

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB133)

Received: 06/23/99

Received By: traderc

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Senate Democratic Caucus

By/Representing: Walter

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: traderc

May Contact: LFB

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Environment - water quality

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

SDC:.....Walter - Caucus # 2117,

Topic:

Nonpoint funding for Hay River and Spring Valley

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	traderc 06/26/99	ygeller 06/27/99		_____			
/1			haugca 06/28/99	_____	gretskl 06/28/99		
/2	traderc 06/28/99	ygeller 06/28/99	ismith 06/28/99	_____	lrb_docadmin 06/28/99		

FE Sent For:

<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB133)

Received: 06/23/99

Received By: traderc

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Senate Democratic Caucus

By/Representing: Walter

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: traderc

May Contact: LFB

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Environment - water quality

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

SDC:.....Walter - Caucus # 2117,

Topic:

Nonpoint funding for Hay River and Spring Valley

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	traderc 06/26/99	ygeller 06/27/99		_____			
/1		12/28/99 yg	haugca 06/28/99	_____	gretskl 06/28/99		
FE Sent For:		IS 6/28		JED/MGD 6/28			<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB133)

Received: 06/23/99

Received By: traderc

Wanted: Soon

Identical to LRB:

For: Senate Democratic Caucus

By/Representing: Walter

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: traderc

May Contact: LFB

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: Environment - water quality

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

SDC:.....Walter - Caucus # 2117,

Topic:

Nonpoint funding for Hay River and Spring Valley

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	traderc	1 ^g 27 jlg	CH 6-26	CH 5/6-28			

FE Sent For:

<END>

SDC

yes

Agency: Natural Resources - Water Quality

caucus number 2011

duplicate flag:
duplicate with:

Other reference numbers:	LFB Sum #: Page 617, Item #10
bill number/amendment number:	
LRB draft #	LRB P-draft:

description: Remove Item related to Fish Farming from the Budget

other notes

drafting instructions: Repeal Item #10, Page 617 of LFB JFC Summary Re: Regulation of Fish Farming

more instructions:

caucus number 2117

duplicate flag:
duplicate with:

Other reference numbers:	LFB Sum #:
bill number/amendment number:	
LRB draft #	LRB P-draft:

description: Nonpoint funding for South Fork of Hay River and for Spring Valley priority watershed projects.

other notes

drafting instructions: See above and attached

Per Lancee - increase the appropriations

more instructions:

caucus number 2120

duplicate flag:
duplicate with:

Other reference numbers:	LFB Sum #:
FM 277	
bill number/amendment number:	
LRB draft #	LRB P-draft:

description: Nonpoint Redesign Implementation. Four provisions.

other notes Modifications to above: See document - yes to point d. No to point b. Undecided on a and c.

drafting instructions: See above and attached

more instructions:

caucus number 2131

duplicate flag:
duplicate with:

Other reference numbers:	LFB Sum #:
bill number/amendment number:	
LRB draft #	LRB P-draft:

description: Establish Rivers Coordinator Position. Establish 1 FTE. Annual \$56,700 in GPR.

other notes

drafting instructions: See above and attached

more instructions:

AGRICULTURE

South Fork of Hay River Priority Watershed Project (No Motion Paper)


Provide an additional \$45,000 GPR for farmer cropland incentive payments - \$10,000 in 1999-00 and \$35,000 in 2000-01.

CN

2117 A

Based upon the enclosed letter from the DNR dated October 15, 1998 along with an updated
ACRA amount given in the letter dated March 31, 1999, we would need an additional ^{10,000} \$60,000 for
1999 and an additional \$35,000 in 2000 to cover our current level of sign ups for the cropland
incentive payments alone. As you can see from the table of Amended 1999 ACRA's, there is still
a large disparity between funding for projects in spite of similarities in size and amount of
agriculture occurring within certain counties.

.....

