					1999 Session
		√ ORIGINAL	□ UPDATED		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
		CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL		SB 414 99-4538/
	<b>ESTIMATE</b> 8 N(R10/94)	CONNECTED	SOLI ELMENTAL		Amendment No. if Applicable
Subject					
	city Well Approvals				
Fiscal Effec				1	
	k columns below only if bill makes a ects a sum sufficient appropriation.	direct appropriation		I —	flay be possible to Absorb
	Increase Existing Appropriation	Increase Existin	na Revenues	Within Agency's B	udget ∐ Yes ☑ No
	Decrease Existing Appropriation  Create New Appropriation	Decrease Existi	-	☐ Decrease Costs	
Local	: No local government costs				
1. 🔽 Incre	ease Costs	3. Increase Reve	nues	5. Types of Local Govern	nment Units Affected:
abla	Permissive Mandatory	Permissiv	e Mandatory	√ Towns	√ Villages  √ Cities
2. Deci	rease Costs	4. Decrease Rev	enues	Counties	WTCS Districts
	Permissive Mandatory	Permissiv	e Mandatory	School Districts	Others
Fund Source			<b>-</b>	eted Ch. 20 Appropriations	
	GPR  FED  PRO  s Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate	☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐	SEG-S 20.3	70 (4) (ma)	
a day (high- will adverse the public variety of the This bill proway that with or endanger resources.  FISCAL ES This bill with estimate of 2) assisting the	-capacity well withdrawal) without ely affect the water supply of a provide a provide that if the DNR finds that ill have an adverse effect on scarce red species, the DNR must disapped the capacity of the Department of the Depar	a proposed high-capacity re resources such as high prove the withdrawal or cent by an estimated \$188 ciated with 1) conducting rmit applicantswhose posed wells; 3) Department	partment of Natural Resumust disapprove the with well withdrawal for any-quality spawning areas condition its approval so 3,000 in salary and supply initial reviews and screen roposed wells were identificated to the six impacts of the six impacts.	ources (DNR). If the DNR adrawal or condition its appropriate of nonagricultural purpose was that the withdrawal will have ies related expenditures as ening of 120 high capacity tified as possibly adversely	tland types or habitat for threatened ave no adverse effect on scarce ssociated with 3.20 FTE. This well permit applications per year,
_ong-Range None.	Fiscal Implications				
TOILC.					
Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phor	ne No. Date
NR	Ine Polasek	(608) 266-2794	MA	1/ Marie (608	02/28/2000

## **FISCAL ESTIMATE**

DOA-2048 N(R10/94)

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

<u>Initial Review and Screening</u>: Based on prior years' experience with high capacity well approvals, the Department estimates that there will be approximately 120 non-agricultural high capacity well applications submitted to the DNR per year. In order to implement the requirements of this bill the Department estimates that water supply engineers will have to spend an additional eight hours above the existing review time on each of these 120 applications. This additional time will be used to review and screen the applications to determine which wells may adversely affect scarce resources.

 $120 \times 8 \text{ hrs/ application} = 960 \text{ hours}$ 

960 hours x \$27.521/hour (Env. Engineer Advance) = \$26,420 + \$9,933 (37.60% fringe benefits) + \$2,500 supplies and services = \$38,900.

Impact Assessment: Following the initial reviews and screening, the Department estimates that approximately six applications per year would be identified as possibly adversely affecting scarce resources. The estimate of six applications per year is based on the number of wells identified this year--without official screening--as potentially impacting navigable waters (four), plus 50% to account for the additional applications identified through screening. Once this identification has been made, a detailed assessment of the possible impacts of the well would be required. The Department assumes that, based on an estimate given to *Perrier* by the U.S. Geological Survey plus an additional 30% to cover the additional cost for assessing habitats, this assessment would cost approximately \$91,000 and take about 12 months to complete. The Department assumes that it can require that the cost of the study be borne by the applicant. The Department estimates that the annual cost to applicants for these studies would be \$546,000.

DNR staff would be involved during development of the study by providing assistance and guidelines to the applicant and responding to questions from the applicant, its consultant, and other interested parties. Because technical studies of this type are not common and consultants will likely have many questions, the Department estimates that DNR staff involvement during the study development would require approximately 200 hours of an advanced hydrogeologist's time per study.

