					19	99 <b>1997</b> Session						
	x ORIGINA	AL D	UPDATED		LRB or Bill AB 19	No./Adm. Rule No. (99-0389/1)						
FISCAL ESTIMATE	☐ CORREC	CTED [	SUPPLEMENTA	AL.		nt No. if Applicable						
DOA-2048 N(R10/94)  Subject												
Recovery of Damages In Actions Against State and Local Governmental Units In Year 2000 Failures												
Fiscal Effect						<del></del>						
State: □ No State Fiscal Effect				1								
Check columns below only if bill make or affects a sum sufficient appro	☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No											
<ul><li>☐ Increase Existing Appropriation</li><li>☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation</li></ul>		☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues			X Decrease Costs							
☐ Create New Appropriation		odoo Exioting.iv	ovenues	7. 20010000 00000								
Local: ☐ No local government costs					-							
1. Increase Costs		ease Revenues		5. Types o	f Local Gove	emmental Units Affected:						
Permissive	1		☐ Mandatory	X Towns	x Villag	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
2. x Decrease Costs	4. LI Dec	rease Revenues	3	X Counties Districts)	x Other	's (Special Purpose						
Permissive x Mandatory		Permissive [	☐ Mandatory	School Dis	tricts	☐ WTCS Districts						
Fund Sources Affected			Affected (	ch. 20 Appropri								
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PR	RS D SEG	☐ SEG-S										
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Es	dimete.	<del></del>		<del> </del>								
This bill provides that no person may recover any damages against a state or governmental unit, or any officer, employee or agent thereof, for any act or ommission caused by the failure of an electronic computing device that is under its control to recognize, process, distinguish or interpret the year 2000 or a subsequent year. It also provides that state and local governments are not required to pay interest to vendors on late payments which result from a year 2000 failure, as provided in this billi.  This bill is likely to result in a cost decrease for the Department of Commerce due both to the immunity provision outlined above and to the provision which provides that the Department not pay interest on late payments due to year 2000 failures. It is not possible to provide any estimate of the potential cost decrease because it is difficult to project the number of instances in which the year 2000 issues identified above, including situations involving late payments, will arise and affect the Department.  This bill is also likely to result in a cost decrease for local governments due also both to the immunity provision outlined above and to the provision which provides that local governments not pay interest on late payments due to year 2000 failures. It is not possible to provide any estimate of the potential cost decrease because it is difficult to project the number of instances in which the year 2000 issues identified above, including situations involving late payments, will arise and affect local governments.												
Long-Range Fiscal Implications					·							
Long stange i rotal implications												
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)		Thui	Signature/Telep	luin		Date 25/99						
Commerce		Louis Corn	elius/266-8629			January 25, 1999						

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET						1999 <b>1995 Session</b>						
DO.	tailed Estimate of Annual A-2047 (R10/94)	Fiscal Effect	ORIGINAL CORRECTED	UPDATED SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB o		./Adm. Rule N	Ìο.	Amendme	ent No.		
	pject covery of Damages In A	Actions Against S	state and Local G	Sovernmental Units	In Yea	ır 2000	Failures					
I,	One-time Costs or Rev	enue impacts for	State and/or Lo	cal Government (do	not in	:lude ir	n annualize	d fis	cal effect	):		
II.	Annualized Costs:	Annualized Costs:					d Fiscal imp	n State fur	nds from:			
A.	State Costs by Category				Increased Costs				Decreased Costs			
	State Operations - Sa				\$			\$	•			
	(FTE Position Change	∋s)				(	FTE)		(-	FTE)		
	State Operations - Ot	her Costs						<u> </u>	-			
	Local Assistance								•			
	Aids to Individuals or	Organizations							•	·		
		Costs by Catego	ory	. <u></u>	\$			\$	•			
B.	State Costs by Source	ce of Funds			In	creased	Costs		Decrease	d Costs		
	GPR				\$			\$	•			
	FED					- -			•			
	PRO/PRS	···	·	····	. <b></b>	·						
	SEG/SEG-S	~							-			
III.		Complete this only wi state revenues (e.g., etc.)				creased	d Rev.		Decrease	ed Rev.		
	GPR Taxes		<u>.:</u>		<del></del>			*				
	GPR Eamed											
	FED								-			
	PRO/PRS	·							-			
	SEG/SEG-S								•			
_	TOTAL State	Revenues			\$			\$	-			
		· .	NET ANNUALI	ZED FISCAL IMPA STATE	CT		LOCA	<u>\L</u>				
	CHANGE IN COSTS CHANGE IN REVENUE	ES .	\$ Inde \$	terminable Decrea	se	\$ Ind \$	teterminabl	e De	ecrease	-		
	cy/Prepared by: (Name & Ph Cornelius 266-8629	(COMM)		Authorized Signature/T					Date PS	199		

(COMM)