

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Amendment (AA-AB133)**

Received: **06/9/99**

Received By: **yacketa**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Legislative Fiscal Bureau**

By/Representing: **Megna**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **yacketa**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Children - day care  
Health - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies: **GMM; DAK**

**Pre Topic:**

LFB:.....Megna -

**Topic:**

Caregiver background checks

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	yacketa 06/10/99	jgeller 06/10/99		_____			
/1			martykr 06/10/99	_____	lrb_docadmin 06/11/99		
/2	yacketa 06/12/99	wjackson 06/12/99	haugeca 06/12/99	_____	lrb_docadmin 06/13/99		

FE Sent For:

<END>

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Amendment (AA-AB133)**

Received: 06/9/99

Received By: yacketa

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Megna

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: yacketa

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Children - day care  
Health - miscellaneous

Extra Copies: GMM; DAK

**Pre Topic:**

LFB:.....Megna -

**Topic:**

Caregiver background checks

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	yacketa 06/10/99	jgeller 06/10/99		_____			
/1		1/2 wlj 6/12	martykr 06/10/99	_____	lrb_docadmin 06/11/99		

FE Sent For:

<END>

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Assembly Amendment (AA-AB133)**

Received: 06/9/99

Received By: yacketa

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Megna

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: yacketa

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Children - day care  
Health - miscellaneous

Extra Copies: GMM; DAK

**Pre Topic:**

LFB:.....Megna -

**Topic:**

Caregiver background checks

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	yacketa	1/10 jlg	Km/10	J b Km/10			

FE Sent For:

<END>



## Legislative Fiscal Bureau

One East Main, Suite 301 • Madison, WI 53703 • (608) 266-3847 • Fax: (608) 267-6873

June 7, 1999

Joint Committee on Finance

Paper #470

### **Caregiver Background Checks (DHFS -- Departmentwide and Management and Technology)**

[LFB 1999-01 Budget Summary: Page 253, #4]

#### **CURRENT LAW**

1997 Wisconsin Act 27 expanded background check requirements for child care and health care providers. These requirements apply to the licensing of providers, hiring of their employees or contractors and residency of non-clients in their facilities. These expanded requirements became effective October 1, 1998, for new hires, new licensees and new non-client residents, and will apply to previous licensees, employees, and non-client residents beginning October 1, 1999.

The Act 27 provisions are not limited to expanded background checks, but also include expanded requirements for the report and investigation of any client abuse or neglect or misappropriation of the client's property. These expanded reporting and investigation requirements took effect on October 1, 1998.

Act 27 requires the Department of Health and Family Services (DHFS) to perform the required background checks on the child care and health providers that DHFS licenses or regulates, while the providers, in turn, must perform the checks on their employees. DHFS is also responsible for performing the background checks on the non-client residents in the facilities regulated by DHFS. The background check must include checks of the Department of Justice criminal history database, the DHFS abuse registry and licensing databases and the Department of Regulation and Licensing databases of professional licenses. An integrated system has been developed to perform these checks in an automated procedure. However, if a "hit" is found on the DOJ criminal database, administrative rules may require a "look behind" to investigate the facts and circumstances of the conviction.

Act 27 requires that a person who is found to have an abuse or neglect finding or a conviction for a "serious crime" be denied licensure, employment or residency. Individuals who are subject to these sanctions can apply to DHFS for waivers of the sanctions upon proof of

rehabilitation. The statutes specify convictions for five offenses for which rehabilitation cannot be sought and the Department by rule can establish additional convictions for which an individual cannot demonstrate rehabilitation. For some less serious crimes, DHFS and providers have discretion in hiring the employe without the need for a formal rehabilitation review.

## **GOVERNOR**

Provide \$62,300 GPR, \$55,800 FED, and \$509,800 PR in 1999-00 and \$81,000 GPR, \$69,300 FED, and \$389,200 PR in 2000-01 and 1.71 GPR positions, 1.06 FED positions and 3.82 PR positions, beginning in 1999-00, to implement caregiver background check requirements established in Act 27.

