1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB479)

Received: 03/27/2000 Wanted: Today For: Alice Clausing (608) 266-7745					Received By: traderc Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Bill Wenzel											
									This file	e may be shown	to any legislate	or: NO		Drafter: traderc		
									May Co	ontact:				Alt. Drafters:		
Subject:	Agricul	ture - pesticide	es		Extra Copies:											
Pre To	pic:															
No spec	ific pre topic gi	ven														
Topic:																
Changes	s concerning tra	ining and certif	fication													
Instruc	tions:			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,												
See Atta	ached															
Draftin	g History:	-														
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	Jacketed	Required									
/?	traderc 03/27/2000	jgeller 03/27/2000														
/1			jfrantze 03/27/200	0	lrb_docadmin 03/27/2000	lrb_docadm 03/27/2000										
FE Sent	For:			∠FND>												

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate	Amendment	(SA-SB4'	79)
--------	-----------	----------	-----

Received: 03/27/2000

Received By: traderc

Wanted: Today

Identical to LRB:

For: Alice Clausing (608) 266-7745

By/Representing: Bill Wenzel

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: traderc

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject:

Agriculture - pesticides

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Changes concerning training and certification

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

eviewed

vned P

roofed Sul

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

traderc

FE Sent For:

<END>

Tradewell, Becky

From:

Wenzel, Bill

Sent:

Friday, March 24, 2000 3:50 PM

To:

Tradewell, Becky

Subject:

Amendments to SB 479 (LRB 3123)

Becky:

Here are the amendments that we are considering to our Healthy Schools bill (SB 479)

1. UW-EX sent over the following request which I will pass along verbatim:



Amendments.032300...

- 2. Require that only <u>active</u> teachers would have to be *notified* in advance of pesticide applications. There was concern that if construed literally it would require notice of teachers on leave.
- 3. Exempt school districts who do <u>not</u> use pesticides from the quarterly reporting requirements *provided* that the school districts certify that they will not use pesticides in the IPM plan. Reporting would be required if they begin to use pesticides.

Let me know what you think about these.

Thanks, Bill

See (2)(dm)

To better clarify and distinguish the two UW-Extension programs in the Healthy Schools Bill, Karen and I propose the following wording for sub. (5), Certification. Lines 16-18 on page 3 refers to sub. (5) so I think we only need to make changes in sub. (5). We feel our wording clearly distinguishes the two UW programs and what people have to do to comply, i.e., school staff will need to complete both types of training if they intend to apply pesticides in, or around, schools. Our wording reinforces what we believe is the intent of the bill after our visit w/ you this morning. By mandating IPM training, however, individuals already certified as commercial pesticide applicators under the PAT program in Structural Pest Control and/or Turf and Landscape would also require additional training under the School IPM program if they intend to apply pesticides in or around schools. Doing so puts everyone, school staff and commercial applicators, on an equal and fair playing field when it comes to using pesticides in a highly public setting.

(5) Training and Certification. Any person involved in pest management in or around schools must have completed training in the school integrated pest management program that addresses alternative IPM practices and discusses the use of the least toxic pesticide available that is effective when the use of a pesticide is determined to be necessary is a school or on school grounds, based on the list under sub. (7) (b). After completing the school IPM training, and before a person can apply pesticides in a school or on school grounds, the department shall certify persons in the appropriate certification category as specified in ATCP 29.31.

1999 Date (ti	A	LRB a 2068 / 1
AMENDMENT		Ret: jlg:
See form AMENDMENTS -	- COMPONENTS & ITEM	S.
	S A AMENDMEN	
		(LRBa
TO 1999 SB SJR S	SR AB AJR AR $\frac{4}{2}$	19 (LRBs
At the locations indicated, ϵ		as follows:
#. Page . 3., line 1.7.: and ending with	delete the material h "(5)" on line	I beginning with "have" 18 and substitute (#)
#. Page, line	" are certified use categories	In the applicable pesticide under s. 94.705%
#. Page . 3., line . 5:	after that line in	set:
ext: "(am) Before	proposing a pat	makagement plan under
par. (a), obta	in training und	er 5. 36.25(43) for at
#. Page, line:	least one mem	four of the school board
or so	chool district im	playe who will be involved
#. Page, line	developing the p	playe who will be involved est management plan."

[rev: 6/2/98 1999DF04(fm)]

Page 6, line 6: delete lines 6 to 9.
(End)
D-note

RIT:
Bill Wenzeli
PAs we discussed, the language in this draft varies
from the language that your provided time. This
draft requires porsons applying pesticides in schools and
on shool grounds to be certified under DATCP's current
on shool grounds to be certified under DATCE's current splicator s. 94.705 pesticide/certification program. It does not require
any special training about ocher pesticiles in
or around schools for the individual applicators.
ACIO decimento the one abready individual of commercial
applications As we discussed, it would not really wert
to require, training about perticule application in schools
he fore individuals become contitred under 5.94.705 because
many individuals are already contitied under 5. 94.705.
IP This draft also requires each school district

to have at least one individual who will be involved

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa2068/1dn RCT:jlg:jf

March 27, 2000

Bill Wenzel:

As we discussed, the language in this draft varies from the language that you provided to me. This draft requires persons applying pesticides in schools and on school grounds to be certified under DATCP's current pesticide applicator certification program, s. 94.705. It does not require any special training about applying pesticides in or around schools for the individual applicators. As we discussed, it would not really work to require some new training about pesticide application in schools before individuals become certified under s. 94.705 because many individuals are already certified under s. 94.705.

This draft also requires each school district to have at least one individual who will be involved in developing the pest management plan receive the school pest management training provided by the UW-Extension.

Rebecca C. Tradewell Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7290

E-mail: Becky.Tradewell@legis.state.wi.us