**State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

Tommy G. Thompson, Governor
George E. Meyer, Secretary

101 S. Webster St.
Box 7921
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7921
Telephone 608-266-2621
FAX 608-267-3579
TDD 608-267-6897

October 13, 1998

Mr. Jim Forester, Co. Conservationist
Dunn County LCD
Agricultural Center, Suite C
Menomonie, WI 54751

Subject: Correction of Error in Financing Plan

Dear Mr. Forester:

We will be making an adjustment in the financing plan due to the error that was made in calculating the number of years remaining in the South Fork Hay River Priority Watershed Project. When the final revisions were made to the financing plan for the October LWCB meeting, the Dunn County portion of the project was inadvertently stretched out through the Year 2002. This is beyond the current statutory limit for this project, creating a condition whereby Dunn County would be unable to access its entire ACRA. We are correcting this situation so that the entire pool of funding set forth in the financing plan can be accessed by the county in the time frame allotted under the current statute.

In order to correct this problem, we will distribute the total funds for future reimbursement over a period of three years instead of over a period of four years. Note that the total amount available for future reimbursement under the financing plan will not change. The new annual ACRA's would be as follows:

1999, \$46,436; 2000, \$95,000; 2001, \$148,800; Total, \$290,236

Please call me immediately at (608)267-7683 if you have any concerns with this change.

Sincerely,


Barb Yneer, Chief
Environmental Financial Assistance Section

cc: Jill Jenas - WT/2
Dan Simonson - WCR



Quality Natural Resources Management
Through Excellent Customer Service





State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Tommy G. Thompson, Governor
George E. Meyer, Secretary

101 S. Webster St.
Box 7921
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7921
Telephone 608-266-2621
FAX 608-267-3579
TDD 608-267-6897

March 11, 1999

MIKE KINNEY, SFH
DUNN CO LAND CONS DEPT
AGRICULTURE CENTER, SUITE C
MENOMONIE WI 54751

Amended 1999
Rural ACRA's

Dear Rural Grantee:

We completed the final analysis of reimbursements claimed under the 1998 Rural ACRA's. There is approximately \$1.3 million in 1998 ACRA's that was not spent. This amount, in addition to \$500,000 from the prior biennium allocated to pay for 1998 ACRA's, results in a total of approximately \$1.8 million available for redistribution. This dollar amount is being reallocated statewide in the form of amended (increased) 1999 rural ACRA's. Please refer to the attached chart for redistributed amounts and amended 1999 Rural ACRA's for all rural grantees.

The unspent funds are being reallocated consistent with the *Financing Plan For Priority Watershed Projects*, published October 30, 1998. The following steps were used to reallocate these funds:

- 1) Determine what percentage each grantee's 1999 ACRA is of the total 1999 program ACRA's. Note that five grants are fully paid by the current 1999 ACRA. Since these grantees will not be receiving an increase in their ACRA's, they are not included in the redistribution calculations. The attached chart identifies each of these grantees with an asterisk (*).
- 2) Multiply the amount available for redistribution (\$1,831,138) by the percentage determined under # 1 above. This is the additional amount to be added to the grantee's 1999 ACRA.
- 3) Add the amount of the increase from reallocation to the original 1999 ACRA to determine the amended 1999 ACRA.

Example: Grantee A: 1999 ACRA = \$59,398	
1)	Total 1999 ACRA's (less the paid-out grants of \$422,542): \$6,691,960 \$59,398 1999 ACRA divided by \$6,691,960 total ACRA's equals 0.89%
2)	\$1,831,138 times 0.89% equals \$16,297
3)	\$59,398 1999 ACRA +16,297 additional amount allocated \$ 75,695 Amended 1999 ACRA

If you have any questions, please contact Tim Parsons at (608) 267-9385.

