

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB133)

Received: 05/7/99

Received By: traderc

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Schug

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: traderc

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Agriculture - miscellaneous

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Schug -

Topic:

Agricultural engineering practitioner certification

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	traderc 05/12/99	gilfokm 05/12/99	martykr 05/13/99	_____	lrb_docadmin 05/13/99		

FE Sent For:

<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB133)

Received: 05/7/99

Received By: traderc

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Schug

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: traderc

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Agriculture - miscellaneous

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Schug -

Topic:

Agricultural engineering practitioner certification

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	traderc	1-5-12-99 king	tra/13	LF KM/13			

FE Sent For:

<END>

Tradewell, Becky

From: Schug, David
Sent: Friday, May 07, 1999 3:07 PM
To: Tradewell, Becky
Subject: RE: DATCP amendments

You are correct-- here goes...

Paper 210 Drainage Alt 5 and Alt 6
Paper 211 AgChem Alt 4
Paper 212 Pesticide Motion 216 and Motion 216
#213 Export Motion 444 The wording in the motion is misleading and is based on alternative 3, which discusses Federal revenues in addition to the amount provided in Chapter 20.115(3)(m). Thus, \$1 of marketing GPR 115(3)(a) should lapse for every \$1 in federal funding received above the amount of funding provided in 20.115(3)(m). (We are thinking of a two-line appropriation with GPR and PR-F listed as well as a net amount provided).
#214 price reform Alt 1
#215 nursery Alt 2c (includes technical changes in Alt 1), Motion 214, Motion 231 (I made an error in calculating Alt 2c. The position total change to base is really 1.00 and not 2.00 as written.
#216 weights Alt 2 and Alt 3, Motion 215 (add towns with cities and villages)
#217 LPG Alt 5
#218 grain Alt 2 and Alt 3
#219 web page Alt 2
#220 electronic Alt 3
#221 fair aids Alt 1

All summary items for which no papers were written were approved, except for #20, consumer protection assessment. That item is still outstanding.

Motion 661 also was approved.

I am faxing over the above motions. Besides the pending consumer protection assessment item, that should do it for DATCP.

David

Senator Shibilski

AGRICULTURE, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

Agricultural Engineering Practitioner Certification

Motion:

Move to allow an agricultural engineering practitioner who previously has been certified at a job class level by DATCP or the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, to certify for funding purposes that design specifications for an agricultural engineering practice under that job class level comply with DATCP standards and that an agricultural engineering practice under that job class level has been constructed or installed according to an approved design, and according to applicable standards and specifications, without requiring the agricultural engineering practitioner to receive recertification.

Note:

Current administrative rules require that agricultural engineering practitioners must be recertified for each project they oversee.

MO#	661
Burke	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Decker	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Jauch	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Moore	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Shibilski	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Plache	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Cowles	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Panzer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gard	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Porter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Kaufert	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Albers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Duff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Ward	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Huber	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Riley	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

661

5/10 Per David, the idea is that certification at a job class level must be good for all kinds of agricultural engineering practices.

DM



State of Wisconsin
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

soon

LRBb0274/1

RCT:.....

King

LFB:.....Schug – Agricultural engineering practitioner certification

FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

LFB AMENDMENT

TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133 AND 1999 SENATE BILL 45

Note

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 ✓ 1. Page 937, line 17: after that line insert:

3 " SECTION 1926e. 92.18 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

4 92.18 (2m) If a person is certified under this section to review plans for, or
5 conduct inspections of, a type of agricultural engineering practice at one of the levels
6 under sub. (2) (c), the department shall allow the person to review plans for, or
7 conduct inspections of, all types of agricultural engineering practices at that same
8 level without requiring any additional certification."

9 (END)

**DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBb0274/1dn

RCT:j:...

img

David Schug:

As I read the DATCP rules, when a person becomes certified now, DATCP determines the appropriate certification level for that person for each type of agricultural engineering practice based, I assume, on the person's qualifications. See ATCP 50.95 (4) and (5). Under this draft, the person would be able to review or inspect any type of agricultural engineering practice at the highest level at which the person is certified. Please let me know if that was not the intent of the motion.

be

S.A

Rebecca C. Tradewell
Managing Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-7290
E-mail: Becky.Tradewell@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb0274/1dn
RCT:kmg:km

May 13, 1999

David Schug:

As I read the DATCP rules, when a person becomes certified now, DATCP determines the appropriate certification level for that person for each type of agricultural engineering practice based, I assume, on the person's qualifications. See s. ATCP 50.95 (4) and (5). Under this draft, the person would be able to review or inspect any type of agricultural engineering practice at the highest level at which the person is certified. Please let me know if that was not the intent of the motion.

Rebecca C. Tradewell
Managing Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-7290
E-mail: Becky.Tradewell@legis.state.wi.us



State of Wisconsin
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0274/1
RCT:kmg:km

LFB:.....Schug – Agricultural engineering practitioner certification

FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

LFB AMENDMENT

TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133 AND 1999 SENATE BILL 45

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 **1.** Page 937, line 17: after that line insert:

3 “**SECTION 1926e.** 92.18 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

4 92.18 (2m) If a person is certified under this section to review plans for, or
5 conduct inspections of, a type of agricultural engineering practice at one of the levels
6 under sub. (2) (c), the department shall allow the person to review plans for, or
7 conduct inspections of, all types of agricultural engineering practices at that same
8 level without requiring any additional certification.”.

9 (END)