FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM			199	9 Session	
	·	LRB# 99-4094			
☐ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED		INTRODUCTION	I# SB 460		
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEME	NTAL	Admin. Rule #			
Subject					
Expand Sales and Use Tax Fiscal Effect	Exemption for	r Equipment Used	for Recycling Activities	3	
State: No State Fiscal Effect					
Check columns below only if bill sum sufficient appropriation	ropriation or affects a				
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues					
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues					
☐ Create New Appropriation			☐ Decrease Costs		
Local: No Local Government Costs					
1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Revenues			5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:		
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory		☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities		
2. Decrease Costs	4. 🛛 Decrease	Revenues 🛛 Counties 🖾 Others		SWPBPD	
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permis	ssive 🏻 Mandatory	☐ School Districts ☐ V	VTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected		Affected Ch. 20	Appropriations	• •	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐	☐ SEG ☐ SEG-	s	# ; \$		
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Es	stimate:		, W		
subject to the sales and use tax. The intent of this bill is to exempt licensed motor vehicles used for collecting and transporting waste and for recycling activities. However, as currently written this proposal would provide a much broader exemption than the authors intend. The types of equipment that would be exempt under this proposal include, but are not limited to, all bins, dumpsters, compactors, bailers, garbage trucks, collection vehicles, and other equipment for both personal and business use that are involved in the collection or transportation of waste and recylcables. Data on the sales for the type of equipment used for recycling or solid waste transportation and collection that would be exempt from the sales and use tax by this proposal are unavailable. According to a 1997 national survey conducted by <i>Environmental Business Journal</i> , the value of all waste management and recycling equipment sold in the United States was an estimated \$12.2 billion in 1997. Adjusting for inflation and using Wisconsin's share of the total U.S. population, the sales of such equipment in Wisconsin would be an estimated \$240.6 million (\$12.2 billion x 1.038 x .019) annually. Assuming that one-third to one-half of such sales would become exempt, the revenue loss associated with this proposal as currently written would be \$4.0 million (\$240.6 million x .33 x .05) to \$6.0 million (\$240.6 million x .5 x .05) annually. The revenue loss associated with sales of bins, garbage cans, garbage compactors and other equipment to households is unknown.					
percentage continues to apply, corecycling equipment would declin would be an additional revenue le	ounty and sta ne by \$250,00	dium sales tax rev 0 (\$4 million x .06	renues on sales of was 24) to \$375,000 (\$6 mi	ite management and	
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Author	ized Signature/Telepho	ne No.	Date	
	'	_	·		
Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Yeang-	Eng Braun	R.R.	3/20/00	

(608) 266-2700

John T. Stott, (608) 266-9706

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	TIMATE WORKSHEET Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect		
☑ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED	LRB # 99-4094/3		Admin. Rule #
CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	INTRODUCTION # SB	460	
Subject Expand Sales and Use Tax Exempti	on for Equipment Used for I	Recycling Activities	
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and	or Local Government (do not includ	de in annualized fiscal eff	ect):
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:		
A. State Costs by Category		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs			
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$ -
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR		\$	\$ - See Text
FED			
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S		į	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when propose revenues (e.g., tax increase, de	sal will increase or decrease state crease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			•
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$ - See Text
	TO ALL MADAGE		
N	ET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT STATE		LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ STATE		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ See Text	\$ See Text	
THE CHARGE MANAGEMENT			
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone	e No.	Date
Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Yeang-Eng Braun	3/20/00	
John T. Stott, (608) 266-9706	(608) 266-2700	ng thy Brain	