Sincerely,

Mark Kneer, Chief
Environmental Financial Assistance Section

Jill D. Jonas
Chief, Runoff Management Section



Nonpoint Source Program - Amended 1999 Rural ACRA's

W/S	Grantee	CY99 ACRA	CY99 ACRA Increase	Amended CY99 ACRA
ADP	Adams	23,786	6,509	30,295
BEU	Barton	51,648	16,869	78,517
BAF	Bayfield	23,178	5,342	29,521
BAC	Brown	28,837	7,891	36,728
BUM	Brown	28,837	7,891	36,728
BRS	Brown	107,977	29,546	137,524
BRS	Brown	47,193	12,914	60,107
BAP	Brown	275,297	75,330	350,627
MTR	Buffalo	39,209	10,729	49,938
WAD	Buffalo	406,357	111,193	517,550
BIC	Bumett	12,000	3,284	15,284
EAS	Calumet	24,745	6,771	31,516
SHB	Calumet	34,107	9,333	43,440
WNE	Calumet	35,943	9,835	45,778
COL	Camp-Cntr Lake	12,000	3,284	15,284
CHN	Chippewa	253,007	68,231	322,238
CLK	Clark	17,313	4,737	22,050
COF	Columbia	15,197	4,158	19,355
NEE	Columbia	12,000	3,284	15,284
WNE	Columbia	12,000	3,284	15,284
CLP	Dane	22,487	6,153	28,641
YMS	Dane	143,917	39,380	183,297
DDT	Dane*	340,984	0	340,984
WAD	Dane*	37,261	0	37,261
DOG	Dodge	132,897	36,365	169,262
DOO	Door	275,747	75,453	351,200
DOU	Douglas	12,000	3,284	15,284
DOJ	Dunn	46,436	12,706	59,142
DOJ	Dau Claire	34,474	9,433	43,907
DOJ	Grand du Lac	120,693	33,009	153,642
DOJ	Grand du Lac	160,861	44,017	204,878
DOJ	Grand du Lac	60,087	16,442	76,529
DOJ	Grand du Lac	55,688	15,238	70,926
GRN	Grant	184,375	50,451	234,826
WLN	Green	25,946	7,100	33,046
WLN	Green Lake*	25,914	0	25,914
JCK	Jackson	208,038	58,976	264,964
JER	Jerison	-12,000	-3,284	15,284
KVA	Keewaunee	51,057	13,971	65,028
LAF	LaFayette	59,398	16,253	75,651
LRS	Lake Ripley	12,000	3,284	15,284
LNG	Lannglade	27,335	7,480	34,815
MAN	Manitowoc	25,453	6,965	32,418
WAT	Manitowoc	14,622	4,001	18,623
WAT	Manitowoc	128,593	35,187	163,781
MAR	Marathon	45,988	12,584	58,572
MAR	Marathon	12,671	3,467	16,139
MAR	Marathon	131,589	36,007	167,596
MNE	Mannette	20,294	5,553	25,847
MNE	Mannette	16,000	4,378	20,378
MAR	Marquette	16,828	4,805	21,433
MRO	Monroe	15,987	4,375	20,362
MGR	Monroe	35,000	9,577	44,577