The bill provides funding to support: (a) processing background checks for new child care and health care providers licensed by DHFS and non-client residents of the facility, if any; (b) conducting rehabilitation reviews and appeals when a person contests a license denial or employment bar as a result of a negative finding from a background check; and (c) ongoing maintenance costs and enhancements for the linked computer system that searches state databases to conduct background checks. In addition, DHFS would establish an electronic reporting system to accept information from counties on foster care license denials and day care certification denials and maintain a data base of that information.

*Statutory Changes.* Authorize DHFS to charge facilities a fee for any requested background check for an employe or contractor which would be paid in addition to the current fee of \$2 to \$13 charged by DOJ for checking state criminal records. Authorize DHFS to charge a fee for persons who request a rehabilitation review. Specify that these fees could not exceed the reasonable cost of obtaining the information. DHFS plans to charge a fee of \$2.50 for each background check and \$50 for each rehabilitation review. DHFS estimates that revenue from the proposed fees would generate an estimated \$189,000 in 1999-00 and \$166,400 in 2000-01. Finally, specify that all revenue from background checks would be credited to the Division of Supportive Living's licensing and support services appropriation.

Modify provisions for the application of the required background checks related to employes and contractors by only requiring background checks for those persons that would provide direct care to clients that is more intensive than negligible care in quantity or quality or in the amount of time required to provide the care. Under current law, any employe or contractor that would have access to clients is subject to the background check requirement. This change would not affect the current requirement that any non-client resident with access to the entity's clients would be subject to the background check requirements. This change for employes and contractors applies both to children's facilities and health care facilities.

## **DISCUSSION POINTS**

1. DHFS costs of implementing the new caregiver background checks and

misconduct reporting include: (a) processing and investigating misconduct reports; (b) developing an automated computer system; (c) processing background checks; and (d) conducting rehabilitation reviews and appeals.

2. Act 27 provided DHFS \$415,300 GPR and \$178,800 FED in 1998-99 to support 9.50 GPR and 4.15 FED positions, beginning in 1998-99, for DHFS to implement these expanded requirements. Most of this funding and position authority (\$348,700 GPR, \$149,400 FED and 11.50 positions) was provided to support the costs of processing and investigating reports of abuse, neglect or misappropriation of property. Act 27 also provided \$420,000 GPR in 1998-99 in the Committee's appropriation for the development of a linked computer system to process background checks. In June, 1998, the Committee approved the transfer of \$274,400 of these funds and the transfer of \$135,700 FED in TANF funds to support the development of the linked computer system in the 1999-01 biennium.

3. In September, 1998, the Committee approved \$502,000 (all funds) and 11.50 project positions to process background checks and to conduct rehabilitation reviews and appeals. In addition, the Committee approved \$121,600 (all funds) to fund additional programming changes for the computer system that were not anticipated in the June, 1998, request.

4. Through May, 1999, the number of reports and investigations of abuse, neglect and misappropriation of property have been substantially below the projections that were used as the basis for providing additional funding and positions in Act 27. The actual number of reports and investigations has been about 20% of the number assumed in Act 27. Consequently, the current number of authorized positions for investigations of abuse and neglect exceeds the current workload demands. Although workload for these positions may increase in the future if the number of reports of abuse and neglect increase as providers become more aware of the new rules, some of the current positions that were provided to conduct misconduct investigations could be reallocated for the other activities required by DHFS to implement Act 27.

5. Because of the requirement that all existing providers, employes and non-client residents must be checked by October 1, 1999, much of the Department's workload will be one-time and conclude on that date. After this date, the annual workload will be much less, since only new providers, employes and non-client residents will have to be checked. Background checks must be conducted every four years for each provider, but this provision will not increase DHFS workload until 2002-03. The 11.50 project positions authorized by the Committee in September, 1998, will terminate on September 30, 1999.

6. Because of the automated system, the major workload for DHFS involves licensing staff performing the "look-behinds" when a conviction is found in the criminal record for a provider or non-client resident. In addition, DIIFS staff spend a significant amount of time conducting rehabilitation reviews. However, actual program experience for the October 1998, through May, 1999, period suggests that it is not necessary to increase funding for rehabilitation reviews and appeals. The additional funding and positions the Committee provided to DHFS in September 1998, was based on the assumption that there would be 393 rehabilitation reviews in 1998-99.

