FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM			70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7	1999 Session	
		LRB#-4	4234/3		
⊠ ORIGINAL [UPDATED ·	INTROD	UCTION :	# SB-468	
☐ CORRECTED [☐ SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rul	le #		
Subject					
Comprehensive plan requirements and the timing of transportation planning grants					
Fiscal Effect					
State: ☑ No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation			l m	Conto Marcha massible to Alexado	
or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.			I	crease Costs - May be possible to Absorb ithin Agency's Budget □ Yes □ No	
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation	propriation				
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation	☐ Decrease Existing Revenues		D	ecrease Costs	
☐ Create New Appropriation					
LOCAI: □ No local government costs 1. □ Increase Costs 3. □ Increase Revenues 1. 5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:					
1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory		l l	Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: wns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities	
2. Decrease Costs	4. □ Decrease R			unties	
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissi	ve ☐ Mandat	tory □ Sc	hool Districts	
Fund Sources Affected			ffected Ch. 20 A	ppropriations	
		SEG-S			
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:					
This bill limits the number of local units of governments that must enact traditional neighborhood ordinances and conservation subdivision ordinances. Because the bill eliminates some mandates, it may reduce local					
government costs but the fiscal effect will be minimal.					
The bill also changes the transportation grants appropriation within the Department of Administration from annual to biennial. There is no fiscal impact on DOA.					
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:					
Unknown					
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Na	me Auth	oyized Signature	Telephone No	Date	
Tara Brunner/266-0016/Administration	on Cha	des McDawel	(16)-3836	March 27, 2000	