W/S	Grantee	CY99 ACRA	CY99 ACRA Increase	Amended CY99 ACRA
PEN	Oconto	41,826	11,445	53,271
MPT	Oconto *	0	0	0
AAC	Oneida Nation	12,000	3,284	15,284
DUK	Oneida Nation	12,000	3,284	15,284
AAC	Outagamie	69,191	18,933	88,124
ARD	Outagamie	18,495	5,061	23,556
DUK	Outagamie	66,829	18,287	85,116
CCK	Ozaukee	13,604	3,777	17,581
EWB	Ozaukee	12,735	3,485	16,220
MNR	Ozaukee	43,004	11,767	54,771
MRS	Ozaukee	51,680	14,141	65,821
MNB	Ozaukee	50,218	13,741	63,960
KNC	Pierce	16,444	4,500	20,944
HSC	Polk	22,693	6,210	28,903
OSC	Polk	14,208	3,888	18,096
BAL	Polk	74,670	20,432	95,102
WPC	Portage	121,012	33,113	154,125
MWL	Racine*	16,920	0	16,920
SHC	Racine	12,000	3,284	15,284
MKR	Richland	49,601	13,572	63,173
SPC	Rock	12,000	3,284	15,284
SMH	Rusk	30,733	8,415	39,168
DEL	Sauk	33,481	9,161	42,642
NBR	Sauk	312,746	85,578	398,324
PEN	Shawano	122,603	33,549	156,155
EWB	Sheboygan	15,568	4,260	19,829
MNB	Sheboygan	106,360	29,103	135,463
PIG	Sheboygan	19,680	5,385	25,065
SHB	Sheboygan	72,489	19,835	92,324
KNC	St. Croix	35,655	10,030	46,685
SCL	St. Croix	24,431	6,685	31,116
SFH	St. Croix	25,143	7,154	33,297
MTR	Trempealeau	331,041	90,584	421,624
UTR	Trempealeau	29,355	8,033	37,388
HIL	Vernon	23,657	6,473	30,131
MKR	Vernon	255,461	70,176	326,637
SHC	Walworth	158,569	43,990	201,959
CCK	Washington	48,765	13,344	62,110
EWB	Washington	62,579	17,123	79,699
MNR	Washington	38,337	10,490	48,828
MNB	Washington	50,055	15,065	65,120
MWL	Waukesha	12,000	3,284	15,284
UFR	Waukesha	12,000	3,284	15,284
MNR	Waukesha*	1,464	0	1,464
LLW	Waupaca	126,058	34,494	160,551
WPC	Waupaca	42,495	11,628	54,125
PWR	Waushara	75,380	20,626	96,006
ARD	Winnebago	43,537	12,734	56,271
FDL	Winnebago	23,530	6,439	29,969
PWR	Winnebago	15,008	4,107	19,115
UYL	Wood	240,770	65,882	306,652
Totals		7,114,502	1,831,138	8,945,640

1999 ACRA paid out remaining balance of grant.

DNR: March 31, 1999

AGRICULTURE

Spring Valley Priority Watershed Project (No Motion Paper)

Provide \$103,082 GPR in nonpoint project funding.

CN

2117B



State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Tommy G. Thompson, Governor
George E. Meyer, Secretary

Box 7921
101 South Webster Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7921
TELEPHONE 608-266-2621
FAX 608-267-3579
TDD 608-267-6897

September 1, 1998

IN REPLY REFER TO: 3200

Land and Water Conservation Board Members (LWCB)

SUBJECT: Scoring Results for New Projects

Dear Land and Water Conservation Board Member:

As required by Wisconsin Act 27, the Department of Natural Resources (Department) used the Scoring System, approved by the board at the June 30, 1998 meeting, to score proposals submitted in response to the budget increase. The scores are to be sent to the LWCB no later than September 1 of each year. Enclosed is a list of the applications that met the minimum qualifications, sorted by region and again by cumulative funding requests, and a list of the applications that did not meet the minimum. This cover letter and the lists were faxed to Robert Uphoff, Chair, and mailed to all the board members on September 1, 1998.

The Department received 63 applications, totalling \$6.3 million in requests, by the deadline designated. Of the 63, only one did not pass the initial filters. The remaining 62 were reviewed by four peer reviewers, four Department reviewers and one Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection reviewer. The reviewers were encouraged to solicit assistance from their colleagues to complete the review in the limited time available (one week). Some peer reviewers chose only to review the sections they were most familiar with and abstain from reviewing projects in their area or that they submitted. The Department reviewers were expected to review all sections of every application.

Attachment 1 and 2 are the final scores of the 33 projects which met the requirements of the Scoring System. Attachment 3 lists the 29 applications that did not meet the minimum qualifications. The scores were calculated as averages of the points assigned by the reviewers. These scores are provided by region and in descending order of points (Attachment 1). In a separate list we have provided these same scores, in descending order of points, as cumulative costs. The projects are not in geographical order (Attachment 2).


