#### 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

	Received:	01/7/99
--	-----------	---------

Wanted: As time permits

For: Jon Erpenbach

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

May Contact:

Subject:

Fin. Inst. - banking inst.

Received By: rmarchan

Identical to LRB:

By/Representing: Julie Laundrie

Drafter: rmarchan

Alt. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

**KSH** 

**Topic:** 

Limit ATM / automated teller machine fees

**Instructions:** 

See Attached

**Drafting History:** 

/1

Vers.

**Drafted** 

Reviewed

<u>Typed</u>

**Proofed** 

**Submitted** 

Jacketed

Required

lrb\_docadminState 02/2/99

rmarchan 01/25/99

jgeller 01/31/99 martykr 01/31/99

martykr

02/1/99

lrb\_docadmin 01/31/99 lrb\_docadmin

02/1/99

<END>

### SUBMITTAL FÓRM

# LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street

The attached draft is submitted for your inspection. Please check each part carefully, proofread each word, and sign on the appropriate line(s) below.

Date: 1/31/99	To: Senator Erpenbach
	Relating to LRB drafting number: LRB-1647
Topic Limit ATM / automated teller machine fees	
Subject(s) Fin. Inst banking inst.  1. JACKET the draft for introduction in the Senate  or the Assembly (checked)	ck only one). Only the requester under whose name the
drafting request is entered in the LRB's drafting	g records may authorize the draft to be submitted. Please
allow one day for the preparation of the require	d copies.
2. <b>REDRAFT.</b> See the changes indicated or attac	hed
A revised draft will be submitted for your appro	oval with changes incorporated.
3. Obtain FISCAL ESTIMATE NOW, prior to	introduction
If the analysis indicates that a fiscal estimate is	required because the proposal makes an appropriation or
increases or decreases existing appropriations o	r state or general local government fiscal liability or
revenues, you have the option to request the fisc	cal estimate prior to introduction. If you choose to
introduce the proposal without the fiscal estima	te, the fiscal estimate will be requested automatically upon
introduction. It takes about 10 days to obtain a f	iscal estimate. Requesting the fiscal estimate prior to
introduction retains your flexibility for possible	redrafting of the proposal.
If you have any questions regarding the above pro	cedures, please call 266-3561. If you have any questions
relating to the attached draft, please feel free to ca	ll me.

Robert J. Marchant, Legislative Attorney Telephone: (608) 261-4454

## 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill	
-	

Received: 01/7/99  Wanted: As time permits  For: Jon Erpenbach				Received By: rmarchan  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Julie Laundrie												
								This file	e may be show	n to any legislat	or: NO		Drafter: rmarchan			
								May Co	ontact:				Alt. Drafters:			
Subject: Fin. Inst banking inst.				Extra Copies:	KSH											
Topic:																
Limit A	TM / automate	ed teller machin	e fees													
Instruc	tions:															
See Atta	ached															
Draftin	ng History:															
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required									
/1	rmarchan 01/25/99	jgeller 01/31/99	martykr 01/31/99 martykr 02/1/99		lrb_docadmin 01/31/99 lrb_docadmin 02/1/99		State									
FE Sent	For:			<end></end>												

#### 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 01/7/99

Received By: rmarchan

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Jon Erpenbach

By/Representing: Julie Laundrie

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: rmarchan

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject:

Fin. Inst. - banking inst.

Extra Copies:

**KSH** 

Topic:

Limit ATM / automated teller machine fccs

**Instructions:** 

See Attached

**Drafting History:** 

Vers.

**Drafted** 

Reviewed

**Typed** 

Proofed

**Submitted** 

**Jacketed** 

Required

/?

rmarchan

FE Sent For:

<END>

1-7-99
And Julie Caundore, Sen. Emerbach's office
tel Julie Laundorse, Sen. Egpenbachs affre
1 Dans toalt to limit ATM tees.
Midel after federal Electronic Finds Transfer
Fees Act of 1997. HR 795 IH. Jelse
Wants draft to limit ATM fees.  Model after federal Electronic Funds Transfer Fees Act of 1987. HR 795 IH. Julie  all field Copy of this Act up IDM.

THIS SKARCH

THIS DOCUMENT

GO TO

Next Hit Prev Hit

Forward Back

New Se HomePage

Hit List

Best Sections Doc Contents

Holp

GPO's PDF version of this bill Congressional Record

References to this bill in the

Link to the Bill Summary & Status file. (2,607 bytes).

Download this bill.

Electronic Fund Transfer Fees Agt of 1997 (Introduced in the House)

HR 795 IH

105th CONGRESS

1st Session

H. R. 795

To amend the Electronic Fund Transfer Act to prohibit the imposition of certain additional fees on consumers in connection with any electronic fund transfer which is initiated by the consumer from an electronic terminal operated by a person other than the financial institution holding the consumer's account and which utilizes a national or regional communication network.

#### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENT

February 13, 199

Mr. SANDERS introduced the following bill: which was referred to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services

A BILL

To amend the Electronic Fund Transfer Act to prohibit the imposition of certain additional fees on consumers in connection with any electronic fund transfer which is initiated by the consumer from an electronic terminal operated by a person other than the financial institution holding the consumer's account and which utilizes a national or regional communication network.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress/assembled.

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the 'Electronic Fund Transfer Fees Act of 1997'.

#### SEC. 2. PROHIBITION ON CERTAIN FEES

The Electronic Fund Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. 1693 et seq.) is amended--

(1) by redesignating sections 918, 919, 920, and 921 as sections 919, 920, 921, and 922, respectively; and

(2) by inserting after section 917 the following new section:

#### `SEC. 918. FEES.

In the case of any electronic fund transfer which-

- `(1) is initiated by a consumer from an electronic terminal operated by a person other than the financial institution holding the account of the consumer; and
- `(2) utilizes a national or regional communication network to effect the transaction between such person and the financial institution holding the account of the consumer,

no fee may be imposed on the consumer with respect to such transaction by the person operating the electronic terminal at which the transfer is initiated.'.

THIS	SEARCH
Next	Hit
Prev	Hit
wit 1	List

THIS DOCUMENT

Back Best Sections Doc Contents GO TO New Search HomePage Help



1

2

#### State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1647/1

1999 BILL

AN ACT ...; relating to: automatic teller machine fees and requiring the exercises

SIET ale making authority.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, banks, credit unions, savings banks and savings and loan associations (financial institutions) are authorized to operate customer bank communications terminals, remote service units or remote terminals, commonly referred to as automatic teller machines (ATMs). Financial institutions are required to make their ATMs available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, upon request by another financial institution or by customers designated by a financial institution using the terminal, subject to joint rules established by the division of savings and loan, the division of banking and the office of credit unions in the department of financial institutions.

This bill requires these joint rules to prohibit a state, national, or federal financial institution from charging a person a fee for a transaction using an ATM, unless the transaction relates to or affects an account held by that person with that financial institution. Federal law may preempt these rules with regard to national and federal financial institutions.

For further information see the state fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**BILL** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECTION 1. 186.113 (15) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

186.113 (15) (a) Directly or indirectly, acquire, place and operate, or participate in the acquisition, placement and operation of, at locations other than its offices, remote terminals, in accordance with rules established by the office of credit unions. The rules shall provide that any remote terminal shall be available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, by any state or federal credit union which has its principal place of business in this state, by any other credit union obtaining the consent of a state or federal credit union which has its principal place of business in this state and is using the terminal and by all members designated by a credit union using the terminal. This subsection does not authorize a credit union which has its principal place of business outside the state to conduct business as a credit union in this state. The remote terminals also shall be available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, by any state or national bank, state or federal savings bank or state or federal savings and loan association, whose home office is located in this state, if the bank, savings bank or savings and loan association requests to share its use, subject to the joint rules established under s. 221.0303 (2). The joint rules under s. 221.0303 (2) shall prohibit a state or federal credit union from imposing a charge on a person for making a transaction at a remote terminal, unless the transaction relates to an account owned by the person and held by the state or federal credit union imposing the charge. The office of credit unions by order may authorize the installation and operation of a remote terminal in a mobile facility, after notice and hearing upon the proposed service stops of the mobile facility.

**SECTION 2.** 214.04 (21) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

214.04 (21) (b) The rules of the division shall provide that any remote service unit shall be available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, by any state or federal

BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

savings bank which has its principal place of business in this state, by any other state or federal savings bank obtaining the consent of a state or federal savings bank that has its principal place of business in this state and is using the terminal and by all customers designated by a savings bank using the unit. This paragraph does not authorize a savings bank which has its principal place of business outside this state to conduct business as a savings bank in this state. A remote service unit shall be available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, by any credit union, state or national bank or state or federal savings and loan association, whose home office is located in this state, if the credit union, bank or savings and loan association requests to share its use, subject to joint rules established by the division of banking, the office of credit unions and the division under s. 221.0303 (2). The joint rules under s. 221.0303 (2) shall prohibit a state or federal savings bank from imposing a charge on a person for making a transaction at a remote service unit, unless the transaction relates to an account owned by the person and held by the state or federal savings bank imposing the charge. The division by order may authorize the installation and operation of a remote service unit in a mobile facility, after notice and hearing upon the proposed service stops of the mobile facility.

