## 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

-	*	٦
к	1	ı
12		u

Received: 12/21/98					Received By: nilsepe  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Wong  Drafter: nilsepe  Alt. Drafters:  Extra Copies: ISR										
Wanted: As time permits  For: Administration-Budget  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO  May Contact:  Subject: Environment - recycling															
				Topic:											
				DOA:					Wong - Vol	ume-based fees	for effective	recycling pro	ogram		
				Instruc					tions:						
				See Atta					ached						
Draftin	g History:														
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required								
/?	nilsepe 12/21/98	jgeller 12/21/98					S&L								
/P1			jfrantze 12/22/98		lrb_docadmin 12/22/98										
FE Sent	For:			<end></end>											

### 1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/21/98

Received By: nilsepe

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Wong

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: nilsepe

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject:

**Environment - recycling** 

Extra Copies:

**ISR** 

Topic:

DOA:.....Wong - Volume-based fees for effective recycling program

**Instructions:** 

See Attached

**Drafting History:** 

Vers.

**Drafted** 

Reviewed

Typed

Proofed

**Submitted** 

<u>Jacketed</u>

Required

/? nilsepe

FE Sent For:

<END>

- 1. No person may make in any application, record, report, plan or other documents submitted to the department, any statement, representation or certification known to that person to be false or misleading.
- 2. Any person who violates this sub (1) shall be fined not less than \$10 and no more than \$10,000.
- 3. Any monetary compensation paid for violations under sub (1) will be deposited into the Dry Cleaner Environmental Fund.

#### Waste Management - DNR

- 1. Landfill Siting Committee Membership (See Attachment C)
  - > Amend landfill siting law to state that a host community is entitled to appoint a majority of members on any siting committee regardless of how it is formed. As such, the town, city or village in which the landfill is proposed to be located may appoint 4 members or 2 more members than the total number of members on the committee, whichever is greater.

## Recycling - Hong

75K

- 1. Repeal Recycling Market Development Board Grant starting FY 2000.
- 2. For municipalities who have less than 33% of eligible expenses in determining their recycling grant award, provide a grant that equals to 33% of eligible expenses or \$8 per capita, whichever is less. (Reference: s287.23 (5)(c)(5))
- 71-1425 3. Repeal current law that requires responsible units to implement a system of volume-based fee by January 1, 2000. (Reference: 287.23(5s)(b))
  - 4. Change the sunset date for grant awards from year 2000 to year 2001. (Reference 287.23 (7))

## **Brownfields Study Group**

### Incentives for Local Government

- 1. Allow Transfer of Tax Delinquent Brownfields Properties to Cities (Reference: LRB 1005)
  - Modify our previous request to require a county, after receiving a written request from the affected municipality, to either take a tax deed and ownership to a property that is subject to a tax certificate or transfer ownership of that property to the requested municipality with no consideration.
- 2. Expand Protections for Local Units of Government that Involuntarily Acquire Contaminated Property
  - Expand municipality liability exemptions to properties acquired with stewardship funds. Municipalities would be required to enter into a negotiated agreement with DNR to ensure all cleanup conditions are met.

√997-\1998\LEGISLATURE

PEN: 1400 | PEN: 1

## -1997 ASSEMBLY BILL 613

DOA: Worg: 1529 2000-01 Bidget 5:11

November 19, 1997 - Introduced by Representatives JOHNSRUD and BLACK. Referred to Committee on Environment.

Angl: head: Environment Angl: 516: RECTELING

1

AN ACT to repeat 287.11 (2) (dri) of the statutes; relating to: effective recycling

2 program criteria.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law prohibits the disposal of listed recyclable materials in a landfill. The prohibition does not apply to any city, village, town, county or other governmental unit that is responsible for the region's solid waste management (responsible unit) and that operates an effective recycling program. A recycling program is an effective recycling program if it meets specified criteria/including program is an effective recycling program if it meets specified criteria/including program recyclable materials from waste destined for landfills and providing for the processing and marketing of recyclable materials collected in the region. In addition to the exception from the disposal prohibition, a responsible unit that administers an effective recycling program is eligible for a state grant to reimburse the responsible unit for some of its costs incurred operating the effective recycling program. The amount of the grants statewide total roughly \$24 million annually.