Prior to the October 6, 1998 LWCB meeting, we will submit our agency's recommendation for selection of projects. We will also propose that a technical review of the qualifying projects be conducted after the projects go out to bid. Although the urban proposals passed the filter for eligible practices, the term Structural Urban Best Management Practices is broad. For these urban proposals, the Department will conduct a review of the bid tabulation to determine eligibility under NR 120. This is consistent with current administration of the urban program.

*Quality Natural Resources Management
Through Excellent Customer Service*



As evidenced by the number of submittals, interest in the program is strong. However, the short time frame did not allow the applicants or reviewers as much time as they would have liked to complete the task. We will be reviewing this year's process and the concerns expressed by the reviewers and applicants. If funding is again available next year, we will make appropriate adjustments in accordance with the comments. Please contact Mary Anne Lowndes at (608) 261-6420 or me at (608) 267-7545 if you have any questions regarding this information.

Sincerely,



Jill D. Jonas, Chief
Runoff Management Section
Bureau of Watershed Management

cc: Kathryn Curtner/Barb Kneer - CF/8
Al Shea - WT/2
Dave Jelinski/Keith Foye - DATCP

County (so as not to exceed \$2 million). This will result in 18 projects being selected initially.

The DNR is seeking approval to continue down the list of applicants, in order of descending points, if additional dollars become available in the process of writing the grants. For urban projects this would require an analysis of the bid tabulation for eligibility of project components under ch. NR 120, Wis. Adm. Code. This analysis is currently done on all urban projects requesting funding from the nonpoint program.

Fourteen of the 18 projects in the top \$2 million had water quality objectives directly dealing with a water on the latest 303(d) list. Of the four projects not discharging to a 303(d) listed water, the applications ranked high because they had strong evidence of attaining water quality objectives. They also had either comprehensive evaluation components or evidence of strong local support. Eleven of the 18 projects are in existing priority watersheds and four are in watershed projects that were completed. Up to five may have these or a portion of these projects already listed on a grant, but without an ACRA to cover construction.

Of the ten urban projects and eight rural projects, approximately \$1.25 million will be awarded to urban projects and \$.75 million to rural projects. The grants will be made available to the grantee by January 1, 1999 with expectation of completion of the project by the end of the year.

Materials Provided: *Scoring Results for New Projects, dated September 1, 1998*
(Transmittal letter and Tables)

