$			\$	-		
			NET ANNUAL	IZED FISC	AL IMF	PACT					
				STATE				Ī	OCAL		
NET	CHANGE IN COSTS	5	\$		+ ind	eter.	\$		<u> </u>	+ indeter.	
NET	CHANGE IN REVEN	NUES	\$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$				
					ephone No. 608-266-6984				Agency Director of State Courts		
Authorized Signature: Telephon							Date 11/9/99				

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

1999 Session