Through April of this fiscal year (two months remaining), DHFS has received approximately 200 rehabilitation requests. Also, almost three-quarters of the rehabilitation requests have not required much processing time, since these requests were either sent back for lack of information (about half) or DHFS determined that rehabilitation was not required.

7. It is possible that some current employees are delaying their requests for a rehabilitation review, since the permanent administrative rules for background checks are currently under review and providers are seeking legislative changes to Act 27. There may be an increase in the number of requests for rehabilitation reviews after there is more certainty on the permanent provisions that will apply.

8. At this point, it could be argued that the experience over the last seven months suggests that DHFS does not need any additional staff to process background checks or to conduct rehabilitation reviews and appeals. However, due to the uncertainty of the growth of misconduct reports and rehabilitation requests, the Committee could provide additional staff for this function supported by the proposed background check fees.

9. The bill also includes funding to maintain the automated system, support postage costs and add an electronic reporting system to accept information from counties on foster care license denials and day care certification denials and maintain a database of that information. Since the actual number of background requests has been close to the projected levels, the Committee may wish to approve funding provided in the bill for this purpose to increase automation of the system to reduce future staff time needed to process these requests.

10. The budget bill would authorize DHFS to assess a fee on providers for the Department's cost related to the automated system. DHFS plans to charge a fee of \$2.50. This fee would be in addition to the fee charged by DOJ for the criminal background check. It is estimated that the \$2.50 fee would generate an estimated \$160,000 annually, compared with \$135,000 annually projected by the administration. These revenues could be used to support a portion of the Department's costs of conducting background checks.

11. The budget bill would also authorize DHFS to impose a fee for rehabilitation reviews. DHFS is planning to establish the fee at \$50. If there were 400 rehabilitation requests a year, the annual revenue from a \$50 fee would be \$20,000, (compared to \$54,000 in 1999-00 and \$31,400 in 2000-01 assumed by the administration).

Although such a fee may be reasonable, based on the costs of conducting these reviews, such a fee may be a burden for the individual if the employer does not pay the fee for the applicant. Many of the positions covered by the background check legislation involve positions with below-average wages. An applicant for a rehabilitation review may also have to obtain court records as part of the application process. If the Committee determines that low-wage employees that are not supported by the employer may be discouraged by a \$50 fee, it could delete the provision that would authorize DHFS to assess this fee from the bill.

12. The bill includes a provision to limit the requirement for background checks for employees and contractors to only those persons that would provide direct care to clients, rather than anyone who would have access to clients. Recently, standing committees in both the Assembly and Senate have reviewed the Department's proposed permanent rules for implementation of the background checks. Also, provider organizations are seeking legislative changes to reduce the scope of the background check requirements. Discussions between the Department, provider groups and advocacy groups are still active. The Committee could remove the Governor's provisions that would limit background checks to direct care workers if it determines that these changes should be addressed through separate legislation.

**ALTERNATIVES TO BASE**

**A. Funding and Positions**

1. Approve the Governor's recommendation to provide \$62,300 GPR, \$55,800 FED, and \$509,800 PR in 1999-00 and \$81,000 GPR, \$69,300 FED, and \$389,200 PR in 2000-01 and 1.71 GPR positions, 1.06 FED positions and 3.82 PR positions, beginning in 1999-00, to implement caregiver background check requirements established in 1997 Wisconsin Act 27. In addition, authorize DHFS to charge facilities a fee for any requested background check for an employee or contractor.

<b>Alternative A1</b>	<b>GPR</b>	<b>FED</b>	<b>PR</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>1999-01 REVENUE</b> (Change to Base)	\$0	\$0	\$320,000	\$320,000
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000]
<b>1999-01 FUNDING</b> (Change to Base)	\$143,300	\$125,100	\$899,000	\$1,167,400
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0]
<b>2000-01 POSITIONS</b> (Change to Base)	1.71	1.06	3.82	6.59
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00]

2. Provide \$461,400 PR in 1999-00 and \$314,200 PR in 2000-01 to fund maintenance and improvement of the automated system and to support 2.0 PR positions, beginning in 1999-00 for rehabilitation reviews and appeals.