SECTION 3. 215.13 (46) (a) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

215.13 (46) (a) 1. Directly or indirectly, acquire, place and operate, or participate in the acquisition, placement and operation of, at locations other than its home or branch offices, remote service units, in accordance with rules established by the division. Remote service units established in accordance with such rules are not subject to sub. (36), (39), (40) or (47) or s. 215.03 (8). The rules of the division shall provide that any such remote service unit shall be available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, by any state or federal savings and loan association which

SECTION 3

**BILL** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has its principal place of business in this state, by any other savings and loan association obtaining the consent of a state or federal savings and loan association which has its principal place of business in this state and is using the terminal and by all customers designated by a savings and loan association using the unit. This paragraph does not authorize a savings and loan association which has its principal place of business outside this state to conduct business as a savings and loan association in this state. The remote service units also shall be available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, by any credit union, state or national bank or state or federal savings bank, whose home office is located in this state, if the credit union, bank or savings bank requests to share its use, subject to the joint rules established under s. 221.0303 (2). The joint rules under s. 221.0303 (2) shall prohibit a state or federal savings and loan association from imposing a charge on a person for making a transaction at a remote service unit, unless the transaction relates to an account owned by the person and held by the state or federal savings and loan association imposing the charge. The division by order may authorize the installation and operation of a remote service unit in a mobile facility, after notice and hearing upon the proposed service stops of the mobile facility.

SECTION 4. 221.0303 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

221.0303 (2) OPERATION AND ACQUISITION OF CUSTOMER BANK COMMUNICATIONS TERMINALS. A bank may, directly or indirectly, acquire, place and operate, or participate in the acquisition, placement and operation of, at locations other than its main or branch offices, customer bank communications terminals, in accordance with rules established by the division. The rules of the division shall provide that any such customer bank communications terminal shall be available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, by any state or national bank and by all customers

**BILL** 

designated by a bank using the terminal. This subsection does not authorize a bank which has its principal place of business outside this state to conduct banking business in this state. The customer bank communications terminals also shall be available for use, on a nondiscriminatory basis, by any credit union, savings and loan association or savings bank, if the credit union, savings and loan association or savings bank requests to share its use, subject to rules jointly established by the division of banking, the office of credit unions and the division of savings and loan. The joint rules shall prohibit a state or national bank from imposing a charge on a person for making a transaction at a customer bank communications terminal, unless the transaction relates to or affects an account owned by the person and held by the state or national bank imposing the charge. The division by order may authorize the installation and operation of a customer bank communications terminal in a mobile facility, after notice and hearing upon the proposed service stops of the mobile facility.

#### SECTION 5. Effective date.

(1) This act takes effect on the first day of the 6th month beginning after publication.

(END)

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-1647/1dn RJM:/.:... WLj

1. This draft prohibits all state, national and federal financial institutions from charging an ATM fee under certain circumstances. However, it is unclear whether and to what extent the state may regulate the fees charged by national and federal financial institutions. For example, it is possible that the National Banking Act, at 12 USCO Sec. 24 (Seventh), would preempt the application of this bill to national banks. Currently, both the state of Connecticut and the state of Iowa are in litigation over the applicability of their ATM statutes to national banks. See Fleet Nat'l Bank v. Burke, 1998 Conn. Super. LEXIS 3554; Bank One v. Guttau, 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14830.

Mayof Met, there has been no binding court decision on the issue.

2. I have incorporated an effective date provision into this draft to give the regulators involved an opportunity to promulgate the required rules. The delayed effective date also gives financial institutions time to change the operation of their ATM's so that the financial institutions can comply with the law.

If you desire to further discuss these or other issues, please feel free to contact me. Also, please let me know if you want copies of the federal law or the cases that deal with these issues or if you would like an update on the status of the Fleet Nat'l Bank and Bank One cases.

Robert J. Marchant Legislative Attorney 261–4454

#### DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB–1647/1dn RJM:wlj&jlg:km

February 1, 1999

- 1. This draft prohibits all state, national and federal financial institutions from charging an ATM fee under certain circumstances. However, it is unclear whether and to what extent the state may regulate the fees charged by national and federal financial institutions. For example, it is possible that the National Banking Act, at 12 USC 24 (Seventh), would preempt the application of this bill to national banks. Currently, both the state of Connecticut and the state of Iowa are in litigation over the applicability of their ATM statutes to national banks. See *Fleet Nat'l Bank v. Burke*, 1998 Conn. Super. LEXIS 3554; *Bank One v. Guttau*, 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14830. Thus far, there has been no binding court decision on the issue.
- 2. I have incorporated an effective date provision into this draft to give the regulators involved an opportunity to promulgate the required rules. The delayed effective date also gives financial institutions time to change the operation of their ATMs so that the financial institutions can comply with the law.

If you desire to further discuss these or other issues, please feel free to contact me. Also, please let me know if you want copies of the federal law or the cases that deal with these issues or if you would like an update on the status of the *Fleet Nat'l Bank and Bank One* cases.

Robert J. Marchant Legislative Attorney 261–4454