

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: **01/5/99**

Received By: **grantpr**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Administration-Budget 6-1103**

By/Representing: **Milioto**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **grantpr**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Education - state superintendent**

Extra Copies: **MJL  
MDK**

**Topic:**

DOA:.....Milioto - Federal school technology resource grants

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	grantpr 01/5/99	jgeller 01/5/99		_____			
/1			martykr 01/5/99	_____	gretskl 01/5/99		

FE Sent For:

<END>

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 01/5/99

Received By: grantpr

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget 6-1103

By/Representing: Milioto

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: grantpr

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Education - state superintendent

Extra Copies: MJL  
MDK

**Topic:**

DOA:.....Milioto - Federal school technology resource grants

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1/?	grantpr	1/5 JLG	2/1/99	2/4/99			

FE Sent For:

<END>

CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
Department of Administration

Date: December 30, 1998

To: Stephen R. Miller  
Legislative Reference Bureau

From: Steven Milioto *SRM*  
Executive Policy and Budget Analyst ?

Subject: 1991-2001 Biennial Budget Drafting Request -- **Technology Literacy Challenge Fund**

Please draft language which would require the Department of Public Instruction (DPI) to consult with TEACH Wisconsin when awarding Technology Literacy Challenge Fund (TLCF) grants to school districts. The statutory language change would not give TEACH "veto power" over DPI grant decisions but would require greater coordination of DPI's TLCF program and TEACH's Training and Technical Assistance program.

If you have any questions regarding this item, please contact me at 6-1103 or Steve.Milioto@doa.state.wi.us.

*fund grants*      *DPI receives*  
*then distrib to sch*



## State of Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 7841, Madison, WI 53707-7841  
125 South Webster Street, Madison, WI 53702  
(608) 266-3390 TDD (608) 267-2427 FAX (608) 267-1052  
Internet Address: www.dpi.state.wi.us

John T. Hanson  
State Superintendent

Steven B. Dold  
Deputy State Superintendent



### TECHNOLOGY LITERACY CHALLENGE FUND FY 1999

*M. Kelly*  
*Federal Goal*  
*3.1X -*

#### Background

Statute: PL 103-382 Improve America's Schools Act (IASA), Title III Technology

Source: U.S. Department of Education

#### Purposes:

- To implement the Wisconsin Technology Plan PK-12
- To implement local school district instructional technology plans
- To accomplish the President's four goals (pillars)

1. All teachers will have the training and support they need to help students learn through computers and the information superhighway.
2. All teachers and students will have modern computers in their classrooms.
3. Every classroom will be connected to the information superhighway.
4. Effective and engaging software and online learning resources will be an integral part of every school curriculum.

#### ✓ FY 1999 Wisconsin Allocation and Focus

- \$6.8 million is expected for Wisconsin
- Project need must be identified in the district technology plan
- Wisconsin applications may address hardware, software, or professional development, NOT connectivity
- At least 70% of the total budget request in an application must be for professional development
- Professional development must have an emphasis on integrating technology into curriculum and must be used in the classroom
- Hardware and software purchased must relate to the professional development project
- Projects must be related to local or state information and technology literacy standards

#### Eligibility and Distribution

- Competitive grants to LEAs only, with consortia and partnerships encouraged (a consortium is made up of two or more districts)
- Maximum funding for single districts will be \$100,000; for consortiums \$250,000
- Milwaukee Public Schools may receive up to 75% of distributable funds if an acceptable application is received
- Forty (40) % of the distributable funds will be awarded to districts with a high level of students in poverty based on the Title I concentration grants
- TLCF FY 1997 and 1998 grantees are eligible to apply for FY 1999 funds
- TLCF FY 1998 applicants scoring above 70 points will not automatically be funded

#### Application

- Must contain all requirements in Sections 3134 and 3135 of the statute
- Must include one copy of the district's certified technology plan
- Must include one copy of the technology plan certification letter

#### Other

- All contact persons must have email by the start of newly funded projects, July 1, 1999
- Districts funded in prior years that are not submitting an application, must provide at least one reader

#### Timeline

- Applications are due to DPI January 29, 1999
- Prescreening and grant application review will be completed by March 15, 1999
- Grants will be awarded by May 1, 1999
- Projects begin July 1, 1999
- Projects will be completed by June 30, 2000
- Project Performance Reports will be due to DPI by August 1, 2000
- DPI Performance Report will be due to US DOE by Sept. 30, 2000

1999

Date (time) needed SOON

LRB - 157911

**DOA BUDGET DRAFT**

PG: jlg:

Use the appropriate components and routines developed for bills.

>>FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION<<

AN ACT . . . [DO NOT generate catalog]; relating to: the budget. ✓

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

For the 3 titles used in an analysis, in the component bar:

For the main heading [old =M], execute: ..... create → anal: → title: → head

For the subheading [old =S], execute: ..... create → anal: → title: → sub

For the sub-subheading [old =P], execute: ..... create → anal: → title: → sub-sub

(attached)

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

SECTION #.



SEC. # CR. 115-28(25) ✓

③  
# 115-28(25) SCHOOL TECHNOLOGY RESOURCE GRANTS (CS) ↓

④  
Consent with the technology for educational achievement in Wisconsin board before

awarding school technology resource grants (AD) ↓

~~#~~  
under 20 USC 6842.

(EHL) ✓

ANALYSIS

head → EDUCATION

sub → PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

¶ This bill directs the <sup>s</sup> state superintendent<sup>dent</sup> of public instruction to consult with the technology for educational achievement in Wisconsin board (TEACH) before awarding school technology resource grants. School technology resource grants are ~~at~~ <sup>ded</sup> funded with federal moneys and are awarded to <sup>s</sup> school districts for various educational technology purposes.



State of Wisconsin  
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1579/1  
PG:jlg:km

DOA:.....Milioto - Federal school technology resource grants

FOR 1999-01 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

---

*Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

**EDUCATION**

**PRIMARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION**

This bill directs the state superintendent of public instruction to consult with the technology for educational achievement in Wisconsin board (TEACH) before awarding school technology resource grants. School technology resource grants are funded with federal moneys and are awarded to school districts for various educational technology purposes.

---

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

2 SECTION 1. 115.28 (25) of the statutes is created to read:

3 115.28 (25) SCHOOL TECHNOLOGY RESOURCE GRANTS. Consult with the technology  
4 for educational achievement in Wisconsin board before awarding school technology  
5 resource grants under 20 USC 6842.

6 (END)