<b>Alternative A2</b>	<b>GPR</b>	<b>FED</b>	<b>PR</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>1999-01 REVENUE</b> (Change to Base)	\$0	\$0	\$320,000	\$320,000
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000]
<b>1999-01 FUNDING</b> (Change to Base)	\$0	\$0	\$775,600	\$775,600
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	-\$143,300	-\$125,100	-\$123,400	-\$391,800]
<b>2000-01 POSITIONS</b> (Change to Base)	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	-1.71	-1.06	-1.82	-4.59]



3. Provide \$380,000 PR in 1999-00 and \$218,400 PR in 2000-01 to fund the maintenance and improvement of the automated system. In addition, authorize DHFS to charge facilities a fee for any requested background check for an employe or contractor.

<u>Alternative A3</u>	<u>GPR</u>	<u>FED</u>	<u>PR</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1999-01 REVENUE (Change to Base)	\$0	\$0	\$320,000	\$320,000
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000
1999-01 FUNDING (Change to Base)	\$0	\$0	\$598,400	\$598,400
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	-\$143,300	-\$125,100	-\$300,600	-\$569,000
2000-01 POSITIONS (Change to Base)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	- 1.71	- 1.06	- 3.82	- 6.59

4. Maintain current law. However, authorize DHFS to charge facilities a fee for any requested background checks for an employe or contractor.

<u>Alternative A4</u>	<u>GPR</u>	<u>FED</u>	<u>PR</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1999-01 REVENUE (Change to Base)	\$0	\$0	\$320,000	\$320,000
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000
1999-01 FUNDING (Change to Base)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	-\$143,300	-\$125,100	-\$999,000	-\$1,167,400
2000-01 POSITIONS (Change to Base)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	- 1.71	- 1.06	- 3.82	- 6.59

### B. Statutory Changes -- Rehabilitation Review Fees and Applicability

1. Adopt the Governor's recommendations to limit background checks to persons that would provide direct care and to provide the Department authority to assess fees for rehabilitation reviews.

<u>Alternative B1</u>	<u>PR</u>
1999-01 REVENUE (Change to Base)	\$40,000
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	- \$45,400

2. Adopt the Governor's recommendations to limit background checks to persons that would provide direct care, but delete the provision in the bill that would authorize DHFS to assess fees for rehabilitation reviews.

<u>Alternative B2</u>	<u>PR</u>
1999-01 REVENUE (Change to Base)	\$0
<i>[Change to Bill]</i>	- \$85,400

3. Maintain current law.

*except retain \$2.50 fee*

<b>Alternative B3</b>	<b>PR</b>
1999-01 REVENUE (Change to Base)	\$0
[Change to Bill]	- \$85,400

Prepared by: Richard Megna

investigation of a person who has or is expected to have access to the clients of the facility, organization or service, instead requires investigation of a person who provides to the clients or is expected to provide to them, direct care that is more intensive than negligible care in quantity or quality or in the amount of time required to provide the care. Restrictions on nonclient residents at the facility, organization or service are unchanged by the bill.

## HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### CHILDREN

Under current law, a foster home, treatment foster home, group home, shelter care facility, child welfare agency or day care center (entity) may not hire or contract with a person who will be under the entity's control and who is expected to have access to the entity's clients if the person has been convicted of or has pending a charge for a serious crime, as defined by the department of health and family services (DHFS) by rule, has abused or neglected a client or has misappropriated the property of a client, has abused or neglected a child or is not sufficiently credentialed to provide adequate care to a client. Current law, however, permits, subject to certain exceptions, such a person to be hired or contracted with if the person demonstrates to DHFS that the person has been rehabilitated. Current law requires an entity to obtain, at the time of initial hiring or contracting and every four years after that, certain personal background information, including a criminal history search, about an employe, prospective employe, contractor or prospective contractor who has, or is expected to have access to the entity's clients.