Presenters: Jill Jonas, DNR; Keith Foye, DATCP

Attach 1. Finalists by Region

NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECT 1999 GRANT APPLICATION SCORES															
Total Rank of Projects, by DNR Region, Meeting Minimum Qualifications															
Project Name	DNR Region	Funding Requested	Part 2								Part 3		Total Score	Cumulative Costs	
			1a	1b	1c	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
1 Kaukauna	M	NE	\$40,000	4.8	4.8	6.5	7.0	7.8	15.0	25.0	7.3	13.0	13.0	104.1	\$40,000
2 Green Bay	M	NE	\$150,000	4.4	3.9	8.1	7.5	8.4	15.0	22.5	11.5	13.8	7.8	102.8	\$190,000
3 Manitowoc Co.	C	NE	\$47,040	3.6	4.4	6.8	7.7	8.0	15.0	25.0	10.3	13.5	3.0	97.3	\$237,040
4 Marinette Co.	C	NE	\$150,000	3.9	4.1	6.4	9.3	8.1	10.0	25.0	11.5	11.6	6.6	98.5	\$387,040
5 Ashwauberon	M	NE	\$150,000	4.1	4.3	7.1	7.0	8.8	15.0	18.7	10.0	12.8	7.1	94.8	\$537,040
6 Appleton	M	NE	\$81,550	4.6	4.8	8.5	7.3	7.8	15.0	17.8	7.3	12.8	4.8	90.6	\$618,590
7 Winnebago Co - ARD	C	NE	\$55,000	3.8	3.9	6.9	6.0	6.8	15.0	15.5	10.8	11.4	5.3	85.2	\$673,590
8 Bellevue	M	NE	\$150,000	4.2	4.2	6.1	6.8	6.9	15.0	17.8	7.8	12.2	4.2	85.0	\$823,590
9 Waupaca	M	NE	\$40,000	4.3	4.3	6.8	8.5	8.3	15.0	12.0	9.0	10.4	3.6	82.1	\$863,590
10 Lincoln Co.	C	NO	\$82,250	4.1	4.4	6.7	8.7	7.6	10.0	12.0	11.7	11.8	4.0	80.9	\$82,250
11 Dane Co.	C	SC	\$148,385	4.1	4.9	7.4	10.0	9.1	15.0	22.5	9.8	16.8	3.4	103.0	\$148,385
12 Beaver Dam	M	SC	\$150,000	3.8	4.3	8.9	7.0	7.9	15.0	20.3	9.0	12.2	6.2	94.5	\$298,385
13 Madison	M	SC	\$89,000	4.9	4.6	7.0	6.8	9.3	15.0	17.8	8.0	13.4	5.1	91.8	\$397,365
14 Grant Co.	C	SC	\$150,000	4.1	4.5	7.1	6.0	7.6	15.0	20.3	8.8	11.8	4.3	89.5	\$547,365
15 Evansville	M	SC	\$98,924	4.1	4.1	7.6	6.8	7.7	10.0	9.3	9.8	13.8	2.9	75.9	\$646,289
16 Mill. Metro Sew. Dist.	M	SE	\$150,000	4.3	3.9	7.1	9.8	7.8	15.0	20.3	7.8	12.6	14.8	103.2	\$150,000
17 Milwaukee Co. - Zoo	C	SE	\$150,000	4.3	4.3	7.1	7.0	7.9	15.0	20.3	10.3	14.2	10.3	100.5	\$300,000
18 Racine - Y. Ind. Pk	M	SE	\$150,000	4.8	4.4	8.4	8.5	7.1	15.0	18.5	7.8	14.2	11.0	99.7	\$450,000
19 Walworth Co.	C	SE	\$71,400	4.4	4.3	8.3	9.7	7.9	15.0	12.0	13.3	17.0	2.0	93.9	\$521,400
20 Racine - M.G. Stream	M	SE	\$126,000	4.6	4.2	7.7	7.0	8.6	15.0	20.3	6.8	14.4	4.2	93.1	\$847,400
21 Menomonee Falls	M	SE	\$150,000	3.7	4.4	6.8	7.3	8.3	15.0	20.3	7.3	13.0	6.1	92.0	\$797,400
22 Racine - E. St. Outfall	M	SE	\$150,000	4.5	4.2	6.2	7.8	7.7	10.0	18.8	6.8	11.4	11.0	88.3	\$947,400
23 Ozaukee Co.	C	SE	\$129,630	4.6	4.4	8.1	7.3	8.8	15.0	9.8	10.3	15.6	4.4	88.2	\$1,077,030
24 Muskego - L. Shore. Problm	M	SE	\$46,550	4.4	4.0	6.8	7.5	7.8	15.0	15.5	5.3	13.2	3.5	82.9	\$1,123,580
25 Muskego - Stream	M	SE	\$28,000	4.1	4.2	7.0	7.0	6.0	15.0	15.5	6.8	12.2	3.6	81.3	\$1,151,580
26 Sheboygan Co.	C	SE	\$80,410	3.6	4.3	6.9	9.0	8.9	15.0	12.8	10.3	6.8	2.3	79.5	\$1,231,990
27 Greenfield	M	SE	\$147,000	3.3	3.3	8.0	6.3	6.6	15.0	15.5	6.8	11.8	2.6	79.0	\$1,378,990
28 Marathon Co.	C	WC	\$64,260	4.0	4.8	7.2	9.7	6.4	15.0	15.3	11.0	13.8	3.0	89.9	\$64,260
29 Eau Claire	M	WC	\$150,000	4.8	4.2	7.3	9.0	8.0	15.0	15.0	7.3	11.0	7.4	89.0	\$214,260
30 Spring Valley	M	WC	\$103,082	4.3	4.5	6.2	6.5	7.3	15.0	20.3	6.3	13.0	5.0	88.4	\$317,342
31 Clark Co.	C	WC	\$150,000	4.6	3.8	6.4	6.8	6.5	15.0	20.3	7.8	8.0	5.3	84.3	\$467,342
32 Buffalo Co.	C	WC	\$22,743	5.0	4.7	7.0	7.0	8.0	15.0	9.0	8.0	14.5	3.2	81.4	\$490,085
33 Eau Claire Co.	C	WC	\$48,000	3.6	4.1	6.6	7.0	7.5	15.0	12.8	7.3	12.2	5.0	81.0	\$538,085
Total Requests			\$3,509,204												