200 hours x 6 applications = 1200 hours

 $1200 \text{ hours } \times \$20.220/\text{hour}(\text{Hydrogeologist Advance}) = \$24,264 + \$9,123 (37.60\% \text{ fringe benefits}) + \$3,100 \text{ supplies and services} = \$36,500.$ 

Note, the Department's estimate of six high capacity well permit applications per year requiring a detailed study assumes that a quantitative standard for screening applications can be developed through DNR administrative rules. That is, the Department assumes that it will be able to set--by administrative rule--a minimum threshold for an adverse effect on scarce resources. If the Department is not able to do so, the time and cost estimates in this fiscal note will increase considerably.

Review of Impact Assessment: Following completion and submittal of the above study, the Department estimates that approximately 400 hours of DNR staff time would be required to review the study, recommend an action (approval or disapproval) and any required conditions of an approval, and provide the public with an opportunity to comment on the action. This estimated time is based on the amount of time currently spent by Department staff conducting a completeness review of a landfill feasibility study, which is a comparably complex study.

400 hours x 6 applications = 2,400 hours

 $2,400 \text{ hours } \times \$20.220/\text{hour}(\text{Hydrogeologist Advance}) = \$48,528 + \$18,247 (37.60\% \text{ fringe benefits}) + \$6200 \text{ supplies and services} = \$73,000.$ 

Follow-up Review: Follow-up review is required to assess the actual impacts of the high capacity wells that were identified as possibly impacting scarce resources, and that were permitted--or conditionally permitted--by the Department. This follow-up review would involve reviewing monitoring data, reporting on impacts and preparing summary documents for the Legislature and other interested parties. The Department estimates that approximately 650 hours of staff time the first year and 1300 hours per year thereafter would be required for follow-up review of these potentially impacting high capacity wells. This assumes that the impact of a well would stabilize within two years and no longer require follow-up.

 $1,300 \times 20.220$ /hour (Hydrogeologist Advance) = 26,286 + 9,884 (37.60%) fringe benefits) + 3400 supplies and services = 39,600.

The Department assumes that this bill would increase costs to local government units only if those units were the permit applicant.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET			_		1999 Session					
etailed Estimate of Anr OA-2047 (R10/94)	nual Fiscal Effect	<ul><li>✓ ORIGINAL</li><li>☐ CORRECTED</li></ul>	UPDAT SUPPL		LRB or Bill SB 414		. Rule No. - 4538/1	Amendment No.		
ubject	_									
igh Capacity Well Appro One-Time Costs of		s for State and/or Lo	ocal Governn	nent (do n	ot include	e in ann	ualized fiscal	effect):		
	•			•				•		
	Annualized Costs:					Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:				
. State Costs by C	ategory			Inc	reased Co	osts	Dec	creased Costs		
State Operation	ns - Salaries and Fr	inges			\$172,8	300				
(FTE Position C	Changes)			: 	3.20					
State Operation	ns - Other Costs				\$15,2	200				
Local Assistanc	e .									
Aids to Individu	als or Organization	s								
TOTAL State Costs by Category					\$188,0					
. State Costs by S	ource of Funds			Inc	reased Co	osts	Dec	creased Costs		
GPR					\$188,0	)00				
FED					-					
PRO/PRS										
SEG/SEG-S		10.0								
. State Revenues:		en proposal will increase or c ease, decrease in license fe		Inc	creased R	ev.	De	creased Rev.		
GPR Taxes										
GPR Earned										
FED										
PRO/PRS										
SEG/SEG-S										
TOTAL S	tate Revenues									
		NET AN	INUALIZED IN	ИРАСТ						
			<u>STATE</u>			<u>L</u>	OCAL			
ET CHANGE IN COST	S .		\$188,0	)00						
		•			_					
ET CHANGE IN REVE	NUES							<u>. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>		
ency Prepared By		Phone No.	AuthorizedSign	nature //	P	Pr	one No.	Date		
I .		ĺ	111/1	n 1/ L	<i>y</i>	1		I		