This bill, rather than requiring an entity to conduct a background investigation of a person who has, or is expected to have, access to the entity's clients, instead requires an entity to conduct a background investigation of a person who provides to clients, or is expected to provide to them, direct care that is more intensive than negligible care in quantity or quality or in the amount of time required to provide the care.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

- 1       ✓ SECTION 1. 48.685 (2) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:
- 2           48.685 (2) (a) (intro.) Notwithstanding s. 111.335, and except as provided in
- 3       par. (ad) and sub. (5), if the department, a county department, a child welfare agency
- 4       or a school board knows or should know any of the following, the department may not
- 5       license, or continue or renew the license of, a person to operate an entity, a county
- 6       department may not certify a day care provider under s. 48.651, a county department

1 or a child welfare agency may not license, or renew the license of, a foster home or  
2 treatment foster home under s. 48.62 and a school board may not contract with a  
3 person under s. 120.13 (14), ~~if the department, county department, child welfare~~  
4 ~~agency or school board knows or should have known any of the following:~~

5 ✓ **SECTION 2.** 48.685 (2) (ag) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

6 48.685 (2) (ag) (intro.) Notwithstanding s. 111.335, and except as provided in  
7 sub. (5), if an entity knows or should know any of the following, the entity may not  
8 hire or contract with a person who will be under the entity's control, as defined by  
9 the department by rule, and who is expected to have access to its clients, or provide  
10 to clients of the entity direct care that is more intensive than negligible care in  
11 quantity or quality or in amount of time required to provide the care; or the entity  
12 may not permit to reside at the entity a person who is not a client and who is expected  
13 to have access to a client, if the entity knows or should have known any of the  
14 following:

15 ✓ **SECTION 3.** 48.685 (2) (ag) (intro.) of the statutes, as affected by 1997 Act 27,  
16 section 1664f, and 1999 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), is repealed and recreated to read:

17 48.685 (2) (ag) (intro.) Notwithstanding s. 111.335, and except as provided in  
18 sub. (5), if an entity knows or should know any of the following, the entity may not  
19 employ or contract with a person who will be under the entity's control, as defined  
20 by the department by rule, and who provides to clients of the entity, or is expected  
21 to provide to them, direct care that is more intensive than negligible care in quantity  
22 or quality or in amount of time required to provide the care; or the entity may not  
23 permit to reside at the entity a person who is not a client and who has, or is expected  
24 to have, access to a client:

25 ✓ **SECTION 4.** 48.685 (2) (am) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

1           48.685 (2) (am) (intro.) Subject to subd. 5. and par. (bd), the department, a  
2 county department, a child welfare agency or a school board shall obtain all of the  
3 following with respect to a person specified under par. (a) (intro.) ~~and~~, a person  
4 specified under par. (ag) (intro.) who is a nonclient resident or prospective nonclient  
5 resident of an entity and ~~shall obtain the information specified in subds. 1. to 5. with~~  
6 ~~respect to a person specified in par. (ag) (intro.) who is under 18 years of age, but not~~  
7 ~~under 12 years of age, and who is an employe, prospective employe, contractor,~~  
8 ~~prospective contractor, nonclient resident or prospective nonclient resident of a day~~  
9 ~~care center that is licensed under s. 48.65 or established or contracted for under s.~~  
10 ~~120.13 (14) or of a day care provider that is certified under s. 48.651:~~

11           ✓ **SECTION 5.** 48.685 (2) (b) 1. (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

12           48.685 (2) (b) 1. (intro.) Subject to subds. 1. e. ~~and 2.~~, and 4. and par. (bd), every  
13 entity shall obtain all of the following with respect to a person specified under par.  
14 (ag) (intro.) who is an employe, prospective employe, contractor or prospective  
15 contractor of the entity:

16           ✓ **SECTION 6.** 48.685 (2) (b) 2. of the statutes is repealed.