1999

Date (time) needed 500

LRB b 1082 / 11

**CAUCUS BUDGET AMENDMENT
[ONLY FOR CAUCUS]**

Ret : JLg : _____

See form **AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS.**


**CAUCUS AMENDMENT
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133**

Onote

>>FOR CAUCUS SUPERAMENDMENT — NOT FOR INTRODUCTION<<

At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

- ~~#. Page , line :~~
- ~~#. Page , line :~~
- ~~#. Page , line :~~
- ~~#. Page , line :~~
- ~~#. Page , line :~~
- ~~#. Page , line :~~



1999

AMENDMENTS

LRB 61082 1.1

RET : :

\$\$\$ INCREASE/DECREASE

In the component bar, for a "regular" amendment item:
For the item text, execute: create -> item: -> m: -> \$inc-dec

#. Page 161, line 3: in(de)crease the dollar amount for fiscal year 1999-00 by \$ 10,000 and in(de)crease the dollar amount for fiscal year 2000-01 by \$ 35,000. [to ..crease funding for the [purpose] [purposes] for which the appropriation is made] [to ..crease funding for the South Fork of the Hay River priority watershed project]

#. Page 161, line 3: in(de)crease the dollar amount for fiscal year 1999-00 by \$ 51,500 and in(de)crease the dollar amount for fiscal year 2000-01 by \$ 51,500. [to ..crease funding for the [purpose] [purposes] for which the appropriation is made] [to ..crease funding for nonpoint source water pollution control project for the Village of Spring Valley]

In the component bar, for a "frozen" amendment item (used in amendments to amendments):
For the item text, execute: create -> item: -> afterline [or the applicable item]
For the "frozen" item text, execute: . create -> item: -> frz: -> m: -> \$inc-dec

#. Page, line
..... Page, line: in(de)crease the dollar amount for fiscal year 1999-00 by \$ and in(de)crease the dollar amount for fiscal year 2000-01 by \$ [to ..crease funding for the [purpose] [purposes] for which the appropriation is made] [to ..crease funding for]*

* Use the 2nd alternative if the purpose of the increase or decrease is more limited than the purpose or purposes of the appropriation as currently shown in the text of ch. 20, stats.

1999

Nonstat File Sequence: **AAA**

LRB b1082 1 1

RA: :

NONSTAT SESSLAW

1. In the component bar:

- For the action phrase, execute: create → action: → *NS: → nonstat
- For the budget action phrase, execute: create → action: → *NS: → 91XX
- For a subsection, execute: create → text: → *NS: → sub
- For a paragraph, execute: create → text: → *NS: → par
- For a subdivision, execute: create → text: → *NS: → subd
- For a subdivision paragraph, execute: create → text: → *NS: → subpar

2. Nonstatutory subunits are numbered automatically if "(#1)", "(#a)", etc., is filled in. Below, for the budget, fill in the 9100 department code; and fill in "___" or "()" only if a "frozen" number is needed.