Beginning in Man, a responsible unit's recycling program is an effective recycling program only if the responsible unit has in place a system of volume—based solid waste fees to generate revenue equal to the responsible unit's costs for solid waste management other than those reimbursed by the state. This criterion does not apply to any responsible unit that separates for recycling at least 25% by volume or by weight of the solid waste collected within the region by the responsible unit or by any person under contract with the responsible unit. This criterion also does not reatment facility under a contract that was in effect on January 1, 1993.

the year 2000

for to

#### **ASSEMBLY BILL 613**

1

2

This bill eliminates the requirement that, to have its recycling program considered an effective recycling program, a responsible unit have in place a system of volume—based solid waste fees to generate revenue equal to the responsible unit's costs for solid waste management other than those reimbursed by the state.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 287.11 (2) (dm) of the statutes is repealed.

(END) 🗸



## State of Misconsin 1999 – 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB–1425/P1 PEN:jlg:jf

DOA:.....Wong – Volume-based fees for effective recycling program

FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: effective recycling program criteria.

# Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau ENVIRONMENT

#### RECYCLING

Current law prohibits the disposal of listed recyclable materials in a landfill. The prohibition does not apply to any city, village, town, county or other governmental unit that is responsible for the region's solid waste management (responsible unit) and that operates an effective recycling program. A recycling program is an effective recycling program if it meets specified criteria. In addition to the exception from the disposal prohibition, a responsible unit that administers an effective recycling program is eligible for a state grant to reimburse the responsible unit for some of its costs incurred operating the effective recycling program. The amount of the grants statewide total roughly \$24 million annually.

Beginning in the year 2000, a responsible unit's recycling program is an effective recycling program only if the responsible unit has in place a system of volume—based solid waste fees to generate revenue equal to the responsible unit's costs for solid waste management other than those reimbursed by the state. This criterion does not apply to any responsible unit that separates for recycling at least 25% by volume or by weight of the solid waste collected within the region by the responsible unit or by any person under contract with the responsible unit, or to any responsible unit that provides solid waste to an operating solid waste treatment facility under a contract that was in effect on January 1, 1993.

This bill eliminates the requirement that, to have its recycling program considered an effective recycling program, a responsible unit have in place a system of volume—based solid waste fees to generate revenue equal to the responsible unit's costs for solid waste management other than those reimbursed by the state.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**SECTION 1.** 287.11 (2) (dm) of the statutes is repealed.

(END)

## **NOTE TO DRAFTING FILE for LRB-1425:**

Per the drafter, this draft has been redrafted to a "/1" as no problems remain in the draft that require resolution before introduction of the budget bill. There are no changes between the "/PX" and the "/1."

DOA:.....Wong – Volume-based fees for effective recycling program

FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: effective recycling program criteria.

# Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau ENVIRONMENT

#### RECYCLING

Current law prohibits the disposal of listed recyclable materials in a landfill. The prohibition does not apply to any city, village, town, county or other governmental unit that is responsible for the region's solid waste management (responsible unit) and that operates an effective recycling program. A recycling program is an effective recycling program if it meets specified criteria. In addition to the exception from the disposal prohibition, a responsible unit that administers an effective recycling program is eligible for a state grant to reimburse the responsible unit for some of its costs incurred operating the effective recycling program. The amount of the grants statewide total roughly \$24 million annually.

Beginning in the year 2000, a responsible unit's recycling program is an effective recycling program only if the responsible unit has in place a system of volume—based solid waste fees to generate revenue equal to the responsible unit's costs for solid waste management other than those reimbursed by the state. This criterion does not apply to any responsible unit that separates for recycling at least 25% by volume or by weight of the solid waste collected within the region by the responsible unit or by any person under contract with the responsible unit, or to any responsible unit that provides solid waste to an operating solid waste treatment facility under a contract that was in effect on January 1, 1993.

This bill eliminates the requirement that, to have its recycling program considered an effective recycling program, a responsible unit have in place a system of volume—based solid waste fees to generate revenue equal to the responsible unit's costs for solid waste management other than those reimbursed by the state.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**SECTION 1.** 287.11 (2) (dm) of the statutes is repealed.

2 (END)

1