17           ✓ **SECTION 7.** 48.685 (3) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

18           48.685 (3) (a) Every 4 years or at any time within that period that the  
19 department, a county department, a child welfare agency or a school board considers  
20 appropriate, the department, county department, child welfare agency or school  
21 board shall request the information specified in sub. (2) (am) 1. to 5. for all persons  
22 who are licensed, certified or contracted to operate an entity ~~and~~, for all persons  
23 specified in ~~par. (ag) (intro.)~~ sub. (2) (ag) (intro.) who are nonclient residents of an  
24 entity and ~~shall request the information specified in sub. (2) (am) 1. to 5.~~ for all  
25 persons specified in sub. (2) (ag) (intro.) who are under 18 years of age, but not under

1 12 years of age, and who are employes, contractors or nonclient residents of a day  
2 care center that is licensed under s. 48.65 or established or contracted for under s.  
3 120.13 (4) or of a day care provider that is certified under s. 48.651.

4 SECTION 8. 48.685 (6) (am) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

5 48.685 (6) (am) 1. A person who is an employe, prospective employe, contractor  
6 or prospective contractor of the entity, who will be under the entity's control and who  
7 ~~has, or is expected to have, access to its clients, other than a person specified in sub.~~  
8 (2) (b) 2 provides to clients of the entity, or is expected to provide to them, direct care  
9 that is more intensive than negligible care in quantity or quality or in amount of time  
10 required to provide the care.

11 ✓ SECTION 9. 50.065 (2) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

12 50.065 (2) (a) (intro.) Notwithstanding s. 111.335, and except as provided in  
13 sub. (5), if the department knows or should know any of the following, the  
14 department may not license, certify, issue a certificate of approval to or register a  
15 person to operate an entity or continue the license, certification, certificate of  
16 approval or registration of a person to operate an entity ~~if the department knows or~~  
17 ~~should have known any of the following:~~

18 ✓ SECTION 10. 50.065 (2) (ag) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

19 50.065 (2) (ag) (intro.) Notwithstanding s. 111.335, and except as provided in  
20 sub. (5), if an entity knows or should know any of the following, the entity may not  
21 hire or contract with a person who will be under the entity's control, as defined by  
22 the department by rule, and who is expected to ~~have access to its clients, or provide~~  
23 to clients of the entity direct care that is more intensive than negligible care in  
24 quantity or quality or in amount of time required to provide the care; or the entity  
25 may not permit to reside at the entity a person who is not a client and who is expected

1 to have access to a client, ~~if the entity knows or should have known any of the~~  
2 following:

3 ✓ SECTION 11. 50.065 (2) (ag) (intro.) of the statutes, as affected by 1997  
4 Wisconsin Act 27, section 2059f, and 1999 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), is repealed and  
5 recreated to read:

6 50.065 (2) (ag) (intro.) Notwithstanding s. 111.335, and except as provided in  
7 sub. (5), if an entity knows or should have known any of the following, the entity may  
8 not employ or contract with a person who will be under the entity's control, as defined  
9 by the department by rule, and who provides to clients of the entity, or is expected  
10 to provide to them, direct care that is more intensive than negligible care in quantity  
11 or quality or in the amount of time required to provide the care; or the entity may not  
12 permit to reside at the entity a person who is not a client and who has, or is expected  
13 to have, access to a client:

14 ✓ SECTION 12. 50.065 (2) (b) 1. (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

15 50.065 (2) (b) 1. (intro.) Subject to subds. 1. e. ~~and 2.~~ and par. (bd), every entity  
16 shall obtain all of the following with respect to a person specified under par. (ag)  
17 (intro.) who is an employe or contractor or a prospective employe or contractor of the  
18 entity:

19 ✓ SECTION 13. 50.065 (2) (b) 2. of the statutes is repealed.

20 ✓ SECTION 14. 50.065 (6) (am) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

21 50.065 (6) (am) 1. A person who is an employe, prospective employe, contractor  
22 or prospective contractor of the entity, who will be under the entity's control and who  
23 ~~has, or is expected to have, access to its clients, other than a person specified in sub.~~  
24 (2) (b) 2 provides to clients of the entity, or is expected to provide to them, direct care

1 that is more intensive than negligible care in quantity or quality or in the amount  
2 of time required to provide the care.

3 ✓ **SECTION 9423. Effective dates; health and family services.**

4 (1) BACKGROUND INVESTIGATIONS OF EMPLOYES AND CONTRACTORS WHO CARE FOR  
5 CHILDREN OR VULNERABLE ADULTS. The repeal and recreation of sections 48.685 (2) (ag)  
6 (intro.) and 50.065 (2) (ag) (intro.) of the statutes takes effect on October 1, 1999.

7 (END)



1999

Date (time) needed

Soon

1072

LRB b 0617 1 1

LFB BUDGET AMENDMENT [ONLY FOR LFB]

TAL : JG :

See form AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS.

LFB AMENDMENT TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133 AND 1999 SENATE BILL 45

FOR JT. FIN. SUB. — NOT FOR INTRODUCTION<<

- #. Page 660, line 24: delete that line.
  - #. Page 661, line 1: delete lines 1 to 5 ✓
  - #. Page 662, line 15: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with page 663, line 2. ✓
- At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:
- #. Page 665, line 12: delete lines 12 to 18. ✓

more

#. Page 432, line 12: delete that line and substitute " for the purposes specified in ss. " ✓

#. Page 432, line 13: delete that line and substitute " 49.45(47), 50.02(2), 50.025, 50.13, " ✓

#. Page 432, line 20: delete " 50.065 " ✓

#. Page 432, line 21: delete " (8) " ✓

#. Page 658, line 9: delete lines 9 to 19. ✓

#. Page 659, line 3: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with page 660, line " number " ✓

#. Page 667, line 10: delete " The fee " ✓

#. Page 667, line 11: delete " The department " ✓

#. Page 667, line 12: delete lines 12 to 14 and substitute " The fee may not " ✓

#. Page 767, line 22: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with page 769, line 12. ✓

1999

Date (time) needed \_\_\_\_\_

92 9 2

LRB b 0617 1 1

**LFB BUDGET AMENDMENT  
[ONLY FOR LFB]**

TAY : : \_\_\_\_\_

See form **AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS.**

**LFB AMENDMENT  
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133 AND 1999 SENATE BILL 45**

>>FOR JT. FIN. SUB. — NOT FOR INTRODUCTION<<

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

#. Page 769, line 15: delete "The fee" ✓

#. Page 769, line 16: delete "The department" ✓

#. Page 769, line 17: delete lines 17 and 18. ✓

#. Page 769, line 19: delete "subsection" ✓ and substitute "The fee".

#. Page 747, line 8: delete lines 8 to 10. ✓

(end)

#. Page . . . . ., line . . . . .:



State of Wisconsin  
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0617A2

TAY:jlg:km

E WJ

RMR

LFB:.....Megna - Caregiver background checks

FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

LFB AMENDMENT

TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133 AND 1999 SENATE BILL 45

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 **1.** Page 432, line 12: delete that line and substitute "for the purposes specified  
3 in ss."

4 **2.** Page 432, line 13: delete that line and substitute "~~49.45 (47), 50.02 (2),~~  
5 50.025, 50.13,".

6 **3.** Page 432, line 20: delete "~~50.065~~".

7 **4.** Page 432, line 21: delete "~~(8)~~".

8 **5.** Page 658, line 9: delete lines 9 to 19.

9 **6.** Page 659, line 3: delete the material beginning with that line and ending  
10 with page 660, line 11.

11 **7.** Page 660, line 24: delete that line.





State of Wisconsin  
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0617/2  
TAY:jl&wlj:ksh

LFB:.....Megna – Caregiver background checks  
FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION  
LFB AMENDMENT  
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133 AND 1999 SENATE BILL 45

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 **1.** Page 658, line 9: delete lines 9 to 19.

3 **2.** Page 659, line 3: delete the material beginning with that line and ending  
4 with page 660, line 11.

5 **3.** Page 660, line 24: delete that line.

6 **4.** Page 661, line 1: delete lines 1 to 5.

7 **5.** Page 662, line 15: delete the material beginning with that line and ending  
8 with page 663, line 2.

9 **6.** Page 665, line 12: delete lines 12 to 18.

10 **7.** Page 667, line 10: delete “~~The fee~~”.

11 **8.** Page 667, line 11: delete “The department”.