#, Page 1531, line 22: after that line insert:

SECTION # 91 **Nonstatutory provisions; ...**

(#1) (c) Nonpoint source water pollution abatement funding. CS

(a) South Fork of the Hay River. I NO #

NO # The department of natural resources shall allocate for the South Fork of the Hay River priority watershed project, under section 281.65 of the statute, \$102,500 in fiscal year 1999-2000 and \$103,800 in fiscal year 2000-01.

(b) Spring Valley. I Notwithstanding section 281.65 (4c)

(c) of the statute, the department of natural resources

shall allocate to the Village of Spring Valley for a nonpoint source water pollution abatement project, under section 281.65 (4c) of the statutes, \$ 103,000 in the 1999-2001[✓] fiscal biennium. "

(End)

61082/1dn
RET: jlg

DNote

This draft corresponds to Caucus # 2117. The instruction said to provide funding for the "Spring Valley priority ~~priority~~ watershed project". I do not think that there is a Spring Valley priority watershed project. This draft requires DNR to provide funding to the village of Spring Valley for a project. If this is not correct, please provide me with more information so that I can describe the project correctly.

RET

**DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBb1082/1dn
RCT:jljg:ch

June 28, 1999

This draft corresponds to Caucus #2117. The instruction said to provide funding for the "Spring Valley priority watershed project". I do not think that there is a Spring Valley priority watershed project. This draft requires DNR to provide funding to the village of Spring Valley for a project. If this is not correct, please provide me with more information so that I can describe the project correctly.

Rebecca C. Tradewell
Managing Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-7290
E-mail: Becky.Tradewell@legis.state.wi.us

Tradewell, Becky

From: Schug, David
Sent: Monday, June 28, 1999 2:12 PM
To: Tradewell, Becky
Subject: FYI draft 1082

Here is my write up of what the SDC wants for Hay Creek.

Provide \$10,000 GPR in 1999-2000 and \$35,000 GPR in 2000-01 to increase cost shares for the South Fork of the Hay River priority watershed project (in Barron, Dunn, Polk and St. Croix Counties). Require DNR to allocate at least \$102,500 in anticipated cost share reimbursement amounts (ACRA) in 1999 and ~~\$103,800~~ ACRA in 2000 for the project to reflect the increased funding.

\$153,700



State of Wisconsin
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

SDA

LRBb1082/2

RCT:jlg:ch/mv

SDC:.....Walter – Caucus # 2117, Nonpoint funding for Hay River and Spring Valley

FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

CAUCUS AMENDMENT

TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 **1.** Page 161, line 3: increase the dollar amount for fiscal year 1999-00 by
3 \$10,000 and increase the dollar amount for fiscal year 2000-01 by \$35,000 to
4 increase funding for the South Fork of the Hay River priority watershed project.

5 **2.** Page 161, line 3: increase the dollar amount for fiscal year 1999-00 by
6 \$51,500 and increase the dollar amount for fiscal year 2000-01 by \$51,500 to provide
7 funding for a nonpoint source water pollution control project for the village of Spring
8 Valley.

9 **3.** Page 1531, line 22: after that line insert:



State of Wisconsin
1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRBb1082/2
RCT;jlg:ijs

SDC:.....Walter – Caucus # 2117, Nonpoint funding for Hay River and Spring Valley

FOR 1999-01 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

CAUCUS AMENDMENT

TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 133

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 **1.** Page 161, line 3: increase the dollar amount for fiscal year 1999-00 by
3 \$10,000 and increase the dollar amount for fiscal year 2000-01 by \$35,000 to
4 increase funding for the South Fork of the Hay River priority watershed project.

5 **2.** Page 161, line 3: increase the dollar amount for fiscal year 1999-00 by
6 \$51,500 and increase the dollar amount for fiscal year 2000-01 by \$51,500 to provide
7 funding for a nonpoint source water pollution control project for the village of Spring
8 Valley.

9 **3.** Page 1531, line 22: after that line insert:

