

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 02/02/2000

Received By: **shoveme**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Brian Burke (608) 266-8535**

By/Representing: **Dave Ciesliewicz**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **shoveme**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Munis - tax incrmntal financing**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Limiting the uses of tax incremental financing

**Instructions:**

Companion to AB 559, but also do this: Page 2, line 6: Delete the sentence beginning w/ "Property" and ends on line 9.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	shoveme 02/04/2000	jgeller 02/04/2000		_____			S&L
/1			martykr 02/07/2000	_____	lrb_docadmin 02/07/2000	lrb_docadmin 03/07/2000	

FE Sent For:

12/14  
3/9/00

<END>

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 02/02/2000

Received By: **shoveme**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Brian Burke (608) 266-8535**

By/Representing: **Dave Ciesiewicz**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **shoveme**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Munis - tax incrmntal financing**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Limiting the uses of tax incremental financing

**Instructions:**

Companion to AB 559, but also do this: Page 2, line 6: Delete the sentence beginning w/ "Property" and ends on line 9.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	shoveme 02/04/2000	ygeller 02/04/2000		_____			S&L
/1			martykr 02/07/2000	_____	lrb_docadmin 02/07/2000		

FE Sent For:

<END>

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 02/02/2000

Received By: **shoveme**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Brian Burke (608) 266-8535**

By/Representing: **Dave Ciesliewicz**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **shoveme**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Munis - tax incrmntal financing**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Limiting the uses of tax incremental financing

**Instructions:**

Companion to AB 559, but also do this: Page 2, line 6: Delete the sentence beginning w/ "Property" and ends on line 9.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1? 11/2/9/00	shoveme	1 2/3: LA Jmz	Jmz	Jmz			

FE Sent For:

<END>

-4468/1  
LRB-2292/1  
MES:jlghmb

AMNR

**1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 559**

D-Not

October 25, 1999 - Introduced by Representatives ~~BOCK, KLUSMAN, MILLER, GOETSCH, STASKUNAS, OWENS, RICHARDS, URBAN, BERCEAU, POCAN, RILEY and BLACK~~, cosponsored by Senators ~~BURKE, ROSENZWEIG and RISSER~~. Referred to Committee on Ways and Means.

repeal

- 1 AN ACT to repeal 66.46 (4) (gm) 5.; to amend 66.46 (4) (gm) 1., 66.46 (4) (gm) 4.
- 2 a. and 66.46 (4) (gm) 4. bm.; and to create 66.46 (4) (gm) 4. d. of the statutes;
- 3 relating to: limiting the uses of tax incremental financing.

**Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau**

Under the current tax incremental financing (TIF) program, a city or village may create a tax incremental district (TID) in part of its territory to foster development if at least 50% of the area to be included in the TID is blighted, in need of rehabilitation or suitable for industrial sites. Before a city or village may create a TID, several steps and plans are required. These steps and plans include public hearings on the proposed TID, preparation and adoption by the local planning commission of a proposed project plan for the TID, approval of the proposed project plan by the common council or village board and creation by the city or village of a joint review board to review the proposal. The joint review board, which is made up of representatives of the overlying taxing jurisdictions of the proposed TID, must approve the project plan or the TID may not be created. If an existing TID project plan is amended by a planning commission, these steps are also required.

INS  
ANL

Also under current law, once a TID has been created, the department of revenue (DOR) calculates the "tax increment base value" of the TID, which is the equalized value of all taxable property within the TID at the time of its creation. If the development in the TID increases the value of the property in the TID above the base value, a "value increment" is created. That portion of taxes collected on the value increment in excess of the base value is called a "tax increment". The tax increment

**ASSEMBLY BILL 559**

is placed in a special fund that may only be used to pay back the costs of the TID. The costs of a TID, which are initially incurred by the creating city or village, include public works such as sewers, streets and lighting systems; financing costs; site preparation costs; and professional service costs. DOR authorizes the allocation of the tax increments until the TID terminates or 23 years, or 27 years in certain cases, after the TID is created, whichever is sooner. TIDs are required to terminate, under current law and with one exception, once these costs are paid back, 16 years, or 20 years in certain cases, after the last expenditure identified in the project plan is made or when the creating city or village dissolves the TID, whichever occurs first.

This bill limits the use tax incremental financing to areas that are blighted or in need of rehabilitation and requires the city or village to adopt a finding that no part of the district includes an area identified as agricultural or forest land.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

1           SECTION 1. 66.46 (4) (gm) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

2           66.46 (4) (gm) 1. Describes the boundaries, which may, but need not, be the  
3 same as those recommended by the planning commission, of a tax incremental  
4 district with sufficient definiteness to identify with ordinary and reasonable  
5 certainty the territory included therein. The boundaries shall include only those  
6 whole units of property as are assessed for general property tax purposes. <sup>✓</sup>Property  
7 ~~standing vacant for an entire 7-year period immediately preceding adoption of the~~  
8 ~~resolution creating a tax incremental district may not comprise more than 25% of the~~  
9 ~~area in the tax incremental district, unless the tax incremental district is suitable~~  
10 ~~for industrial sites under subd. 4. a. and the local legislative body implements an~~  
11 ~~approved project plan to promote industrial development within the meaning of s.~~  
12 ~~66.52. <sup>✓</sup>In this subdivision, "vacant property" includes property where the fair market~~  
13 ~~value or replacement cost value of structural improvements on the parcel is less than~~  
14 ~~the fair market value of the land. In this subdivision, "vacant property" does not~~

**ASSEMBLY BILL 559**

1 ~~include property acquired by the local legislative body under ch. 92 or property~~  
2 ~~included within the abandoned Park East freeway corridor or the abandoned Park~~  
3 ~~West freeway corridor in Milwaukee county.~~

4 **SECTION 2.** 66.46 (4) (gm) 4. a. <sup>X</sup> of the statutes is amended to read:

5 66.46 (4) (gm) 4. a. Not less than 50%, by area, of the real property within such  
6 district is at least one of the following: a blighted area; or in need of rehabilitation  
7 or conservation work, as defined in s. 66.435 (2m) (b); ~~or suitable for industrial sites~~  
8 ~~within the meaning of s. 66.52 and has been zoned for industrial use; and~~

9 **SECTION 3.** 66.46 (4) (gm) 4. bm. <sup>X</sup> of the statutes is amended to read:

10 66.46 (4) (gm) 4. bm. The project costs relate directly to eliminating blight, or  
11 directly serve to rehabilitate or conserve the area ~~or directly serve to promote~~  
12 ~~industrial development~~, consistent with the purpose for which the tax incremental  
13 district is created under subd. 4. a.; and

14 **SECTION 4.** 66.46 (4) (gm) 4. d. <sup>X</sup> of the statutes is created to read:

15 66.46 (4) (gm) 4. d. No part of the district includes an area identified as  
16 agricultural or forest land.

17 **SECTION 5.** 66.46 (4) (gm) 5. <sup>X</sup> of the statutes is repealed.

18 **SECTION 6. Initial applicability.**

19 (1) This act first applies to a tax incremental financing district that is created,  
20 or whose project plan is amended, on the effective date of this subsection.

21 (END)

*D-Note*

1999-2000 DRAFTING INSERT  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-4468/?ins  
MES.....

FNS AML

seven

# Currently, unless the TID is suitable for certain industrial sites, property standing vacant for an entire ~~7~~-year period immediately preceding the adoption of the resolution that creates the TID may not make up more than 25% of the area in the TID. The bill eliminates this provision.

**DRAFTER'S NOTE  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

- 4468/1dn  
LRB 1276/1dn

MES...:...

JG

I deleted the last 2 sentences in s. 66.46 (4) (gm) 1. in addition to the material on lines 6 to 9 of page 2 which you asked to have deleted because those 2 sentences describe what "vacant property" does and does not include. The sentence that you *did* ask to have deleted contains the only use of the "[p]roperty standing vacant", so there is no reason for the statute to continue to describe what does and does not constitute "vacant property." *Consequently, the analysis for this bill differs from the analysis to AB-559.*

*plain phrase*

*slightly*

Marc E. Shovers  
Senior Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266-0129  
E-mail: Marc.Shovers@legis.state.wi.us



**DRAFTER'S NOTE  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-4468/1dn  
MES:jlg:km

February 7, 2000

I deleted the last 2 sentences in s. 66.46(4)(gm) 1. in addition to the material on lines 6 to 9 of page 2 which you asked to have deleted because those 2 sentences describe what "vacant property" does and does not include. The sentence that you *did* ask to have deleted contains the only use of the phrase "[p]roperty standing vacant", so there is no reason for the statute to continue to describe what does and does not constitute "vacant property." Consequently, the analysis for this bill differs slightly from the analysis to AB-559.

Marc E. Shovers  
Senior Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266-0129  
E-mail: Marc.Shovers@legis.state.wi.us

**Barman, Mike**

---

**From:** Shovers, Marc  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 07, 2000 9:48 AM  
**To:** Barman, Mike  
**Subject:** 1999 LRB -4468/1

Hi Mike:

Barry from Sen. Burke's office just called and asked that we jacket and send over to them -4468/1. Could you take care of this, please? Thanks.

Marc E. Shovers

Senior Legislative Attorney  
Legislative Reference Bureau  
Phone: (608) 266-0129  
Fax: (608) 264-8522  
e-mail: marc.shovers@legis.state.wi.us